# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Social Security Act (the Act) provides that each state and territory \*must assess the operation of its state child health plan in each federal fiscal year and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the state must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The state is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The state is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the state's program is incomplete.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of state approaches to CHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across states in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTs) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: Program Challenges and Accomplishments

\*Disclosure. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0938-1148. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 40 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) or suggestions for improving this form, write to: CMS, 7500 Security Blvd., Attn: PRA Reports Clearance Officer, Mail Stop C4-26-05, Baltimore, Maryland 21244-1850.

<sup>\* -</sup> When "state" is referenced throughout this template, it is defined as either a state or a territory.

# FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

# DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territ								
	(Name of State/Territory)							
	The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e)).							
-				Jam	es Parke	•		
CHIP Prog	ram Name	∍(s):	All, KidC	are & Fa	milyCare			
CHIP Prog	ram Type	1	CHIP Medi	caid Expa	ansion On	lv		
			Separate C	hild Heal	th Prograr			
			Combination	on of the a	above			
Reporting I	Period <sup>.</sup>	2014			Note: Fed 9/30/2014.	eral Fiscal Year 2014 start	ts 10/1/2013 and ends	
Contact Pe	•		······ Thon	oo/Chiof		of All Vido		
Contact Pe			_ynne Thom					
Address:	Illinois	Dept. of	Healthcare	and Fan	nily Servi	ces		
	201 Sou	ıth Grar	nd Avenue E	East				
City:	Springf	eld		State:	IL	Zip:	62763	
Phone:	(217) 52	4-7156			_ Fax:	(217) 557-4274		
Email:   Iynne.thomas@illinois.gov								
Submission Date: 1/8/2015								

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year)

# **SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF CHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES**

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program, please provide the following information. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table.

⊠Provide an assurance that your state's CHIP program eligibility criteria as set forth in the CHIP state plan in section 4, inclusive of PDF pages related to Modified Adjusted Gross Income eligibility, is accurate as of the date of this report.

Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., **[500]** are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program				Separate Child Health Program			
	* Uppe	r % of FPL	(federal pov	erty level) f	ields are d	efined as <u>U</u> r	to and Inc	<u>luding</u>
	□ No			□ No				
		Yes			$\boxtimes$	Yes		
		ment fee ount	0			Enrollment fee amount		
	Premiur	m amount	0		Premiu	m amount	40	
	If premiums	are tiered by	FPL, please I	PL, please breakout by		s are tiered by	FPL, please	breakout by
	Premium Amount				Premium Amount			
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range	Range to	From	То
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$15	\$ 40	% of FPL 158	% of FPL 209
_	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$40	\$ 80	% of FPL 210	% of FPL 318
Does your program require premiums or an	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
enrollment fee?	\$	\$	% of FP L	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL			oreakout by	If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL			
	Premium fa	Maximum Amount per mily	\$		Yearly Maximum Premium Amount per family		\$960	
	Range from	Range to	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$15	\$40	% of FPL 158	% of FPL 209
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$40	\$80	% of FPL 210	% of FPL 318
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL
	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below [500]				If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate) [500]			

			Families with income from 158 to 209% pay a premium of \$15/month for 1 child, \$25 for 2, \$30 for 3, \$35 for 4 and \$40 for 5 or more children. Families with income from 210 to 318% FPL pay a monthly premium of \$40 for 1 child or \$80 for 2 or more.			
			N/A		N/A	
	$\boxtimes$	Mana	aged Care	$\boxtimes$	Managed Care	
		Prima	ary Care Case Management		Primary Care Case Management	
		Fee f	or Service	$\boxtimes$	Fee for Service	
Which delivery system(s) does your program use?	Please describe which groups receive which delivery system [500] Duing FY14, all delivery systems were offered to children in the Medicaid expansion.		Please describe which groups receive which delivery system [500] During FY 14, all delivery systems were available for children in families with income up to 209% FPL. Children in families with income from 210 to 318% are only offered Fee for Service.			

2) Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking the appropriate column.

For FFY 2014, please include only the program changes that are in addition to and/or beyond those required by the Affordable Care Act.

For each topic you responded "yes" to below, please explain the change and why the change was made.

		Expansion CHIP Program			Child Health Program			
		Yes	No Change	N/A	Yes	No Change	N/A	
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)					$\boxtimes$		
b)	Application	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			
c)	Benefits		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$		
d)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$		
e)	Crowd out policies		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$			
f)	Delivery system	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			
g)	Eligibility determination process	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$			
h)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
i)	Eligibility levels / target population		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$		
j)	Eligibility redetermination process				$\boxtimes$			

Separate

Medicaid

k)	Enrollment process for health plan selection							
l)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
m)	Premium assistance						$\boxtimes$	
n)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 4457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the Rule)							
o)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
p)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women			$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
q)	Pregnant Women state plan expansion						$\boxtimes$	
r)	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and abuse							
s)	Other – please specify						_	
B.								
C.								
D.								
	Applicant and enrollee protections e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)							
	Application	We launched a new o requirement for a sing We launched a new o requirement for a sing	le strea	amlined a oplication	pplication in responsi	on. Onse to		
	• Benefits							
	<ul> <li>Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, &amp; collection process)</li> </ul>							
	Crowd out policies	Illinois eliminated its s CHIP level children.	tate fur	nded pren	nium as	sistance	program	for
	Delivery system	Illinois is in the proces Care Physician mode Illinois is in the proces Care Physician mode	Ito man	datory ma	anaged n a Fee	care. for Serv		

Eligibility determination process	Illinois implemented a new eligibility system on 10/1/13.
	Illinois implemented a new eligibility system on 10/1/13.
Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap	
Eligibility levels / target population	
	T
Eligibility redetermination process	We adopted MAGI budgeting. We adopted MAGI budgeting.
Enrollment process for health plan selection	
<ul> <li>Outreach</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Premium assistance</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and</li> </ul>	
457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)	
<ul> <li>Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children</li> </ul>	
, , ,	
<ul> <li>Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women</li> </ul>	
Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
<ul> <li>Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation, and referral of cases of fraud</li> </ul>	
and abuse	
Other – please specify	
a.	
b.	
C.	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

## SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information about the CHIP and/or Medicaid program. Section IIA captures data on the core set of children's health care quality measures. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your state. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your state's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

# SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF THE CORE SET OF CHILDREN'S HEALTH CARE QUALITY MEASURES (CHILD CORE SET)

Section 401(a) of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) (Pub.L. 111-3) required the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to identify a core set of child health care quality measures for voluntary use by state programs administered under titles XIX and XXI, health insurance issuers and managed care entities that enter into contract with such programs, and providers of items and services under such programs. CHIPRA also required the Secretary to publish changes to the Child Core Set measures beginning in January 2013. Three measures (Human Papillomavirus [HPV] Vaccine for Female Adolescents, Maternity Care - Behavioral Health Risk Assessment, and Medication Management for People with Asthma) were added to the Child Core Set in 2013 and one measure (Otitis Media with Effusion) was retired. Three additional measures (Annual Pediatric Hemoglobin A1C Testing, Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis, and Annual Percentage of Asthma Patients 2 Through 20 Years Old with One or More Asthma-Related Emergency Room Visits) were retired from the Child Core Set in 2014. Table 1 lists the Children's Core Set measures, their measure stewards, and a general description of each measure. Abbreviations replaced measure numbers beginning in 2013.

Additionally, Section 401(a)(4) required the development of a standardized reporting format for states that volunteer to report on the core set of measures. This section of CARTS will be used for standardized reporting on the Child Core Set measures.

The Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Child Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures can be found at:

http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/Medicaid-and-CHIP-Child-Core-Set-Manual.pdf

**Table 1: Child Core Set Measures** 

Measure	M	Measure	December
Abbreviation	Measure	Steward	Description
PPC-CH	Timeliness of Prenatal	National	Percentage of deliveries of live
	Care	Committee for	births between November 6 of
		Quality	the year prior to the
		Assurance	measurement year and
		(NCQA)/	November 5 of the
		Healthcare	measurement year that received
		Effectiveness	a prenatal care visit in the first
		Data and	trimester or within 42 days of
		Information Set	enrollment.
		(HEDIS)	

Measure		Measure	
Abbreviation	Measure	Steward	Description
FPC-CH	Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received the following number of expected prenatal visits: 1.<21 percent of expected visits 2.21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 3.41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits 4.61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits 5.≥81 percent of expected visits
LBW-CH	Live Births Weighing Less Than 2,500 Grams	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)	Percentage of live births that weighed less than 2,500 grams in the state during the reporting period
PC02-CH	PC-02: Cesarean Section for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex	The Joint Commission	Percentage of nulliparous women with a term, singleton baby in a vertex position delivered by cesarean section
CIS-CH	Childhood Immunization Status	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the measurement year and had specific vaccines by their second birthday
IMA-CH	Immunization Status for Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents who turned 13 years old during the measurement year and had specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.
WCC-CH	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents: Body Mass Index Assessment for Children/Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children ages 3 to 17 who had an outpatient visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP) or obstetrical/gynecological (OB/GYN) practitioner and whose weight is classified based on body mass index (BMI) percentile for age and gender
DEV-CH	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	Oregon Health and Science University	Percentage of children screened for risk of developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second, or third birthday

Measure		Measure	
Abbreviation	Measure	Steward	Description
CHL-CH	Chlamydia Screening in Women	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of women ages 16 to 20 who were identified as sexually active and had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year
W15-CH	Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who turned 15 months old during the measurement year and had zero, one, two, three, four, five, or six or more well-child visits with a PCP during their first 15 months of life
W34-CH	Well-Child Visits in the Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children ages 3 to 6 who had one or more well-child visits with a PCP during the measurement year
AWC-CH	Adolescent Well-Care Visit	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents ages 12 to 21 who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCPC or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year
PDENT-CH	Percentage of Eligibles that Received Preventive Dental Services	CMS	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible for EPSDT services, and that received preventive dental services
CAP-CH	Child and Adolescent Access to Primary Care Practitioners	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children and adolescents ages 12 months to 19 years who had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP), including four separate percentages: a. Children ages 12 to 24months and 25 months to 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year b. Children ages 7 to 11 years and adolescents ages 12 to 19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year
TDENT-CH	Percentage of Eligibles that Received Dental Treatment Services	CMS	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible for EPSDT services, and that received dental treatment services
AMB-CH	Ambulatory Care – Emergency Department (ED) Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	Rate of ED visits per 1,000 enrollee months among children up to age 19

Measure		Measure	
Abbreviation	Measure	Steward	Description
CLABSI-CH	Pediatric Central Line- Associated Blood Stream Infections – Neonatal Intensive Care Unit and Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	CDC	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance
ADD-CH	Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first ADHD medication was dispensed, including two rates: one for the initiation phase and one for the continuation and maintenance phase
FUH-CH	Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of discharges for children ages 6 to 20 who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner within 7 days of discharge and within 30 days of discharge
CPC-CH	Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems® (CAHPS) 5.0H Child Version Including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions Supplemental Items	NCQA/HEDIS	Survey on parents' experiences with their children's care
HPV-CH	Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccine for Female Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of female adolescents who turned 13 years old during the measurement year and who had three doses of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine by their 13th birthday

Measure		Measure	
Abbreviation	Measure	Steward	Description
BHRA-CH	Maternity Care - Behavioral Health Risk Assessment	AMA-PCPI	Percentage of women, regardless of age, who gave birth during a 12-month period seen at least once for prenatal care who received a behavioral health screening risk assessment that includes the following screenings at the first prenatal visit: depression screening, alcohol use screening, tobacco use screening, drug-use screening (illicit and prescription, over the counter), and intimate partner violence screening
MMA-CH	Medication Management for People with Asthma	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children ages 5 to 20 who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period.  Two rates are reported:  1. Percentage of children who remained on asthma controller medication for at least 50 percent of their treatment period  2. Percentage of children who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75 percent of their treatment period  This measure is reported using the following age ranges: 5 to 11 years; 12 to 18 years; 19-20 years; and total

#### **GUIDANCE FOR REPORTING**

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the Child Core Set measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2012 and FFY 2013) will be populated with data previously reported in CARTS; enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have data for those years, please enter the data in the appropriate column. Indicate the data were updated using the "Did you update data for this measure?" field. In the third column, report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2014). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

Beginning in 2011, the CARTS application requires states to provide information on why they chose not to report a measure(s) in Section IIA. The CARTS user will be prompted to provide this information for each measure during data entry. If the CARTS User skips these questions during

the data entry process, he/she will be prompted to respond to them before being able to certify the Annual Report.

## If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

If your state cannot report a specific measure, please check the box that applies to why data are not being reported for each measure. The user may select any applicable reason why data are not being reported, but must select at least one response as follows.

- 2. Service not covered: Check this box if your program does not cover this service.
- 3. <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure. If this box is selected, users will also need to indicate whether the entire population or partial population was not covered under its program. A detailed explanation is required if partial population is not covered.
- 4. <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for this measure in your state. If this box is selected, users will need to explain why data are not available for reporting. Reasons may include "Budget Constraints," "Staff Constraints," "Data Inconsistencies/Accuracy," "Data Source Not Easily Accessible," "Information Not Collected," and "Other".
- 5. <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the denominator size for this measure is less than 30. If the denominator size is less than 30, your state is not required to report a rate on the measure. However, the state will need to indicate the exact denominator size in the space provided.
- 6. Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Although the Child Core Set measures are voluntarily reported, if the state does not report data on a specific measure, it is important for CMS to understand why each state is not reporting on specific measures. Your selection of a reason for not reporting and/or provision of an "Other" reason for not reporting will assist CMS in that understanding.

#### Status of Data Reported:

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

- 1. <u>Provisional</u>: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for the current CARTS reporting period.
- 2. <u>Final</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for the current CARTS reporting period.
- 3. <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your state reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

#### **Measurement Specification:**

For each measure, the state should indicate whether a measure adheres to the Child Core Set technical specifications, based on HEDIS® or specifications developed by other measure steward (e.g. CMS, CDC, TJC, AMA/PCPI), or "Other" measurement specifications. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed.

If "Other" measurement specification is selected, an explanation must be provided.

CMS encourages states to use the technical specifications outlined in the <u>Technical Specifications and Resource Manual</u> for the Child Core Set measures.

#### 4. HEDIS® Version:

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example HEDIS 2014). This field must be completed only when a user selects the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### 5. "Other" Measurement Specification Explanation:

The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected.

#### **Data Source:**

Data for the Child Core Set measures may come from several sources, including medical claims and medical records. For each measure, the state must indicate the source of data or methodology used to calculate the measure using the following options (some options are unavailable for some measures):

- Administrative Data: Medical claims and encounter data or other administrative data source (e.g., immunization registry, vital records,). If this box is selected, the user must then indicate whether the administrative data for a measure are coming from the Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) or another administrative data source.
- Hybrid: A combination of administrative and medical records data. If this box is selected, the user
  must then indicate whether the administrative data for a measure are coming from the Medicaid
  Management Information System (MMIS) or another administrative data source. The user must
  also indicate whether the medical record data for a measure are coming from electronic health
  records (EHR), paper, or EHR and paper.
- Survey Data: The state should specify the survey used.
- 4. Other: An explanation box is available for the state to specify the other source of data.

#### **Definition of Population Included in the Measure:**

**Denominator**: Indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure by checking one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only (Title XXI), the Medicaid population only (Title XIX), or include both CHIP and Medicaid children combined.

If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid populations combined), please further define the denominator, including those who are excluded from the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the states and over time.

**Date Range:** Define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and define the "To" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### **Child Core Set Performance Measurement Data:**

In this section, report the numerators, denominators, and rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the data, depending on whether you are reporting using the technical specifications provided by the measure steward or another methodology. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section. "Additional Notes/Comments on Measure" may be entered but is not required. Please note that some measures require reporting of multiple rates.

The reporting unit for each measure is the state as a whole. If states calculate rates for multiple reporting units (e.g., individual health plans, different health care delivery systems), states must aggregate data from all these sources into one state-level rate before reporting the data to CMS. In the situation where a state combines data across multiple reporting units, all or some of which use the hybrid method to calculate the rates, the state should enter zeroes in the "Numerator" and "Denominator" fields. In these cases, it should report the state-level rate in the "Rate" field and, when possible, include individual reporting unit numerators, denominators, and rates in the field labeled "Additional Notes on Measure."

In the section on "Definition of Population Incuded in the Measure," states should indicate whether state-level rates were calculated based on rates for multiple reporting units, and if so, whether the rates were weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population or other factor or were not weighted. For additional guidance on developing a state-level rate, please refer to the Technical Assistance Brief "Approaches to Developing State-Level Rates for Children's Health Care Quality Measures Based on

Data from Multiple Sources," available at: <a href="http://medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/TA2-StateRates.pdf">http://medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/TA2-StateRates.pdf</a>.

#### **Deviation from Measure Specifications**

If the data provided for a measure deviate from the measure technical specifications, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviations parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. When one or more of the types of deviations are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

- 7. Year of Data (e.g., partial year),
- 8. Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),
- 9. Numerator (e.g., coding issues),
- 10. Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment)Other (please describe in detail).

#### **Other Performance Measure:**

If the state selected "Other" in the "Measure Specification" section of the template, and is thus reporting using another methodology, the user should provide a description of the measure, along with the numerator, denominator, and rate in the "Other Performance Measure" section. If reporting with another methodology, and the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please upload the information to the attachment facility, along with a brief description of the measure (e.g. "data reported for individuals in the 18-64 age range"). "Additional Notes/Comments on Measure" may be entered but is not required.

Beginning in 2012, in an effort to reduce state burden of reporting on the Child Core Set measures, CMS will calculate measures PDENT (Preventive Dental Services) and TDENT (Dental Treatment Services) for states based on data submitted as part of the EPSDT report (Form CMS-416), and measure CLABSI (Pediatric Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infections) based on data submitted by hospitals to the National Healthcare Safety Network database.

#### Clarification About Implementing the CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement Under Section 402(a)(2):

- 11. <u>Title XXI Programs:</u> CHIPRA section 402(a)(2), which amends reporting requirements in section 2108 of the Social Security Act, requires Title XXI Programs (i.e., CHIP Medicaid expansion programs, separate child health programs, or a combination of the two) to report CAHPS results to CMS starting December 2013. While Title XXI Programs may select any CAHPS Child Medicaid survey to fulfill this requirement, CMS encourages these programs to use the CAHPS Health Plan Survey 5.0H Child Questionnaire with or without the Supplemental Questions Items for Children with Chronic Conditions to align with the CAHPS Child Core Set measure. Starting in 2013, Title XXI Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database. More information is available in the Technical Assistance fact sheet, Collecting and Reporting the CAHPS Survey as Required Under the CHIPRA: <a href="http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf">http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf</a>.
- 12. <u>Title XIX Programs:</u> Reporting of the CAHPS survey remains voluntary for Title XIX Programs. Title XIX Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database.

If a state would like to provide CAHPS data on both Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, the agency must sample Title XIX (Medicaid) and Title XXI (CHIP) programs separately and submit separate results to CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.

#### **CHIPRA Quality Demonstration States**

CHIPRA Quality Demonstration states have the option of reporting state developed quality measures through CARTS. Instructions may be found on page 27 in the web-based template and <u>after</u> measure MMA-CH (Medication Management for People with Asthma) on the Word template.

## **MEASURE PPC-CH: Timeliness of Prenatal Care**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
, , , , _	, , ,	∑ Yes □ No
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	□ No
⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	
□ No	□ No	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
_		Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Entire population not covered
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Partial population not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	1 1 1
		☐ Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		Budget constraints
		Staff constraints
		Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		☐ Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
•	The FFY2013 data are provisional since the measure was	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
⊠ Final.	re-programmed to HEDIS® 2013 specifications (previous	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	years reported using HEDIS® 2007) and sample testing has	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	not yet been completed.	·
reported:	⊠ Final.	
•	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	reported:	
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2010  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:2014  □Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with prenatal visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment, depending on the date of enrollment and any gaps in enrollment during pregnancy.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with prenatal visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment, depending on the date of enrollment and any gaps in enrollment during pregnancy.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate?  □ Yes  If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:  □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit  □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor  □ The rates are not weighted  No

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2013
Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment  Numerator: 45154  Denominator: 77439  Rate: 58.3  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  What deviation(s) consists of differences between HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released November 2012. Additionally, currently using Decision Rule 2. Note: LOINC codes are not used by HFS.  Denominator, Explain.	Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment  Numerator: 45008  Denominator: 81704  Rate: 55.1  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  HFS does not use LOINC. Now using all four decision rules, not just Decision Rule 2, as in the past. Our bundled claims do not have the date specificity required by the decision rules so they are not used for this measure.  Denominator, Explain.	Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment  Numerator: 42394  Denominator: 77927  Rate: 54.4  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Winnerator, Explain.  HFS does not use LOINC. Our bundled claims do not have the date specificity required by the decision rules so they are not used for this measure.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specification" section since 2007 was not allowed as an entry. Additionally, currently using only Decision Rule 2. Note: LOINC codes are not used by HFS.  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Additional notes on measure: Using all four decision rules, not just Decision Rule 2, as in the past. Bug fix: The counts were of recipients for a calendar year and should have been counting deliveries. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in time to not impact measurement. Because of changes to measure programming, the rates for FFY2011 (2010 data) and FFY2012 (2011 data) are 48.7% and 50.1%, respectively. These rates differ from those reported into CARTS in previous years.  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Additional notes/comments on measure: A bug was fixed. The counts were counting recipients for a calendar year and should have been counting deliveries. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE FPC-CH: Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? X Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
FFY 2012  Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes  Did you report on this measure?	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?   Did you report on this measure?  Yes  No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:  Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size:  Other. Explain:	Did you Report on this Measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one): ☐ Service not covered ☐ Population not covered ☐ Entire population not covered ☐ Partial population not covered Explain the partial population not covered: ☐ Data not available ☐ Explain why data not available ☐ Budget constraints ☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy ☐ Please explain: ☐ Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply ☐ Requires medical record review ☐ Requires data linkage which does not currently exist ☐ Other: ☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other: Small sample size (less than 30) Enter specific sample size: Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Final.
Zap water of Frontistian Dute.	The FFY2013 data are provisional since the measure was re-	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
□ Final.	programmed and sample testing has not yet been completed.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
reported.	specify year of annual report in which data previously reported.	1

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2010  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014  □Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative Data Only From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Vital Records ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data) From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Vital Records ☐ Other. Specify: From where is the Medical Records Data coming?  Must select one: ☐ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data ☐ Paper ☐ Both (EHR and paper) ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Women with unduplicated count of <21%, 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80%, or >81% of the expected visits, adjusted by month of pregnancy at enrollment and gestational age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Women with unduplicated count of <21%, 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80%, or >81% of the expected visits, adjusted by month of pregnancy at enrollment and gestational age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted  No

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2011	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2013
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior to	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year
prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year	prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
measurement year that received the following number of	that received the following number of visits:	measurement year that received the following number of
visits:	< 21 percent of expected visits	expected prenatal visits:
< 21 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits 61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
≥ 81 percent of expected visits	= or percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits
		_ 01 potestiti 01 stripsotou visits
< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
Numerator: 8246	Numerator: 4308	Numerator: 4358
Denominator: 77439	Denominator: 81704	Denominator: 77927
Rate: 10.7	Rate: 5.3	Rate: 5.6
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
Numerator: 4966	Numerator: 3195	Numerator: 3302
Denominator: 77439	Denominator: 81704	Denominator: 77927
Rate: 6.4	Rate: 3.9	Rate: 4.2
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
Numerator: 8118	Numerator: 3564	Numerator: 3651
Denominator: 77439	Denominator: 81704	Denominator: 77927
Rate: 10.5	Rate: 4.4	Rate: 4.7
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
Numerator: 16342	Numerator: 4709	Numerator: 4776
Denominator: 77439	Denominator: 81704	Denominator: 77927
Rate: 21.1	Rate: 5.8	Rate: 6.1
≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits
Numerator: 39767	Numerator: 65928	Numerator: 61840
Denominator: 77439	Denominator: 81704	Denominator: 77927
Rate: 51.4	Rate: 80.7	Rate: 79.4

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
<ul> <li>Numerator,. Explain.         The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released November 2012. Additionally, currently using Decision Rule 2. Note: LOINC codes not used by HFS.         □ Denominator, Explain.     </li> <li>□ Other, Explain.</li> </ul>	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .  HFS does not use LOINC. Now using all four decision rules, not just Decision Rule 2, as in the past. Our bundled claims do not have the date specificity required by the decision rules so they are not used for this measure.  □Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .  □ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	<ul> <li>Numerator,. Explain.     HFS does not use LOINC. Our bundled claims do not have the date specificity required by the decision rules so they are not used for this measure.     □Denominator, Explain.</li> <li>Other, Explain.</li> </ul>
Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specification" section since 2007 was not allowed as an entry.	Additional notes on measure: Using all four decision rules, not just Decision Rule 2, as in the past. Bug fix: The counts were of recipients for a calendar year and should have been counting deliveries. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. Because of changes to measure programming, the rates for FFY2011 (2010 data) and FFY2012 (2011 data) are reported into CARTS in previous years are not comparable to rates reported in FFY2013.	Additional notes/comments on measure: A bug was fixed. The counts were counting recipients for a calendar year and should have been counting deliveries. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE LBW-CH: Live Births Weighing Less Than 2,500 Grams

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? X Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
		⊠ Yes
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	│ □ No
∑ Yes		
□ No	⊠ Yes □ No	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
		Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Entire population not covered
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Partial population not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	
		Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		☐ Budget constraints
		☐ Staff constraints
		☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
⊠ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	☐ Final.
The FFY2012 data are provisional since the data man		☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
aggregating Vital Record and claims data, and matching Mom		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
and Babies is being revised. It is believed these data ar		reported:
accurate, however.	revision; which is true, then and now. But, FFY2012 &	
Final.	FFY2013 data use the OLD match process pending test of new	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	match process.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification:  ☐ CDC ☐ Other. Explain:  Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ State Vital Records birth data and claims data.	Measurement Specification:  ☐ CDC ☐ Other. Explain:  Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: State Vital Records (VR) birth data and claims data. These VR data are UNCERTIFIED. We are reporting uncertified VR data after a one-year "run-out" period has elapsed. Therefore, we are not reporting CY2012 LBW due to concern about the stability of those uncertified data.	Measurement Specification:  ☐CDC ☐Other. Explain:  Data Source: ☐ Administrative Data Only From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected: ☐ Vital Records Other. Specify: Claims data from MMIS. ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of resident live births <2,500 grams with Medicaid and/or CHIP payer source.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS births with match between baby's Vital Record (birth weight) and Mom's eligibility and claims data (title and payment). See additional notes on measure. The data are provisional since quality tests are not complete. Any changes resulting from quality tests will be included in FFY2013 reporting.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of resident live births <2,500 grams with Medicaid and/or CHIP payer source.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS births with match between baby's Vital Record (birth weight) and Mom's eligibility and claims data (title and payment). See additional notes on measure.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Number of resident live births <2,500 grams with Medicaid and/or CHIP payer source.  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted  No
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Percentage of live births that weighed less than 2,500 grams in
grams in the State reporting period	grams in the State reporting period	the State during the reporting period
Numerator: 5687	Numerator: 6506	Numerator: 6174
Denominator: 65995	Denominator: 73861	Denominator: 71388
Rate: 8.6	Rate: 8.8	Rate: 8.7
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.
	_ , ,	_ , ,
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Trumerator,. Expiain.		Trumerator,. Expiain.
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
Other, <i>Explain</i> .	$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: Baby to Mom link created since	Additional notes on measure: Baby to Mom link is used since	Additional notes/comments on measure: The Vital Record
birth file has weight, but no Mediacid/CHIP indicator. Use	birth file has weight, but no Medicaid/CHIP indicator. Use	(VR) data are UNCERTIFIED. We are reporting uncertified
Mom's eligibility b/c CMSTA response (2/2012) says	Mom's eligibility b/c CMSTA response (2/2012) says	VR data after a one-year "run-out" period has elapsed.
"eligibility for this measure should be based on deliveries	"eligibility for this measure should be based on deliveries	Therefore, we are reporting CY2012 LBW data and not
that were covered by Medicaid or CHIP." For 2010, analysis	that were covered by Medicaid or CHIP." For FFY2013,	CY2013 data, as might be expected, due to concern about the
shows that of the 84,774 total HFS births 65,995 births were	analysis shows that of the 67,553 CY2011 total HFS births,	stability of the uncertified data. Data reflect HFS births with
covered by HFS (i.e., net liability >\$0).	60,878 births were covered by HFS (i.e., net liability >\$0).	match between baby's Vital Record (birth weight) and Mom's
	Vital Records data (2010-2011) are uncertified. Did not report	eligibility and claims data (title and payment). This measure
	2012 data due to instability of the uncertified data.	was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
recitional notes on measure.	1 Goldonar notes on measure.	raditional notes on measure.

MEASURE PCO2-CH: Cesarean Section for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ⊠ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
		⊠ Yes
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	□ No
⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	
□No	□No	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
		Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Entire population not covered
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Partial population not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Explain the partial population not covered.
	Guier. Exputati.	☐ Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		Budget constraints
		Staff constraints
		Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
Ct. Ann. (CD. 4) Donner A. J.	C4.4	Ct. Ann. (D. A. D
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ PIOVISIONAL.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	⊠ Final.
FFY2013 reporting is provisional pending updates to the	FFY2013 reporting is provisional pending updates to the	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Moms and Babies match process revision and because Vital	Moms and Babies match process revision and because Vital	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Records for CY2011, reported here, are uncertified.    Final.	Records for CY2011, reported here, are uncertified.    Final.	reported:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported:	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠ CMQCC	⊠ CMQCC	☐ The Joint Commission
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
Other. Specify:	☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	
State Vital Records (VR) birth data and claims data. The VR	State Vital Records (VR) birth data and claims data. The VR	∀ Vital Records
data are UNCERTIFIED. We are reporting uncertified VR	data are UNCERTIFIED. We are reporting uncertified VR	Other. Specify:
data after a one-year "run-out" period has elapsed. Therefore,	data after a one-year "run-out" period has elapsed. Therefore,	☐ Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data)
we are not reporting CY2012 data due to concern about the	we are not reporting CY2012 data due to concern about the	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
stability of those uncertified data. For example, the percentage	stability of those uncertified data. For example, the percentage	Mus <u>t</u> select one or more
of uncertified CY2012 VR data with unknown delivery type is	of uncertified CY2012 VR data with unknown delivery type is	☐ Medicaid Management Information System
1.25% (n=171, Title 19 and Title 21 combined) compared to	1.25% (n=171, Title 19 and Title 21 combined) compared to	(MMIS)
0.48% for CY2011 uncertified VR data (n=68).	0.48% for CY2011 uncertified VR data (n=68).	☐ Vital Records
		Other. Specify:
		From where is the Medical Records Data coming?
		Must select one:
		☐ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data
		☐ Paper ☐ Both (EHR and paper)
		☐ Other: Specify:
		U Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: The percentage of women that had a	Definition of numerator: The percentage of women that had a	Definition of Topulation included in the incusure.
Cesarean section among women with first live singleton birth	Cesarean section among women with first live singleton birth	Definition of denominator:
at 37 weeks of gestation or later. This is among women for	at 37 weeks of gestation or later. This is among women for	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
whom we have information regarding delivery method; per	whom we have information regarding delivery method; per	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
CMS TA response (10-3-13) the data steward indicates that	CMS TA response (10-3-13) the data steward indicates that	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
"women with unknown method of delivery should be	"women with unknown method of delivery should be	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
excluded from the denominator".	excluded from the denominator".	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	of children excluded:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	State-Level Rate?
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	Yes
of children excluded: Live births at or beyond 73.0 weeks	of children excluded: Live births at or beyond 73.0 weeks	If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
gestation to women that are having their first delivery and are	gestation to women that are having their first delivery and are	☐ The rates are weighted based on the size of the
singleton (no twins or beyond) and are vertex presentation (no	singleton (no twins or beyond) and are vertex presentation (no	measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
breech or transverse positions) among those for whom we have	breech or transverse positions) among those for whom we have	☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting
information about delivery method and can determine it is	information about delivery method and can determine it is	factor

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
their first birth.	their first birth.	☐ The rates are not weighted ☐ No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010 Performance Measurement Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011 Performance Measurement Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012 Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section) among women with first live singleton births (also known as nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of gestation or later	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section among women with first live singleton births (also known as nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of gestation or later	Percentage of nulliparous women with a term, singleton baby in a vertex position delivered by cesarean section.
Numerator: 3528 Denominator: 15441 Rate: 22.85	Numerator: 5281 Denominator: 25058 Rate: 21.1	Numerator: 5170 Denominator: 23929 Rate: 21.6
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	<ul><li>✓ Numerator,. Explain.</li><li>Using claims data not medical record review for</li></ul>	<ul><li>✓ Numerator,. Explain.</li><li>Using claims data not medical record review for</li></ul>
☐ Denominator, Explain. ☐ Other, Explain.	numerator.  ☑Denominator, Explain.  Using claims data not medical record review for denominator.  ☐ Other, Explain.	numerator.  ☑Denominator, Explain.  Using claims data not medical record review for denominator. One issue which cannot be fully resolved is identifying the true total births that can be attributed to a woman. We have the number of HFS births, but do not have the birth number that was previously available from Vital Records.  ☐ Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: For FFY2012, the CY2010 data are provided since we did not report on this measure in the FFY2011 CHIP Annual Report. For comparability, certified CY2009 data are not reported since we are using uncertified Vital Records (VR) data for CY2010 and CY2011 in the report for FFY2012 and FFY2013, respectively. For CY2010, 0.35% of births (n=55) are of unknown delivery type. This is based on uncertified VR data among Title 19 and Title 21 recipients.	Additional notes on measure: For CY2011, 0.48% of births (n=68) are of unknown delivery type. This is based on uncertified VR data among Title 19 and Title 21 recipients.	Additional notes/comments on measure: We are reporting uncertified VR data after a one-year "run-out" period has elapsed. Therefore, we are reporting CY2012 data not CY2013 data, as may be exptected, due to concern about the stability of uncertified Vital Records. Enhancements to the Moms/Babies Data Mart matching process mean there are more mom/baby pairs identified. Therefore, our numbers in the denominator/numerator increased for FFY2014 reporting (CY2012 data). This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE CIS-CH: Childhood Immunization Status

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ⊠ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
		∑ Yes □ No
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	□No
⊠ Yes	ĭ Yes	_
□ No	□ No	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
		Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered
Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Entire population not covered
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Partial population not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	
		☐ Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		☐ Budget constraints
		☐ Staff constraints
		☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		☐ Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
	The FFY2013 data are provisional since the measure	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
⊠ Final.	was re-programmed and sample testing has not yet been	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	completed.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	⊠ Final.	•
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
·r · · · · · ·	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	reported:	
	1	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2010	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	☐ Immunization Registry
		Other. Specify: In addition to claims and public health
		I-CARE registry data, using immunization data from
		Department of Human Services (DHS) Cornerstone client
		information system.
		Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data)
		From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more
		☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
		☐ Immunization Registry
		Other. Specify:
		From where is the Medical Records Data coming?
		Must select one:
		Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data
		Paper
		☐ Both (EHR and paper)
		Other: Specify:
		Cinci. Specify.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end of the calendar year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end of the calendar year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted □ No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second birthday	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children that turned 2 years old during the measurement year and had specific vaccines by their second birthday	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the measurement year and had specific vaccines by their second birthday

FFY	Z <b>2012</b>	FFY	2013	FFY	2014
DTap		DTap		DTap	
Numerator:	Combo 2	Numerator: 63916	Combo 2	Numerator: 59370	Combo 2
Denominator:	Numerator: 60889	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 60222	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 55546
Rate:	Denominator: 92296	Rate: 72.4	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 71.7	Denominator: 82853
	Rate: 66.0		Rate: 68.2		Rate: 67.0
IPV		IPV		IPV	
Numerator:	Combo 3	Numerator: 77071	Combo 3	Numerator: 72136	Combo 3
Denominator:	Numerator: 56184	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 56210	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 52093
Rate:	Denominator: 92296	Rate: 87.2	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 87.1	Denominator: 82853
	Rate: 60.9		Rate: 63.6		Rate: 62.9
MMR		MMR		MMR	
Numerator:	Combo 4	Numerator: 76156	Combo 4	Numerator: 70475	Combo 4
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 48022	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 45914
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate: 86.2	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 85.1	Denominator: 82853
	Rate:		Rate: 54.4		Rate: 55.4
HiB		HiB		HiB	
Numerator:	Combo 5	Numerator: 78814	Combo 5	Numerator: 73546	Combo 5
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 44089	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 42912
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate: 89.2	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 88.8	Denominator: 82853
	Rate:		Rate: 49.9		Rate: 51.8
Нер В		Нер В		Нер В	
Numerator:	Combo 6	Numerator: 81497	Combo 6	Numerator: 76095	Combo 6
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 27206	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 26756
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate: 92.3	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 91.8	Denominator: 82853
	Rate:		Rate: 30.8		Rate: 32.3
VZV		VZV		VZV	
Numerator:	Combo 7	Numerator: 75496	Combo 7	Numerator: 69950	Combo 7
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 39045	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 38820
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate: 85.5	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 84.4	Denominator: 82853
	Rate:		Rate: 44.2		Rate: 46.9
PCV		PCV		PCV	
Numerator:	Combo 8	Numerator: 65359	Combo 8	Numerator: 60990	Combo 8
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator: 88344	Numerator: 24913	Denominator: 82853	Numerator: 25068
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate: 74.0	Denominator: 88344	Rate: 73.6	Denominator: 82853
	Rate:		Rate: 28.2		Rate: 30.3
Hep A		Hep A		Hep A	
Numerator:		Numerator: 62548		Numerator: 60471	
Denominator:		Denominator: 88344		Denominator: 82853	
Rate:		Rate: 70.8		Rate: 73.0	

FFY 2012		FFY 2013		FFY 2014		
RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 57315	Numerator: 22938	Numerator: 56402	Numerator: 23256	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 88344	Denominator: 88344	Denominator: 82853	Denominator: 82853	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 64.9	Rate: 26.0	Rate: 68.1	Rate: 28.1	
Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 33016	Numerator: 21291	Numerator: 32477	Numerator: 21981	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 88344	Denominator: 88344	Denominator: 82853	Denominator: 82853	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 37.4	Rate: 24.1	Rate: 39.2	Rate: 26.5	
Deviations from Measure Speci	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Deviations from Measure Specifications:		 ifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:		
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .				Year of Data, Explain.		
☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain. ☐ Data Source, Explain.				
<ul> <li>✓ Numerator,. Explain.         HFS Combo 3: 4Dtap, 3 IP VZV, 4 PCV. Accepting 1 Pert Hep B since first vaccine is often hospital and billed under mothe Immunization codes in addition □Denominator, Explain.     </li> <li>☐ Other, Explain.</li> </ul>	en given to newborns in er's RIN. Using Cornerstone	to newborns in hospital and billed under mother's RIN. Using cornerstone Immunization codes in addition to CPT, ICD codes. Added immunizations based on codes sent by		<ul> <li>✓ Numerator,. Explain.     Accepting 2 Hep B not 3 since first vaccine is often given to newborns in hospital and billed under mother's RIN.     □Denominator, Explain.</li> <li>□ Other, Explain.</li> </ul>		
Additional notes on measure: Individual vaccines and Combos 4-10 are not reported. A request was submitted to have these combos and individual vaccines programmed. The programming has not yet been completed.		Additional notes on measure: Exclusions to the denominator were first applied for reporting CY2012 data in FFY2013.  These exclusions were not applied to the denominator in previous years. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.		Additional notes/comments on measure: Using Cornerstone Immunization codes in addition to CPT, ICD codes. Added immunizations based on codes sent by Cornerstone registry system that were previously not included. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.		
			ther Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology) (If reporting with another methodology)		odology)	(If reporting with another methodology)			
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure: Additional notes on measure: Additional notes/comments on measure:		measure:				

# **MEASURE IMA-CH: Immunization Status for Adolescents**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  Yes No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain:	Did you report on this measure?  Yes No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain:	Yes
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2012	☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
	Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	<ul> <li>☑ Immunization Registry</li> <li>☑ Other. Specify: In addition to claims and public health</li> </ul>
		I-CARE registry data, using immunization data from
		Department of Human Services (DHS) Cornerstone client
		information system.
		Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data)
		From where is the Administrative Data coming?
		Must select one or more
		☐ Medicaid Management Information System
		(MMIS)
		Immunization Registry
		Other. Specify:
		From where is the Medical Records Data coming?
		Must select one:
		☐ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data ☐ Paper
		☐ Paper ☐ Both (EHR and paper)
		Other: Specify:
		Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
	Definition of numerator: Meningococcal: One meningococcal	
	conjugate or meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine on or	Definition of denominator:
	between the member's 11th and 13th birthdays.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	Tdap/Td: One tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
` '	vaccine (Td) on or between the member's 10th and 13th	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	birthdays.  Combo 1 = Having both the Meningococcal and Tdap/Td as	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
	described above.	of children excluded.
	Definition of denominator:	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	State-Level Rate?
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Yes
	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	The rates are weighted based on the size of the

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
of children excluded: Adolescents who turn 13 years of age	of children excluded: Adolescents who turn 13 years of age	measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
during the measurement year.	during the measurement year.	☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting
		factor
		The rates are not weighted
		⊠ No
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had	Percentage of adolescents that turned 13 years old during the	Percentage of adolescents who turned 13 years old during the
specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.	measurement year and had specific vaccines by their 13th	measurement year and had specific vaccines by their 13th
	birthday	birthday
Meningococcal	Meningococcal	Meningococcal
Numerator: 29134	Numerator: 36337	Numerator: 41406
Denominator: 68949	Denominator: 73518	Denominator: 74979
Rate: 42.3	Rate: 49.43	Rate: 55.2
Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td
Numerator: 32312	Numerator: 40228	Numerator: 51040
Denominator: 68949	Denominator: 73518	Denominator: 74979
Rate: 46.9	Rate: 54.72	Rate: 68.1
Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)
Numerator: 24302	Numerator: 31674	Numerator: 38111
Denominator: 68949	Denominator: 73518	Denominator: 74979
Rate: 35.3	Rate: 43.08	Rate: 50.8
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure: Numerator change: Tdap	Additional notes on measure: Prior to FFY2013, exclusions	Additional notes/comments on measure: Exclusions accept
procedure is using 99.39. Added new Cornerstone codes to	were entered into the measure and applied across all vaccines.	only DX999.42 after 10/1/2011. Meningococcal #s lower b/c
measure. Title 92 now grouping to Title 19. Unknown	This was corrected and now exclusion codes are associated	old process accepted Cornerstone services for ages 10-13 not
counties are now being kept in measure. Denominator change:	with their corresponding vaccine code. Rejected claims are	11-13, also affects 'All IMM' #s. 'All IMM' #s decreased b/c
Title 92 now grouping to Title 19. Unknown counties are now	included. Pending claims are excluded because they are	old process accepted Cornerstone codes for Diphtheria, there
being kept in measure.	adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	are now no Cornerstone codes for Diphtheria. 'Tdap/Td' #s
		increased b/c old process didn't count recipient with separate
		Tetanus and Diphtheria. More IMMs counted b/c codes in
		Cornerstone not previously included. Msr HSAG audited fall
		2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

## Screening

MEASURE WCC-CH: Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents: Body Mass Index Assessment for Children/Adolescents

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
<b>Did you Update any Data for this Measure?</b> ☐ Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
		∑ Yes
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	□No
⊠ Yes		
∑ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  Service not covered Population not covered Partial population not covered Explain the partial population not covered:  Data not available Explain why data not available Budget constraints Staff constraints Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other:
		☐ Information not collected.  Select all that apply ☐ Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) ☐ Other: ☐ Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
		_ `
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	☐ Final.
		☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
Same sam as reported in a previous year s annual report.		i opolica.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:2012  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014  □Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: BMI percentile during the measurement year (using HEDIS® table WCC-B).  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Children 3 - 17 years who had an outpatient visit with a PCP or OB/GYN.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children ages 3 to 17 whose BMI percentile for age and gender was classified and submitted in claims data.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Children ages 3 to 17 having an outpatient visit with a PCP or OB/GYN.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the

FFY	2012	FFY	2013	FFY	2014
				measure-eligible population for each reporting unit  ☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor ☐ The rates are not weighted ☐ No	
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To		Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To	
Performance Measurement D		Performance Measurement D		Performance Measurement D	
Percentage of children 3 throug is classified based on BMI percentage of the based on th			Percentage of children ages 3 to 17 that had an outpatient visit OB/GYN and whose weight is classified based ndex percentile for age and gender  Percentage of children ages 3 to 17 with a PCP or OB/GYN and whose with		nose weight is classified based
3-11years Numerator: 6090 Denominator: 776103 Rate: .90	Total Numerator: 9196 Denominator: 1172077 Rate: .87	3-11 years Numerator: 9842 Denominator: 788512 Rate: 1.33	Total Numerator: 15070 Denominator: 1205088 Rate: 1.33	3-11 years Numerator: 14801 Denominator: 743782 Rate: 2.1	Total Numerator: 23562 Denominator: 1147191 Rate: 2.2
12-17 years Numerator: 3106 Denominator: 395974 Rate: .85		12-17 years Numerator: 5228 Denominator: 416576 Rate: 1.34		12-17 years Numerator: 8761 Denominator: 403409 Rate: 2.3	
Deviations from Measure Speci  Year of Data, Explain.	fications:	Deviations from Measure Speci Year of Data, Explain.	fications:	Deviations from Measure Speci	ifications:
☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.	
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		Denominator, Explain.	
Other, Explain.		Other, Explain.		Other, Explain.	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for	Additional notes on measure: The rate of BMI assessment is	Additional notes/comments on measure: BMI assessment rate
this measure is 2012. Numerator change: Use HCPCS codes	likely much higher, but using only claims data reduces rates.	is likely much higher, but using only claims data reduces rates.
G0270, G0271, S9449, S9452, S9470, S9451 for	HFS published a provider notice (Oct. 2013) advising	A provider notice (Oct. 2013) advised providers to report BMI
ServiceProcedure. HCPCS H2032 deleted. Additional Diag	providers to report BMI assessment in claims and clarifying	in claims. Education sessions are available through ICAAP.
codes selected using substring instead of exact match.	when weight management E&M visits can be billed.	These activities should increase our BMI rates in the future.
Denominator change: ICD 9 diagnosis codes	Education sessions are planned. These activities should	Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded
(V700.703,705,706,708,709) deleted.	increase our BMI rates in the future. Rejected claims are	because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact
	included. Pending claims are excluded because they are	measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall
We believe the actual rate of BMI documentation is much	adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	2014.
higher, but the use of only administrative claims data is		
reducing our rates on this measure.		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE DEV-CH: Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  Yes No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Data not available. Explain: Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Other. Explain:	Did you report on this measure?  Yes  Yes  No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Population not covered.  Data not available. Explain:  Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size:  Other. Explain:	Yes   No   No
		Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	⊠ Final.
<ul> <li>☐ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠ CAHMI	⊠ OHSU	⊠ OHSU
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: The May 2013 core measure specifications describe that states with policies defining tools approved for use under CPT96110 should be able to report on this measure using claims data. IL has such a policy, and has a standardized process to review and approve tools for reimbursement. However, HFS' policy permits the use of domain-specific tools under CPT96110. So, we cannot determine whether providers billing CPT96110 used general or domain-specific screening tools.	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children with one developmental screening at ages: a) <=12 months, b) between >12 and <=24 months, and c) between >24 and <=36 months  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Children who turn 1, 2, or 3 years of age between January 1 and December 31 of the measurment year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children screened for developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second or third bithday.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Children who turn 1, 2, or 3 years of age between January 1 and December 31 of the measurment year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted No

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children screened for risk development behavioral and social delays using a standardized tool in the 12 months preceding their first, second, or third birthday.	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children screened for risk of developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding first, second or third birthday.	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children screened for risk of developmental, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized screening tool in the 12 months preceding first, second or third birthday.
Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: 54840 Denominator: 89985 Rate: 60.9  Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: 46404 Denominator: 93192 Rate: 49.8  Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: 33185 Denominator: 95552 Rate: 34.7	Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: 55795 Denominator: 87849 Rate: 63.5  Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: 48315 Denominator: 90292 Rate: 53.5  Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: 36230 Denominator: 94030 Rate: 38.5	Children screened by 12 months of age Numerator: 55236 Denominator: 85744 Rate: 64.4  Children screened by 24 months of age Numerator: 46752 Denominator: 85822 Rate: 54.5  Children screened by 36 months of age Numerator: 35213 Denominator: 87809 Rate: 40.1
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
<ul> <li>□ Data Source, Explain.</li> <li>□ Numerator,. Explain.</li> <li>□ The specifications state domain-specific objective developmental screening tools should not be used in this measure. However, HFS' provider manual allows domain-specific tools under CPT 96110. We cannot determine whether providers billing CPT 96110 used general or domain-specific screening tools.</li> <li>□ Denominator, Explain.</li> <li>□ Other, Explain.</li> </ul>	□ Data Source, Explain.  □ Numerator,. Explain.  The May 2013 specs say states with policies defining tools to use with CPT96110 should be able to report using claims data. IL has a policy. But, HFS' policy allows domain-specific tools under CPT96110. So, we don't know whether CPT96110 was used with general or domain-specific screening tools.  □ Denominator, Explain.	<ul> <li>□ Data Source, Explain.</li> <li>□ Numerator, Explain.</li> <li>□ HFS' policy permits the use of domain-specific tools under CPT96110. So, we cannot determine whether providers billing CPT96110 used global or domain-specific screening tools.</li> <li>□ Denominator, Explain.</li> <li>□ Other, Explain.</li> </ul>

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure: HFS has not conducted a validity	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure: The July 2014 core
assessment of the claims data compared to the medical record,		measure specs say that states with policies defining tools
as the measure steward recommends in the November 2012		approved for use under CPT96110 should be able to report on
CHIPRA core measure specifications changes "summary of		this measure using claims data. IL has such a policy, and has a
updates" document.		standardized process to review and approve tools for
		reimbursement. However, HFS' policy permits the use of
		domain-specific tools under CPT96110. So, we cannot
		determine whether providers billing CPT96110 used global or
		domain-specific screening tools. Measure audited by HSAG
		during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE CHL-CH: Chlamydia Screening in Women

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes  Did you report on this measure?	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes  Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	Did you Report on this Measure?
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)  Enter specific sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Final.
1	1	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	reported.
reported:	reported:	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS® used below: 2012 ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2012 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative Data Only From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one Chlamydia test during the measurement year as documented through administrative data. A woman is counted as having had a test if she had a claim/encounter with a service date during the measurement year with one or more of the codes.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Females identified as sexually active and 16 - 20 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one Chlamydia test during the measurement year as documented through administrative data. A woman is counted as having had a test if she had a claim/encounter with a service date during the measurement year with one or more of the codes.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Females identified as sexually active and 16 - 20 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted □ No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of women ages 16 to 20 that were identified as sexually active and had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of women ages 16 to 20 that were identified as sexually active and had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of women ages 16 to 20 who were identified as sexually active and had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year
Numerator: 23863 Denominator: 52466 Rate: 45.5	Numerator: 21678 Denominator: 49933 Rate: 43.41	Numerator: 28259 Denominator: 65394 Rate: 43.2

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
Numerator, <i>Explain</i> .	⊠ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
HFS does not use LOINC codes.	HFS does not use LOINC.	
$\square$ Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Measure quality testing found denom. included those with		
eligibility not only those sexually active. Denominator now includes those who are sexually active. Look at rejected claims	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
for exclusions. Additional ICD9 proc codes selected using		
substring instead of exact match. ICD9 DX codes added.		
Other, Explain.		
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Rejected claims are included.	Additional notes/comments on measure: HFS does not use
	Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	LOINC. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not
	sufficient time to not impact measurement.	impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG
		during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

# Well-child Care Visits (WCV)

MEASURE W15-CH: Well-Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  ⊠ Yes □ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	⊠ Yes □ No
The population not covered.   Data not available. Explain:   Small sample size (less than 30).   Specify sample size:   Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  □ Service not covered □ Population not covered □ Entire population not covered □ Partial population not covered □ Explain the partial population not covered:  □ Data not available □ Explain why data not available □ Budget constraints □ Data inconsistencies/accuracy □ Please explain: □ Data source not easily accessible ■ Select all that apply □ Requires medical record review □ Requires data linkage which does not currently exist □ Other: □ Information not collected. ■ Select all that apply □ Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) □ Other: □ Other: □ Other: □ Other: □ Small sample size (less than 30) □ Enter specific sample size: □ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously
VVIIIIII.	I I/N I III al.	i specity year of annual report in which adia previously

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2011 ☐ Other. Explain:  Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013 ☐ Other. Explain:  Data Source: ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Measurement Specification:       ☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014         ☑Other. Explain:       ☑Administrative Data Only         From where is the Administrative Data coming?       Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:         ☑ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)       ☑ Other. Specify:         Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data)       From where is the Administrative Data coming?         Must select one or more       ☑ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)         ☑ Other. Specify:       From where is the Medical Records Data coming?         Must select one:       ☑ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data         ☑ Paper       ☑ Both (EHR and paper)         ☑ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who have received 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+ well child visits by fifteen months of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children (31 days to 15 months of age with no more than 45 day break in enrollment).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who have received 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+ well child visits by fifteen months of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children that turn 15 months of age during the measurement year with no more than 45 day break in enrollment.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit

FFY	2012	FFY 2013		FFY 2014	
				☐ The rates are weighted be factor ☐ The rates are not weight ☒ No	pased on another weighting
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Dercentage of children that tu measurement year and had zer	Pata: rned 15 months old during the o, one, two, three, four, five, or vith a primary care practitioner	Performance Measurement D Percentage of children that tur measurement year and had zero	reata: rned 15 months old during the o, one, two, three, four, five, or vith a primary care practitioner	Performance Measurement Dercentage of children who tu measurement year and had zer	Pata: rned 15 months old during the o, one, two, three, four, five, or with a primary care practitioner
0 visits Numerator: 2313 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 2.6	4 visits Numerator: 5647 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 6.4	O visits Numerator: 2447 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 2.9	4 visits Numerator: 5421 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 6.3	O visits Numerator: 3749 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 4.5	4 visits Numerator: 5034 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 6.1
1 visits Numerator: 1968 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 2.2	5 visits Numerator: 8210 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 9.4	1 visits Numerator: 2112 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 2.5	5 visits Numerator: 7429 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 8.7	1 visits Numerator: 2906 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 3.5	5 visits Numerator: 6922 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 8.4
2 visits Numerator: 2791 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 3.2	6+ visits Numerator: 62882 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 71.6	2 visits Numerator: 2991 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 3.5	6+ visits Numerator: 61478 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 71.7	2 visits Numerator: 3270 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 4.0	6+ visits Numerator: 56668 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 68.6
3 visits Numerator: 3987 Denominator: 87798 Rate: 4.5		3 visits Numerator: 3861 Denominator: 85739 Rate: 4.5		3 visits Numerator: 4004 Denominator: 82553 Rate: 4.9	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: Numerator change: Measure was updated to look for diagnosis codes independent of procedure codes as recommended by HSAG following a measure audit.	Additional notes on measure: Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Prevously, we did not define PCP so the measure was accepting all types. This was changed and we now assess by ProviderTypeCd and SpecialtyType to assure selection of only primary care providers. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE W34-CH: Well-Child Visits in the Third, Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Years of Life

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain:	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain:	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul> If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  ☐ Service not covered
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	☐ Population not covered         ☐ Entire population not covered         ☐ Partial population not covered         Explain the partial population not covered:         ☐ Data not available         ☐ Budget constraints         ☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy         Please explain:         ☐ Data source not easily accessible         Select all that apply         ☐ Requires medical record review         ☐ Requires data linkage which does not currently exist         ☐ Other:         ☐ Information not collected.         Select all that apply         ☐ Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)         ☐ Other:         ☐ Other:         ☐ Small sample size (less than 30)         Enter specific sample size:         ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Explanation of Provisional Data:  □ Final. □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2011  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013 ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014  □Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) ages three, four, five or six years during the measurement year, and who received one or more well child visits during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children ages three, four, five or six years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) ages three, four, five or six years during the measurement year, and who received one or more well child visits with a PCP during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children ages three, four, five or six years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
		⊠ No
		_
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or	Percentage of children ages 3 to 6 that had one or more well-	Percentage of children ages 3 to 6 who had one or more
more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	child visits with a primary care practitioner during the	well-child visits with a primary care practitioner (PCP)
the measurement year.	measurement year.	during the measurement year.
1+ visits	<u>1+ visits</u>	<u>1+ visits</u>
Numerator: 265963	Numerator: 257459	Numerator: 237382
Denominator: 373913	Denominator: 373757	Denominator: 344453
Rate: 71.1	Rate: 68.9	Rate: 68.9
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	-	-
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
-	-	_
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: Numerator change: Measure was	Additional notes on measure: Rejected claims are included.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Prevously, we did
updated to look for diagnosis codes independent of procedure	Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in	not define PCP so the measure was accepting all types. This
codes as recommended by HSAG following a measure audit.	sufficient time to not impact measurement.	was changed and we now assess by ProviderTypeCd and
		SpecialtyType to assure selection of only primary care
		providers. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are
		excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to
		not impact measurement. This measure was audited by
		HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE AWC-CH: Adolescent Well-Care Visit

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).     Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).     Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	Yes
		Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final.
<ul> <li>☑ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:</li> </ul>	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2012	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative Data Only From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data) From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Other. Specify: From where is the Medical Records Data coming?  Must select one: ☐ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data ☐ Paper ☐ Both (EHR and paper) ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Adolescents ages 12 through 20 years of age	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Adolescents ages 12 through 20 years of age	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes  If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted No

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011  Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012 Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013 Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of adolescents ages 12 to 21 that had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an obstetrical/gynecological (OB/GYN) practitioner during the measurement year.	Percentage of adolescents ages 12 to 21 that had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an obstetrical/gynecological (OB/GYN) practitioner during the measurement year.	Percentage of adolescents ages 12 to 21 who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP) or an obstetrical/gynecological (OB/GYN) practitioner during the measurement year.
Numerator: 207937 Denominator: 507238 Rate: 41.0	Numerator: 219392 Denominator: 527668 Rate: 41.58	Numerator: 241518 Denominator: 509092 Rate: 47.4
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: Numerator change: Added HCPCS G0438, G0439 per HEDIS® 2012. PCP now identified using ProviderAffiliationV.TypeCds 'P', 'G' and 'Y'; before, any PCP was being counted in measure. Before, HFS used Table PPC-D to identify Prenatal Care visits; removed table PPC-D for this measure to comply with HEDIS®. Measure was updated to look for diagnosis codes independent of procedure codes as recommended by HSAG following a measure audit.	Additional notes on measure: Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Prevously, we too narrowly defined 'PCP' using a restrictive set of codes (i.e., ProviderAffiliationV.TypeCds 'P', 'G' and 'Y') thereby reducing our rates. Programming was changed to appropriately define PCPs, which includes OB/GYNEs. Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Dental**

### **MEASURE PDENT-CH: Percentage of Eligibles That Received Preventive Dental Services**

In an effort to reduce state burden of reporting on the Child Core Set measures, CMS will be calculating this measure for your state based on data submitted as part of the annual EPSDT report (Form CMS-416). If you are unfamiliar with the data reported by your state on the Form CMS-416, CMS encourages communication with the responsible staff to ensure familiarity with the data as it will be reported publicly in the Annual Secretary's Report.

Note: the denominator for this measure includes <u>only</u> individuals enrolled in a Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid expansion program determined to be eligible for EPSDT services. If you are reporting data about a separate CHIP program, you will be asked to provide dental data in Section IIIG of this report.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you Report on this Measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
□ No	□No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Service not covered
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Population not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	☐ Entire population not covered
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	☐ Partial population not covered
		Explain the partial population not covered:
		_
		Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		☐ Budget constraints
		Staff constraints
		☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		☐ Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Final.
		☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	1
reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
CMS	□CMS	□CMS
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
	Suiter speedy).	Other. Specify:
		Other: Specify:
		Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of Lopalation Included in the fizagate.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
of children excluded:	of children excluded:	of children excluded:
of children excided.	of children excided.	of children excluded.
		Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
		(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
		State-Level Rate?
		Yes
		If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
		The rates are weighted based on the size of the
		measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
		The rates are weighted based on another weighting
		factor
		☐ The rates are not weighted
		□No
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in
preventive dental services	Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible	Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible
	for EPSDT services, and that received preventive dental	for EPSDT services, and that received preventive dental
	services	services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### Access

MEASURE CAP-CH: Child and Adolescent Access to Primary Care Practitioners

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  ⊠ Yes □ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	⊠ Yes □ No
Population not covered.   Data not available. Explain:   Small sample size (less than 30).   Specify sample size:   Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).     Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  Service not covered Population not covered Entire population not covered Explain the partial population not covered:  Data not available Explain why data not available Budget constraints Staff constraints Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other: Information not collected. Select all that apply Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other: Other: Small sample size (less than 30) Enter specific sample size: Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2011 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014 ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) 12 months - 19 years who had a visit with a PCP.  • Children 12–24 months and 25 months–6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year.  • Children 7–11 years and adolescents 12–19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) from 12 months to 19 years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) 12 months - 19 years who had a visit with a PCP.  • Children 12–24 months and 25 months−6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year.  • Children 7–11 years and adolescents 12–19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) from 12 months to 19 years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted □ No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013

FFY 2012	FFY	Z 2013	FFY	2014	
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children and adolescents ages 12 months to years that had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCF including four separate percentages:  1. Children ages 12 to 24 months and 25 months to 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year	Performance Measurement I Percentage of children and add years that had a visit with a pri including four separate percent 3. Children ages 12 to 24 m who had a visit with a PC 4. Children ages 7 to 11 year years who had a visit with	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children and adolescents ages 12 months to 19 years that had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP), including four separate percentages: 3. Children ages 12 to 24 months and 25 months to 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year		Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children and adolescents ages 12 months to 19 years who had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP), including four separate percentages: 5. Children ages 12 to 24 months and 25 months to 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year to 19 6. Children ages 7 to 11 years and adolescents ages 12 to 19	
12-24 months         7-11 years           Numerator: 157139         Numerator: 297596           Denominator: 180521         Denominator: 376951           Rate: 87.1         Rate: 79.0           25 months-6 years         Numerator: 289332           Numerator: 373898         Numerator: 344046           Denominator: 440173         Rate: 78.2	12-24 months Numerator: 149097 Denominator: 173074 Rate: 86.15  25 months-6 years Numerator: 286871 Denominator: 373738 Rate: 76.76	7-11 years Numerator: 314168 Denominator: 391709 Rate: 80.20 12-19 years Numerator: 368226 Denominator: 464031 Rate: 79.35	12-24 months Numerator: 144580 Denominator: 160516 Rate: 90.1  25 months-6 years Numerator: 285581 Denominator: 344460 Rate: 82.9	7-11 years Numerator: 321672 Denominator: 378410 Rate: 85.0  12-19 years Numerator: 385976 Denominator: 450552 Rate: 85.7	
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Spect Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Numerator,. Explain.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.	cifications:	Deviations from Measure Spec  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.	ifications:	
Additional notes on measure: Numerator change: Added HCPCS G0438, G0439.  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology)	Pending claims are excluded b sufficient time to not impact m  Other Performance Measure	Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.  narrowly def ProviderAffil reducing our define PCPs included. Per adjudicated it			
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	Numerator:			ioaoiogy)	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### MEASURE TDENT-CH: Percentage of Eligibles that Received Dental Treatment Services

In an effort to reduce state burden of reporting on the Core Measures, CMS will be calculating this measure for your state based on data submitted as part of the annual EPSDT report (Form CMS-416). If you are unfamiliar with the data reported by your state on the Form CMS-416, CMS encourages communication with the responsible staff to ensure familiarity with the data as it will be reported publicly in the Annual Secretary's Report.

Note: the denominator for this measure includes <u>only</u> individuals enrolled in a Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid expansion program determined to be eligible for EPSDT services. If you are reporting

data about a separate CHIP program, you will be asked to provide dental data in Section IIIG of this report.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you Report on this Measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
□ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	□ No
_		_
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  Service not covered Population not covered Entire population not covered Explain the partial population not covered:  Data not available Explain why data not available Budget constraints Staff constraints Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other: Information not collected. Select all that apply Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other: Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	☐ Final.
		☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Final.	Final.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐ CMS	□CMS	□CMS
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
		Other. Specify:
		Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
of children excluded:	of children excluded:	of children excluded:
		Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
		(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
		State-Level Rate?
		Yes
		If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
		☐ The rates are weighted based on the size of the
		measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
		☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting
		factor
		The rates are not weighted
		□ No
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013 FFY 2014	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in	Percentage of individuals ages 1 to 20 who are enrolled in
treatment services	Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible	Medicaid or CHIP Medicaid Expansion programs, are eligible
	for EPSDT services, and that received dental treatment	for EPSDT services, and that received dental treatment
	services	services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	_	
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, Explain.	$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, <i>Explain</i> .
A 1197 1 1	A 1 152	A 11'-2' 1 /
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE AMB-CH: Ambulatory Care - Emergency Department (ED) Visits

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes  Did you report on this measure?	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ☐ Yes  Did you report on this measure?  ☑ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	Did you Report on this Measure?
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)  Enter specific sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Final.
1	1	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
□ Final.	☐ Final.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported:	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2012  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative Data Only From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected: ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of ambulatory care emergency department visits among children through 19 years of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Number of member months for children through 19 years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of ambulatory care emergency department visits among children through 19 years of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Number of member months for children through 19 years of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted No
<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data: Rate of ED visits per 1,000 member months among children up to age 19	Performance Measurement Data: Rate of ED visits per 1,000 member months among children up to age 19	Performance Measurement Data: Rate of ED visits per 1,000 enrollee months among children up to age 19

FFY 2012		FFY	2013	FFY	2014	
<1 year	10 to 19 years	<1 year	10 to 19 years	<1 year	10 to 19 years	
Numerator: 50703	Numerator: 226873	Numerator: 50147	Numerator: 225716	Numerator: 45550	Numerator: 295001	
Denominator: 532353	Denominator: 6813326	Denominator: 525825	Denominator: 7202276	Denominator: 524161	Denominator: 8723537	
Rate:	Rate: 33	Rate: 95	Rate: 31	Rate: 86.9	Rate: 33.8	
1 to 9 years Numerator: 462109 Denominator: 8877971 Rate: 52	Total Numerator: 739685 Denominator: 0000000 Rate: 46	1 to 9 years Numerator: 446022 Denominator: 8970253 Rate: 50	Total Numerator: 721885 Denominator: 16698354 Rate: 43	1 to 9 years Numerator: 492038 Denominator: 9958474 Rate: 49.4	Total Numerator: 832589 Denominator: 19206172 Rate: 43.4	
Deviations from Measure Speci	ifications:	Deviations from Measure Speci	ifications:	Deviations from Measure Spec Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	ifications:	
☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> . Rejected claims are include	ed. Voided medical claims are	
Denominator, Explain.		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		excluded. Rejected or voided p Pending claims are excluded be		
Other, Explain.		Other, Explain.		sufficient time to not impact me Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		
				Other, Explain.		
Additional notes on measure: Denom.=16223650; field truncated #.  Denom. change: ICD9 proc/diag codes now selected w/sub-		Additional notes on measure: R Pending claims are excluded be sufficient time to not impact me	ecause they are adjudicated in	Additional notes/comments on exclusions were not applied procriteria was met, all visits and recipient were excluded. This v	operly. When the exclusion nember months for that was corrected to now only	
string not exact match. Before, denom. was only those with an ED visit; now is number of member months for all recipients. Added CPTs 10040-69979 with place of service = ED.				exclude the specific visit, but s the numerator and member mor Rejected claims are included. F because they are adjudicated in	nths in the denominator. Pending claims are excluded	
In 2012, programming code reviewed/updated to be consistent with specifications. Group convened to develop policy regarding updating measures, to review data use and				measurement. This measure wa 2014.		
availability, and to generally investigate measure quality.						
Other Performance Measurement Data:		Other Performance Measurer		Other Performance Measure		
(If reporting with another methodology)		(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	
Numerator:		Numerator: Denominator:		Numerator: Denominator:		
Denominator: Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Tune.		Tune.		Tutto.		

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

# **Inpatient**

# MEASURE CLABSI-CH: Pediatric Central Line-Associated Blood Stream Infections- Neonatal Intensive Care Unit and Pediatric Intensive Care Unit

Because the data for this measure are collected by hospitals and are not readily available to states, CMS plans to obtain data to calculate this measure from the National Healthcare Safety Network. Thus, states do not need to report this measure in CARTS.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you Report on this Measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
_		_
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  Service not covered Population not covered Entire population not covered Explain the partial population not covered:  Data not available Explain why data not available Budget constraints Staff constraints Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other: Information not collected.
		Select all that apply  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other: Small sample size (less than 30) Enter specific sample size: Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Ехрининон ој 1 rovisionai Daia.	Explanation of 1 tovisional Data.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
□CDC	□CDC	□CDC 1
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Hybrid (Administrative and Medical Records Data) From where is the Administrative Data coming?  Must select one or more ☐ Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS) ☐ Other. Specify: From where is the Medical Records Data coming?  Must select one: ☐ Electronic Health Record (EHR) Data ☐ Paper ☐ Both (EHR and paper) ☐ Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.  Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
		Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate?  Yes  If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor The rates are not weighted No

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections
(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units	(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units	(CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units
during periods selected for surveillance	during periods selected for surveillance	during periods selected for surveillance
Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
<u>  _</u>		
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE ADD-CH: Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication FFY 2012 FFY 2013 **FFY 2014 Did you Update any Data for this Measure?** Yes **Did you Update any Data for this Measure?** Yes Did you Report on this Measure? ∑ Yes □ No Did you report on this measure? Did you report on this measure? ⊠ Yes □ No X Yes П No If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: Population not covered. Select all that apply (Must select at least one): Population not covered. Data not available. *Explain*: Data not available. *Explain*: Service not covered Small sample size (less than 30). Population not covered ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). Specify sample size: Specify sample size: Entire population not covered Partial population not covered Other. *Explain*: Other. *Explain*: Explain the partial population not covered: Data not available Explain why data not available ☐ Budget constraints Staff constraints ☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: ☐ Data source not easily accessible Select all that apply Requires medical record review Requires data linkage which does not currently exist Other: ☐ Information not collected. Select all that apply Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) Other: Other: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30) Enter specific sample size: Other. Explain: **Status of Data Reported: Status of Data Reported: Status of Data Reported:** Provisional. Provisional. ☐ Provisional. Final. Explanation of Provisional Data: Explanation of Provisional Data: ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. This measure is provisional since it is newly developed ☐ Final. and quality testing conducted by the Department is not fully Specify year of annual report in which data previously complete. Any subsequent changes to the measure that result ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. reported:

Specify year of annual report in which data previously

reported:

☐ Final.

from quality testing will be included in FFY2013 reporting.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:		
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2012	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2012	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Data Source:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Administrative Data Only
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
☐ Other. Specify.	☐ Other. Specify.	Must select one of more if Administrative Data is selected.  Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
		☐ Other. Specify:
		Other: Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Initiation: % of children 6-12 years	Definition of numerator: Initiation: % of members 6-12 years	
by the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with a	by the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an	Definition of denominator:
dispensed ADHD prescription who had 1 followup visit with a	ADHD prescription dispensed who had 1 followup visit with a	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
PCP with prescribing authority during the 30 day initiation	PCP with prescribing authority during the 30 day initiation	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
phase.	phase.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
Continuation and Maintenance: % of members 6-12 years by	Continuation and Maintenance: % of members 6-12 years by	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription who stayed on	the IPSD with an ADHD prescription dispensed who stayed on	of children excluded:
medication for at least 210 days and, plus initiation phase visit,	medication for at least 210 days and, plus initiation phase visit,	
had at least two follow-up visits with PCP within 270 days	had at least two follow-up visits with PCP in 270 days after the	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
after the initiation phase ended.	initiation phase ended.	(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	State-Level Rate?
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Yes
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ The rates are weighted based on the size of the
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting
of children excluded: Children 6-12 years of age with a	of children excluded: Children 6-12 years of age with a	factor
negative medication history who are dispensed ADHD	negative medication history who are dispensed ADHD	☐ The rates are not weighted
medication during the 12-month Intake Period and who do not	medication during the 12-month Intake Period and who do not	⊠ No
have an acute inpatient claim/encounter with principal	have an acute inpatient claim/encounter with principal	
diagnosis or DRG for mental health or substance abuse during	diagnosis or DRG for mental health or substance abuse during	
30 days after the IPSD.	30 days after the IPSD.	
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 03/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 02/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 03/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 02/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 03/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 02/2013

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication
that had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month	that had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month	who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month
period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first	period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first	period, one of which was within 30 days from the time the first
ADHD medication was dispensed, including two rates: one for	ADHD medication was dispensed, including two rates: one for	ADHD medication was dispensed, including two rates: one for
the initiation phase and one for the continuation and	the initiation phase and one for the continuation and	the initiation phase and one for the continuation and
maintenance phase	maintenance phase	maintenance phase
Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase
Numerator: 4074	Numerator: 4833	Numerator: 4550
Denominator: 12818	Denominator: 14447	Denominator: 14279
Rate: 31.8	Rate: 33.45	Rate: 31.9
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:
Numerator: 1406	Numerator: 1571	Numerator: 1320
Denominator: 3604	Denominator: 4121	Denominator: 3443
Rate: 39.0	Rate: 38.12	Rate: 38.3
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.
☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
Additional notes on measure: Numerator note: A CMS TA request was submitted to use a provider type code with a category of service code combined to define "practitioner with prescribing authority". The current coding scheme identifies providers with a DEA number. The absence of the proposed coding scheme under-estimates follow-up visits.	Additional notes on measure: Numerator note: A TA request was sent requesting use of a provider type code combined with category of service code to define Mental Health Services Providers as "practitioners w/prescribing authority". The coding scheme used follows the measure specifications, defining providers with a DEA number. We believe that not permitting the use of a provider type code combined with a category of service code to identify Mental Health Services Providers for this measure under-estimates follow-up visits.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

# Mental Health

MEASURE FUH-CH: Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
Did you report on this measure?  ☑ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☑ Yes ☐ No	⊠ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).     Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  Service not covered  Population not covered  Entire population not covered  Partial population not covered  Explain the partial population not covered:  Data not available  Explain why data not available  Budget constraints  Data inconsistencies/accuracy  Please explain:  Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply  Requires medical record review  Requires data linkage which does not currently exist  Other:  Information not collected.  Select all that apply  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)  Other:  Small sample size (less than 30)  Enter specific sample size:  Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  This measure is provisional since it is newly developed and quality testing conducted by the Department is not fully	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously

EEV 2012	FFY 2013	EES/ 2014
FFY 2012	=======	FFY 2014
complete. Any subsequent changes to the measure that result	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	reported:
from quality testing will be included in FFY2013 reporting.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
Final.	reported:	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:		
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2012	☑HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2013	⊠HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	☐ Administrative Data Only
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	From where is the Administrative Data coming?
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Must select one or more if Administrative Data is selected:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Medicaid Management Information System (MMIS)
Suici. Specify.	Suici. Specify.	Other. Specify:
		Other: Specify:
		U Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Percentage of discharges for children	Definition of numerator: Percentage of discharges for children	Definition of Topulation Included in the Measure.
aged 6 years and older who were hospitalized for treatment of	aged 6 years and older who were hospitalized for treatment of	Definition of denominator:
a mental health disorder and who had an outpatient visit,	a mental health disorder and who had an outpatient visit,	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a	intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
mental health practitioner.	mental health practitioner.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
Denominator includes CHIP population only.		please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	of children excluded:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
of children excluded: Number of discharges among children	of children excluded: Number of discharges among children	State-Level Rate?
6-20 years discharged alive from acute inpatient setting	6-20 years discharged alive from acute inpatient setting	Yes
(includes acute care psychiatric facilities) with principal	(includes acute care psychiatric facilities) with principal	If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
mental health diagnosis between January 1 and December 1 of	mental health diagnosis between January 1 and December 1 of	☐ The rates are weighted based on the size of the
measurement year. (Denominator is the number of discharges	measurement year. (Denominator is the number of discharges	measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
not the number of children.)	not the number of children.)	☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting
		factor
		☐ The rates are not weighted
		⊠ No
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older that were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Percentage of discharges for children ages 6 to 20 that were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner within 7 days of discharge and within 30 days of discharge	Percentage of discharges for children ages 6 to 20 who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner within 7 days of discharge and within 30 days of discharge
7 Day Follow-Up Numerator: 930 Denominator: 3635 Rate: 25.6	7 Day Follow-Up (children ages 6 to 20) Numerator: 647 Denominator: 2013 Rate: 32.1	7 Day Follow-Up (children ages 6 to 20) Numerator: 713 Denominator: 2049 Rate: 34.8
30 Day Follow-Up Numerator: 1587 Denominator: 3635 Rate: 43.7	30 Day Follow-Up (children ages 6 to 20) Numerator: 1111 Denominator: 2013 Rate: 55.2	30 Day Follow-Up (children ages 6 to 20) Numerator: 1158 Denominator: 2049 Rate: 56.5
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Measure testing identified that the programming was NOT limiting the age range to ages 6-20 for FFY2012 (CY2011 data) and FFY2011 (CY2010) reporting. The numerators/denominators/rates are correctly reflecting the populations 6-20 years for FFY2013 (CY2012 data). Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Rejected claims are included. Pending claims are excluded because they are adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:	Denominator: Rate:
Nate.	Nate.	Nat.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE CPC-CH: Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers and Systems® (CAHPS) 5.0H (Child Version Including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions Supplemental Items)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Did you Update any Data for this Measure? Yes	Did you Update any Data for this Measure?  Yes	Did you Collect this measure?
· · · · —		Yes
Did you collect on this measure?	Did you collect on this measure?	⊠ No
Yes	⊠ Yes	_
No	□No	
_		
If yes, how did you report this measure (select all that	If yes, how did you report this measure (select all that	If Yes, How Did you Report this Measure (select all that
apply)	apply)	apply):
Submitted raw data to AHRQ.	Submitted raw data to AHRQ.	Submitted raw data to AHRQ (CAHPS Database)
Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS	Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS	Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS
attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS	attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS	attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to
data to CMS)	data to CMS)	CMS)
	·	Other: Explain:
If no, explain why data were not collected:	If no, explain why data were not collected:	
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Service not covered
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Population not covered
☑ Other. <i>Explain</i> : HFS will amend contracts or procure	Other. Explain:	☐ Entire population not covered
contracts as necessary to implement the CAHPS® survey,		☐ Partial population not covered
including the CCC questions, to include representative samples		Explain the partial population not covered:
of Medicaid and CHIP populations. As yet, these		
procurements have not been completed		☐ Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		□ Budget constraints
		☐ Staff constraints
		☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		☐ Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other: FFY2013 CAHPS timing delayed survey
		deployment with data collected during Jan./Feb. 2014.
		Determined that redeploying again during CY2014 was
		financially burdensome. Healthcare delivery system changes

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
		during FFY14 summer and fall mean many children moved into managed care entities from fee-for-service arrangements. With large numbers of children switching models, conducting a survey in 2014 was not deemed a good use of financial resources as children may have only recently enrolled in the plan.  Small sample size (less than 30)  Enter specific sample size:  Other. Explain:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of population included in the survey sample:  Survey sample includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only.  Survey sample includes Medicaid (Title XIX) population only.  Survey sample includes CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations, combined.  Two sets of survey results submitted; survey samples includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations, separately.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of population included in the survey sample:  Survey sample includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only.  Survey sample includes Medicaid (Title XIX) population only.  Survey sample includes CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations, combined.  Two sets of survey results submitted; survey samples includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations, separately.
	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: HFS' EQRO is now conducting 2013 CAHPS for Title XIX/XXI populations (Jan-Mar). IL will load summary report into CARTS in April 2014 or thereafter. At that time, Illinois will respectfully request CARTS be reopened for us to submit the summary.	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used?  ☐ CAHPS 4.0. ☐ CAHPS 4.0H. ☐ Other. Explain:	Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used?  ☐ CAHPS 5.0.  ☐ CAHPS 5.0H. ☐ Other. Explain:	Which Version of the CAHPS® Survey was Used?  ☐ CAHPS 5.0. ☐ CAHPS 5.0H. ☐ Other. Explain:
Which supplemental item sets were included in the survey?  ☐ No supplemental item sets were included ☐ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions ☐ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain:	Which supplemental item sets were included in the survey?  ☐ No supplemental item sets were included ☐ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions ☐ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain: UT1 – In the last six months, how many times did your child go to an emergency room for care?  AH1 – After hours care is health care when your child's usual doctor's office or clinic is closed. In the last six months, did your child need to visit a doctor's office or clinic for after hours care?	Which Supplemental Item Sets were Included in the Survey?  ☐ No supplemental item sets were included ☐ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions ☐ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	AH2 – In the last six months, how often was it easy to get the	
	after hours care you though you needed for your child?	
	R1 – In the last six months, how often was it easy to get a	
	referral to a specialist that your child needed to see?	
	MH1 – In general, how would you rate your child's overall	
	mental or emotional health?	
	Which Administrative Protocol was Used to Administer	Which Administrative Protocol was Used to Administer
	the Survey?	the Survey?
	NCQA HEDIS CAHPS 5.0H administrative protocol	☐ NCQA HEDIS CAHPS 5.0H administrative protocol
	AHRQ CAHPS administrative protocol	☐ AHRQ CAHPS administrative protocol
	Other administrative protocol. Explain:	☐ Other administrative protocol. Explain:

MEASURE HPV-CH; Human Papillomavirus (HPV) for Fer		
	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	Did you Update any Data for this Measure? ⊠ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
		• •
	Did you report on this measure?	⊠ Yes
	r v · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	∑ Yes □ No
	⊠ Yes	
	No	TODANAD AIDE ELITTE
		If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
	TOD A NAD. A LDI. TO LA TOU	Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered
	Population not covered.	Population not covered
	☐ Data not available. Explain:	Entire population not covered
	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	Partial population not covered
	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:
	Other. Explain:	Explain the partial population not covered.
		☐ Data not available
		Explain why data not available
		☐ Budget constraints
		☐ Staff constraints
		☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy
		Please explain:
		Data source not easily accessible
		Select all that apply
		Requires medical record review
		Requires data linkage which does not currently
		exist
		Other:
		☐ Information not collected.
		Select all that apply
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)
		Other:
		Other:
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)
		Enter specific sample size:
		Other. Explain:
	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
	Provisional.	Provisional.
	Explanation of Provisional Data: The FFY2013 data are	☐ Final.
	provisional since the measure was newly programmed and	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	sample testing has not yet been completed.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	☐ Final.	reported:

	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS® below: 2013 ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014 ☐Other. Explain:
	Data Source:	Data Source:

FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Female adolescents that turned 13	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
years of age during the measurement year who had at least three HPV vaccinations, with different dates of service, on or between their 9th and 13th birthdays.  Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Female adolescents who turned 13 years of age during the measurement year.	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate?  ☐ Yes  If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: ☐ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit ☐ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor ☐ The rates are not weighted ☒ No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 <b>To:</b> (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of females that turned 13 years old during the measurement year and had three doses of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine by their 13th birthday Numerator: 4301 Denominator: 36096 Rate: 11.9	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of females who turned 13 years old during the measurement year and who had three doses of the human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccine by their 13th birthday Numerator: 5143 Denominator: 36883 Rate: 13.9
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain
☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain
☐ Numerator, Explain	☐ Numerator, Explain
Denominator, Explain	Denominator, Explain
Other, Explain	Other, Explain

FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes/comments on measure: Rejected claims are	Additional notes/comments on measure: Rejected claims are
included. Pending claims are excluded because they are	included. Pending claims are excluded because they are
adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement.	adjudicated in sufficient time to not impact measurement. This
	measure was audited by HSAG during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE BHRA-CH: Maternity Care - Benavioral Health	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
	<b>Did you Update any Data for this Measure?</b> ☐ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?
	Did you Report on this Measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No  If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why: ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30). ☐ Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain: Data are not available since this measure is specified for collection using electronic health records (EHR). Illinois' Medicaid/CHIP program does not collect EHR data.	☐ Yes   ☐ No    If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  Select all that apply (Must select at least one):  ☐ Service not covered ☐ Population not covered ☐ Entire population not covered ☐ Partial population not covered ☐ Explain the partial population not covered:  ☐ Data not available ☐ Budget constraints ☐ Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain: ☐ Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply ☐ Requires medical record review ☐ Requires data linkage which does not currently exist ☐ Other: ☐ Information not collected.  Select all that apply ☐ Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan) ☐ Other: ☐ O
	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:

FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
□AMA-PCPI.	□AMA-PCPI.
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Electronic Health Records. Specify:	☐ Electronic Health Records. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Explanation:	
•	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator:	
	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator:	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
	If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	please further define the denominator, and indicate the number
please further define the denominator, and indicate the number	of children excluded:
of children excluded:	
	Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units
	(e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a
	State-Level Rate?
	Yes
	If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted:
	The rates are weighted based on the size of the
	measure-eligible population for each reporting unit
	The rates are weighted based on another weighting
	factor
	The rates are not weighted
	□ No
Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)

MEASURE BHRA-CH: Maternity Care - Behavioral Health Risk Assessment (continued)

FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of women, regardless of age, who gave birth during	Percentage of women, regardless of age, who gave birth during
a 12-month period that were seen at least once for prenatal	a 12-month period seen at least once for prenatal care who
care and who received a behavioral health risk assessment at	received a behavioral health screening risk assessment that
the first prenatal visit	includes the following screenings at the first prenatal visit:
	depression screening, alcohol use screening, tobacco use
	screening, drug-use screening (illicit and prescription, over the
	counter), and intimate partner violence screening
Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain	Year of Data, Explain
☐ Data Source, Explain	☐ Data Source, Explain
Data Source, Explain	Data Source, Explain
☐ Numerator, Explain	☐ Numerator, Explain
	, r
☐ Denominator, Explain	☐ Denominator, Explain
_	
Other, Explain	Other, Explain
Additional notes/comments on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

MEASURE MIMA-CH: Medication Management for People with Asthma			
	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
	<b>Did you Update any Data for this Measure?</b> ⊠ Yes	Did you Report on this Measure?	
	Did you Report on this Measure?	⊠ Yes	
		□ No	
	⊠ Yes		
	□No	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
		Select all that apply (Must select at least one):	
	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	Service not covered	
	Population not covered.	Population not covered	
	Data not available. Explain:	Entire population not covered	
	Small sample size (less than 30).	Partial population not covered	
	Specify sample size:	Explain the partial population not covered:	
	Other. Explain:	Explain the partial population not covered.	
	Спол. Бирин.	☐ Data not available	
		Explain why data not available	
		Budget constraints	
		☐ Staff constraints	
		Data inconsistencies/accuracy	
		Please explain:	
		Data source not easily accessible	
		Select all that apply	
		Requires medical record review	
		Requires data linkage which does not currently	
		exist	
		Other:	
		☐ Information not collected.	
		Select all that apply	
		Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)	
		Other:	
		Other:	
		☐ Small sample size (less than 30)	
		Enter specific sample size:	
		Other. Explain:	
	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
	Provisional.	Provisional.	
	Explanation of Provisional Data: The FFY2013 data are	⊠ Final.	
	provisional since the measure was newly programmed and	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
	sample testing has not yet been completed.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
	Final.	reported:	
	<u> </u>	-1	

FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used below: 2013 ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used below: 2014 ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children ages 5 to 20 having persistent asthma who were dispensed appropriate medication that they remained on during the treatment period.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded: Children ages 5 to 20 by December 31 of the measurement year having persistent asthma.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and indicate the number of children excluded:  Did you Combine Rates from Multiple Reporting Units (e.g., health plans, delivery systems, programs) to Create a State-Level Rate? □ Yes □ If yes, indicate whether the state-level rate is weighted: □ The rates are weighted based on the size of the measure-eligible population for each reporting unit □ The rates are weighted based on another weighting factor □ The rates are not weighted No
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013

**MEASURE MMA-CH: Medication Management for People with Asthma (continued)** 

FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children ages 5 to 20 that were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children ages 5 to 20 who were identified as having persistent asthma and were dispensed appropriate medications that they remained on during the treatment period	
Two rates are reported:	Two rates are reported:	
Percentage of children that remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50 percent of their treatment period	3. Percentage of children who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 50 percent of their treatment period	
<ol> <li>Percentage of children that remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75 percent of their treatment period.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Percentage of children who remained on an asthma controller medication for at least 75 percent of their treatment period.</li> </ol>	
This measure is reported using the following age ranges: 5 to 11 years; 12 to 18 years; 19 to 20 years; and total	This measure is reported using the following age ranges: 5 to 11 years; 12 to 18 years; 19 to 20 years; and total	

Remained on Asthma Medication for 50 Percent of Treatment Period	Remained on Asthma Medication for 75 Percent of Treatment Period	Remained on Asthma Medication for 50 Percent of Treatment Period	Remained on Asthma Medication for 75 Percent of Treatment Period
5-11 Years Numerator: 7727 Denominator: 17193 Rate: 44.9 12-18 Years Numerator: 4198 Denominator: 9969 Rate: 42.1 19-20 Years	5-11 Years Numerator: 43.9 Denominator: 17193 Rate: 21.1 12-18 Years Numerator: 1911 Denominator: 9969 Rate: 19.2 19-20 Years	5-11 Years Numerator: 6722 Denominator: 14643 Rate: 45.9 12-18 Years Numerator: 3751 Denominator: 9267 Rate: 40.5 19-20 Years	5-11 Years Numerator: 2898 Denominator: 14643 Rate: 19.8 12-18 Years Numerator: 1594 Denominator: 9267 Rate: 17.2 19-20 Years
Numerator: 155 Denominator: 356 Rate: 43.5 Total Numerator: 12080 Denominator: 27518 Rate: 43.9	Numerator: 86 Denominator: 356 Rate: 24.2 Total Numerator: 5617 Denominator: 27518 Rate: 20.4	Numerator: 118 Denominator: 305 Rate: 38.7 Total Numerator: 10591 Denominator: 24215 Rate: 43.7	Numerator: 56 Denominator: 305 Rate: 18.4 Total Numerator: 4548 Denominator: 24215 Rate: 18.8
Deviations from Measure Spe ☐ Year of Data, Explain ☐ Data Source, Explain		Deviations from Measure Spe ☐ Year of Data, Explain ☐ Data Source, Explain	
☐ Numerator, Explain ☐ Denominator, Explain		☐ Numerator, Explain ☐ Denominator, Explain	
Other, Explain  Additional notes/comments on	measure:	Other, Explain  Additional notes/comments on HEDIS 2014 specifications inc	luded one 'logic' change - for
		Inhaler dispensing events. Med day, but with different drug ids Numbers changed due to this a	count as individual events.  In discause there are some  ED and Outpatient in the HEDIS  Change in numbers is small.

Other Performance Measurement Data:

(If reporting with another methodology)

Other Performance Measurement Data:

(If reporting with another methodology)

Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

Reporting	of	state-s	pecific	meas	ures
-----------	----	---------	---------	------	------

In addition to reporting the Child Core Set measures, if your state has developed state-specific quality measures as part of the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant project, the state may report that data in CARTS. The state may attach documents/data regarding the state-specific measures by using the CARTS attachment facility. Please provide a brief description of the attachment in the space provided when submitting the attachment.

Is the sta	te attaching any	state-specific	quality	measures	as a CA	RTS at	ttachmer	ıt?
□ Yes	⊠ No							

## SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

• The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your state for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your state's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	Percent change FFY 2013-2014
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	162134	176340	8.76
Separate Child Health Program	174963	205271	17.32

1. Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent. **[7500]** 

It is likely that the outreach surrounding the ACA and open-enrollment encouraged more families to apply.

• The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your state based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2011-2013. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. CARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2012 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty		Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty as a Percent of Total Children Under Age 19	
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	277	34.4	7.7	1.0
1998 - 2000	269	33.5	7.4	.9
2000 - 2002	228	26.5	6.9	.8
2002 - 2004	243	27.2	7.1	.8
2003 - 2005	230	26.8	6.7	.8
2004 - 2006	217	26.0	6.4	.7

2005 - 2007	180	24.0	5.3	.7
2006 - 2008	146	22.0	5.0	.7
2007 - 2009	175	23.0	5.2	.7
2008 - 2010	181	16.0	5.4	.5
2009-2011	171	16.0	5.2	.5
2010-2012	142	14.0	4.4	0
2013	79	7.0	2.5	.2
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2011-2013	-38.3%	NA	-32.5%	NA

1. Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

Increased income limits, outreach and growing awareness of the health care coverage available to children contributed to the decrease in uninsured children.

- 2. Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates. [7500]
  - Please indicate by checking the box below whether your state has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.

 $\hfill \square$  Yes (please report your data in the table below)

 $\boxtimes$  No (skip the rest of the question)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	
Methodology	
Population (Please include ages	
and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

• Please explain why your state chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

- What is your state's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.) [7500]
- 3. What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? [7500]
- 4. How does your state use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning? [7500]

## SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your state's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP state plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your state with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- 1. Reducing the number of uninsured children
- 2. CHIP enrollment
- 3. Medicaid enrollment
- 4. Increasing access to care
- 5. Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2012 and FFY 2013) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you reported data in the two previous years' reports and you want to update/change the data, please enter that data. If you reported no data for either of those two years, but you now have data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2014).

Note that the term performance measure is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the Child Core Set measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your state provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are state-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your state did not\_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

## Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

6. New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

- 7. <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- **8.** <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

## **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

9. <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2014.

**Explanation of Provisional Data** – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the state must specify why the data are provisional and when the state expects the data will be final.

- 10. Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2014.
- 11. <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report:</u> Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your state reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

## **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which states may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

#### **HEDIS® Version:**

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2011, 2012). This field must be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

## "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

#### **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2014

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

- 1. check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.
  - i. If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, denominator includes only children enrolled in managed care in certain counties, technological limitations preventing reporting on the full population defined, etc.). Please report information on exclusions in the definition of the denominator (including the proportion of children excluded), The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators across the states and over time can occur.

#### **Deviations from Measure**

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that states must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

- ii. Year of Data (e.g., partial year),
- iii. Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),
- iv. Numerator (e.g., coding issues),
- v. Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous

enrollment),

vi. Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

# Date Range: available for 2014 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

## Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on

whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator). The reporting unit for each measure is the state as a whole. If states calculate rates for multiple reporting units (e.g., individual health plans, different health care delivery systems), States must aggregate data from all these sources into one State rate before reporting the data to CMS. In the situation where a state combines data across multiple reporting units, all or some of which use the hybrid method to calculate the rates, the state should enter zeroes in the "Numerator" and "Denominator" fields. In these cases, it should report the state-level rate in the "Rate" field and, when possible, include individual reporting unit numerators, denominators, and rates in the field labeled "Additional Notes on Measure," along with a description of the method used to derive the state-level rate.

## **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your state to highlight progress and describe any quality-improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality-improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality-improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality-improvement plans. In this section, your state is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2015, 2016 and 2017. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2012 through 2014), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your state set for the year, as well as any quality-improvement activities that have helped or could help your state meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

## Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.	Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.	Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
American Community Survey	American Community Survey	American Community Survey
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Children under age 19 in the survey.	Definition of denominator: Children under age 19 in the survey	Definition of denominator: Children under age 19 in the survey.
Definition of numerator: Children under age 19 in the survey with no healthcare coverage.	Definition of numerator: Children under age 19 in the survey with no health insurance.	Definition of numerator: Children under age 19 in the survey with no health insurance.
with no noninner coverage.	Survey with no neutral mountainer	Will no nouth moutanee.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
ACS state-level uninsured estimates	ACS state-level uninsured estimates	ACS state-level uninsured estimates
Numerator: 113311	Numerator: 101466	Numerator: 125351
Denominator: 3091887	Denominator: 3059055	Denominator: 3017960
Rate: 3.7	Rate: 3.3	Rate: 4.2
Additional notes on measure: The goal was 4.9. We achieved 3.7.	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the	How did your performance in 2013 compare with	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
<b>2011 Annual Report?</b> Continued program funding is	your 2012 Annual Report? The goal was 3.5. We	<b>2013 Annual Report?</b> Our goal was 3.2%, but we only
crital.	achieved 3.3.	achieved 4.2%
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal? Continued program	progress toward your goal?
	funding is essential.	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: </b> 3.5	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 3.0	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: </b> 3.2	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 2.8	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: </b> 3.0	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 2.6	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
		-
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Described what is being measured.	Described what is being ineasured.	Described what is being measured.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
	• 0	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:  Data Source:	reported:  Data Source:
Data Source:		□ Eligibility/Enrollment data
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guici. Specify.	Guici. Specify.	Guici. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?

TITIT AAAA	DELY A042	DEET And A
FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Transfer of the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and	Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and	Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and
at or below 200% by .5%	at or below 200% by .5%	at or below 200% by .5%
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
☑ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/11 compared to the	Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/12 compared to the	Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/13 compared to the
number of children enrolled as of 7/31/12 in families with	number of children enrolled as of 7/31/13 in families with	number of children enrolled as of 7/31/14 in families with
income above 133% and at or below 200%.	income above 133% and at or below 200%.	income above 133% and at or below 200%.
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/11	Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/12	Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/13
Definition of numerator: Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/12	Definition of numerator: Number of children enrolled as of $7/31/13$	Definition of numerator: Number of children enrolled as of $7/31/14$
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2014
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Enrollment of children as of 7/31/11 compared to 7/31/12.	Enrollment of children as of 7/31/12 compared to 7/31/13.	Enrollment of children as of 7/31/13 compared to 7/31/14.
Numerator: 74253 Denominator: 71103 Rate: 104.4	Numerator: 67880 Denominator: 72267 Rate: 93.9	Numerator: 72267 Denominator: 73957 Rate: 97.7

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure: Enrollment decreased by 4.4%	Additional notes on measure: Enrollment increased by 6.1%	Additional notes/comments on measure: increased by 2.3%
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? We hoped to increase enrollment by .5%, but it decreased by 4.4%.	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? Increased more than expected.	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? Incresed more than expected
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: .5% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 1.0% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: .5%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 1%
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
(2001.00)		(2001)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain.	Disconditued. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Table of Heading	Table of fields.	Tadata nation comments on moustain.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guiei. Specify.	Guiei. Specify.	Guier. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Rute.	rate.	Nate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Annual I citormance Objective for FF 1 2013.	Annual I criot mance Objective for FF 1 2010.	Annual I criot mance Objective for FF1 2017.
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014		
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)		
Increase enrollment of children in families with incomeat or	Increase enrollment of children in families with incomeat or	Increase enrollment of children in families with incomeat or		
below 133% by 2%.	below 133% by 2%.	below 133% by 2%.		
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:		
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:		
☑ Continuing.	☑ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.		
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :		
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:		
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.		
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:		
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.		
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
reported:	reported:	reported:		
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:		
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.		
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:		
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:		
Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2011	Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2012	Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2013		
Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2012	Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2013	Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2014		
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:		
From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2013	From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2014		
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:		
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:		
Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at	Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at	Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at		
or below 133% from 7/31/11 to 7/31/12.	or below 133% from 7/31/12 to 7/31/13.	or below 133% from 7/31/11 to 7/31/12.		
Numerator: 1608863	Numerator: 1272706	Numerator: 1283390		
Denominator: 1621153	Denominator: 1283390	Denominator: 1137936		
Rate: 99.2	Rate: 99.2	Rate: 112.8		
Additional notes on measure: Enrollment increased by .8%.	Additional notes on measure: Increased by .8%	Additional notes/comments on measure: Decreased by 12.8%		

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	<b>Explanation of Progress:</b>	
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? We had hoped to increase enrollment by 2%, but the increase was only .8%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Using a combination of Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented several simplifications such as a joint application, administrative renewal process, and reduced verification requirements.	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? Increased by less than expected  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? Decreased significantly.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 2% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 2% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 1%  Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 2% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 1% Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 2% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 1% Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 1%  Explain how these objectives were set:	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	

# Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.	
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Final.	Final.	Final.	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
reported:	reported:	reported:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:	
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** 

FFY 2012					
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)			
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:			
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :			
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.			
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :			
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:			
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.			
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:			
Final.	Final.	Final.			
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.			
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously			
reported:	reported:	reported:			
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:			
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.			
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:			
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:			
Guier. speedy.	Guiei. speedy.	Guidi. speedy.			
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:			
Definition of domination	Definition of Journal of the second	Definition of Journal of the second			
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:			
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:			
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:			
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)			
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:			
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:			
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
		Rate:			
Rate:	Rate:	Kate:			
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:			
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:			
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the			
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your			
2011 Annual Report?	2012 Annual Report?	2013 Annual Report?			

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	
Annual Borformana Objective for EEV 2012.	Annual Darfarmana Objective for EEV 2014.	Annual Darfarmana Objective for EEV 2015.	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	
Amilian Ferrormance Objective for FF 1 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	
Explain now mese objectives were set.	Explain now these objectives were set.	Explain now these objectives were set.	
Ott. C M	04 0	04 0	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.	Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.	Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
☐ Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported: 2012	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
$\square$ Other. Explain: Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate	$\square$ Other. Explain: Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate	$\square$ Other. Explain: Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate
at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per	at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per	at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per
1,000 live births.	1,000 live births.	1,000 live births.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:
Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records	Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records	Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide)	Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide)	Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide)
(statewide)	(statewide)	(statewide)
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded: Denominator = Live Births	number of children excluded: Denominator = Live Births	number of children excluded: Denominator = Live Births
(statewide)	(statewide)	(statewide)
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
(-7	(3 -1, 1 3 122.15.1122.15 time memorio (8))	(21
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014	
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.	
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	
☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator: 171077	Numerator: 1176	Numerator: 1116	
Denominator: 1176	Denominator: 171077	Denominator: 164998	
Rate: 6.9	Rate: 0.7	Rate: 6.8	
Additional notes on measure: Rate is per 1,000 live births statewide	Additional notes on measure: The measure is a rate per 1,000 live births.	Additional notes on measure: The measure is a rate per 1,000 live births.	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? From FFY2011 (2008 data) to FFY2012 (2009 data), there was a percent change increase of -4.2 in the statewide infant mortality rate per 1,000 live births	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? Data from Dept. of Public Health Vital Records are uncertified for CY2010-CY2012. So, updated data are not available beyond that reported in FFY2012 (CY2009 data).	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? There was a slight decrease in the overall rate from 6.9/1,000 live births to 6.8/1,000 live births. However, this does not achieve the Performance Objective of 6.56/1,000 live births projected in the FFY2013 Annual Report.	

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to all; and risk stratified levels of support are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and offer access to needed services. HFS collaborates with other state agencies to identify and focus services on high risk pregnant and postpartum women. HFS is involved in applying for a Strong Start for Mothers and Newborns cooperative agreement. Currently, the state is awaiting the outcome of the funding determination by CMS.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 6.56 per 1,000 live births statewide (2010 data)
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 6.23 per 1,000 live births statewide (2011 data)
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 6.10 per 1,000 live births statewide (2012 data)

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance vour ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Within state government, HFS shares responsibility for maternal and child health programs with the Department of Public Health and the Department of Human Services. Per legislative mandate (2004), these agencies were tasked with improving birth outcomes. Biennially, HFS reports to the legislature on on-going and completed activities. All of the reports are available on our HFS' Web http://www.hfs.illinois.gov/mch/report.html. The 2014 report is being drafted for submission to the legislature by January 1, 2014. Please refer to the Perinatal Report 2014 that will be available on the aforementioned Web site for details on our initiatives to improve birth outcomes (i.e., infant mortality, low birth weight, very low birth weight).

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 6.56 per 1,000 live births statewide (2010 data) - same as reported in FFY2012

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:** 6.23 per 1,000 live births statewide (2011 data) - same as reported in FFY2012

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve vour results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Within state government, HFS shares responsibility for maternal and child health programs with the Department of Public Health (DPH) and the Department of Human Services (DHS). Per legislative mandate (2004), these agencies are tasked with improving birth outcomes. Biennially, HFS reports to the legislature on on-going and completed activities. Reports are on HFS' web http://www.hfs.illinois.gov/mch/report.html. Please refer to the Perinatal Report 2014 available on the aforementioned web site for details on initiatives to improve birth outcomes (i.e., infant mortality, LBW, VLBW).

A specific activity uses predictive analytics to identify women with previous high cost births who are identified as currently pregnant. Once identified a flag is set in a data file transferred weekly to DHS. The list is used for case finding to outreach to women and engage them in early and intensive prenatal care through the Family Case Management and Better Birth outcomes programs.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 6.66 per 1,000 live births statewide (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 6.53 per 1,000 live births statewide (2012 data)

FFY 2012				FFY 2013				FFY 2014						
Explain how these objectives were set: FFY for CARTS				<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:</b> 6.10					<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:</b> 6.40					
DATA Year B	Baseline	e 100th F	Percentile	Difference	per 1,000 live births statewide (2012 data) - same as				per 1,000 live births statewide (2013 data)					
% Improve-me	nt	Annual		Improve-ment	reported in l	FFY2012								
Projection for I	Followi	ng Year								Explain h	ow these	objectives	were se	et: FFY for
2012 2	2009	6.9	0	-6.90	Explain ho	w these	objectives	were se	et: FFY for	CARTS DATA	Year	Baseline	100th	Percentile
5% -0.35 6	5.56				CARTS DATA	Year	Baseline	100th	Percentile	Difference	% Impr	rove-ment	Annual	Improve-
2013 2	2010	6.56	0	-6.56	Difference		rove-ment	Annual	Improve-	ment Projection f	or Follow	ing Year		
5% -0.33 6	5.23				ment Projection for	or Follow	ing Year			2014	2010	6.8	0	-6.80
2014 2	2011	6.23	0	-6.23	2012	2009	6.9	0	-6.90	2% -0.14	6.66			
2% -0.12 6	5.10				5% -0.35	6.56				2015	2011	6.66	0	-6.66
2015 2	2012	6.10	0	-6.10	2013	2010	6.56	0	-6.56	2% -0.13	6.53			
2% -0.12 5	5.98				5% -0.33	6.23				2016	2012	6.53	0	-6.53
2016 2	2013	5.98	0	-5.98	2014	2011	6.23	0	-6.23	2% -0.13	6.40			
2% -0.12 5	5.86				2% -0.12	6.10				2017	2013	6.40	0	-6.40
2017 2	2014	5.86	0	-5.86	2015	2012	6.10	0	-6.10	2% -0.13	6.27			
2% -0.12 5	5.74				2% -0.12	5.98				2018	2014	6.27	0	-6.27
2018 2	2015	5.74			2016	2013	5.98	0	-5.98	2% -0.13	6.15			
					2% -0.12	5.86				2019	2015	6.15	0	-6.15
As of January	2013,	2009 is	the mos	t recent data	2017	2014	5.86	0	-5.86	2% -0.12	6.02			
published by the	e IL De	pt. of Pub	olic Health		2% -0.12	5.74				2020	2016	6.02		
					2018	2015	5.74							
										As of Dece	ember 201	14, 2010 ar	e the mos	t recent data
					As of December 2013, CY2009 are the m			most recent	published by the	IL Dept. o	of Public He	alth		
				data published by the IL Dept. of Public Health			•							
					_									
ther Comments on Measure:					Other Comments	s on Mea	sure:			Other Comment	s on Mea	sure:		

## Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Reduce the number/percent of children with	Reduce the number/percent of children with	Reduce the number/percent of children with elevated blood levels exceeding 10
elevated blood levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL.	elevated blood levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL.	mcg/dL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☑ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☑ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
annual report.	annual report.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data	Specify year of annual report in which data	
previously reported:	previously reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
☑Other. <i>Explain</i> : The measure is of Medicaid	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> : The measure is of Medicaid	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> : The measure is of Medicaid children, ages 6 and younger,
children, ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood	children, ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood	with elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL as reported by the Illinois
lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL as reported by the	lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL as reported by the	Department of Public Health, Illinois Lead Program Surveillance report.
Illinois Department of Public Health, Illinois Lead	Illinois Department of Public Health, Illinois Lead	
Program Surveillance report.	Program Surveillance report.	

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH)	Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH)	Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead Poisoning
Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program	Prevention Program Surveillance Report and personal communication (for
Surveillance Report and personal communication	Surveillance Report and personal communication	numerator and denominator).
(for numerator and denominator).	(for numerator and denominator).	numerator and denominatory.
Definition of Population Included in the	<b>Definition of Population Included in the</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Measure:	Measure:	Definition of numerator: Medicaid enrolled children, ages 6 and younger, with
Definition of numerator: Medicaid enrolled	Definition of numerator: Medicaid enrolled children,	elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL. The Illinois data includes
children, ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood	ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood lead levels	capillary and venous tests. It also accounts for test results obtained with hand-
lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL. The Illinois data	exceeding 10 mcg/dL. The Illinois data includes	held analyzers.
includes capillary and venous tests. It also accounts	capillary and venous tests. It also accounts for test	Definition of denominator:
for test results obtained with hand-held analyzers.	results obtained with hand-held analyzers.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Medicaid
XIX).	XIX).	-
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	enrolled children (ages 6 and younger) screened for childhood lead poisoning.
above, please further define the Denominator, please	above, please further define the Denominator, please	
indicate the number of children excluded: Medicaid	indicate the number of children excluded: Medicaid	
enrolled children (ages 6 and younger) screened for	enrolled children (ages 6 and younger) screened for	
childhood lead poisoning.	childhood lead poisoning.	Data Damas
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
12/2011	12/2012	From: (IIIIII/yyyy) 01/2013 TO: (IIIIII/yyyy) 12/2013
<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
	(3)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
<b>Deviations from Measure Specifications:</b>	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
_	<u> </u>	
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, <i>Explain</i> .	Other, Explain.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional note/commentss on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 2583	Numerator: 2440	Numerator: 1468
Denominator: 215238	Denominator: 221859	Denominator: 211607
Rate: 1.2	Rate: 1.1	Rate:
Additional notes on measure: The numerator and	Additional notes on measure: The numerator and	Additional notes on measure: 0.7,The numerator and denominator were
denominator were provided by the Illinois	denominator were provided by the Illinois	provided by the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead
Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood	Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood	Poisoning Prevention Program via personal communication, 12/5/2014. IDPH
Lead Poisoning Prevention Program via personal	Lead Poisoning Prevention Program via personal	staff notes that in May 2012, the CDC changed the "level of concern" of 10
communication, 11/20/2012.	communication, 11/12/2013.	mcg/dL to a "reference value" to be revised on a four-year cycle based on the
		National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Currently, the
		reference value is 5 mcg/dL. For comparison, the data reported here are for 10
		mcg/dL.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with	How did your performance in 2013 compare	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual
the Annual Performance Objective documented	with the Annual Performance Objective	Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?
in your 2011 Annual Report? From FFY2011	documented in your 2012 Annual Report?	From FFY2013 (2012 data) to FFY2014 (2013 data), there was a percent
(2010 data) to FFY2012 (2011 data), there was a	From FFY2012 (2011 data) to FFY2013 (2012	change decrease of -36.36 in the rate of children with a blood lead level of
percent change decrease of -25.0 in the rate of	data), there was a percent change decrease of -	10 mcg/dL or higher. The 2013 rate (0.7%) surpasses the Performance
Medicaid children with an blood lead level	8.3 in the rate of Medicaid children with an	Objective of 0.9 percent projected in the FFY2013 Annual Report.
exceeding 10 mcg/dL.	blood lead level exceeding 10 mcg/dL; from	
	1.2 to 1.1, respectively.	

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.

IDPH sends results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 1.0% (2012 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 0.8% (2013 data)

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.

IDPH sends results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY **2014:** 0.90% (2013 data)
Annual Performance Objective for FFY **2015:** 0.70% (2014 data)

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee.

IDPH sends test results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW). Lead screening info is available to the child's primary care provider via two routes. If in PCCM, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening. With the move to a predominantly managed care healthcare delivery system, a Care Coordination Claims Database (CCCD) is made available by HFS to the managed care organizations. The CCCD includes seven years of lead screening information. The files are updated monthly. CCCD info available at: http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/PublicInvolvement/cc/Pages/ClaimsData.aspx

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 0.50% (2014 data) Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 0.30% (2015 data)

FFY 20	12			FFY	2013		FFY 2014				
<b>Annual Performance</b>	Annual Performance Objective for FFY		Annual Performance Objective for FFY			e for FFY	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 0.10% (2016 data)				
<b>2015:</b> 0.6% (2015 data)		<b>2016:</b> 0.50% (2015 data)									
							Explain how these objectives were set: FFY for CARTS DATA				
Explain how these	objectives v	were set:	*			es were set:	Year Baseline Annual % Reduction Projection for Following Year				
Percentage with ele			Percentage with								
exceeding 10 mcg		icaid/CHIP	mcg/dL: Medica	id/CHIP	Enrolled Ch	ildren 6 Years					
Enrolled Children 6 Year	ers and Young	ger	and Younger				2016 2015 0.30 0.2 0.10				
							2017 2016 0.10 0.1 0.00				
			FFY for CA		DATA	Year					
FFY for CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	Annua		Reduction	F				
Baseline Annual		Reduction	Projection	for Follo	wing Year		Surveillance Database; unpublished report				
Projection for Followin	ig Year					0.00					
2012	1.0	•	20132012	1.1	0.2	0.90					
2012 2011	1.2 0.2	2	20142012	0.00	0.2	0.70					
1.00			20142013	0.90	0.2	0.70					
2013 2012	1.00 0.2	2	20152014	0.70	0.2	0.50					
0.80	1.00 0.2	2	20132014	0.70	0.2	0.50					
0.00			20162015	0.50	0.2	0.30					
2014 2013	0.80 0.2	2	20102013	0.50	0.2	0.50					
0.60	0.00 0.2	_	20172016	0.30							
0.00					is Departm	ent of Public					
2015 2014	0.60 0.2	2	Health-Illinois	Lead	Program	Surveillance					
0.40			Database; unpub		•						
			<b>, , ,</b>								
2016 2015	0.40										
Data source: Illinois	Department	of Public									
Health-Illinois Lead	Program Su	urveillance									
Database; unpublished report											
her Comments on Measure:		Other Commen	ts on Me	asure:		Other Comments on Measure:					

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
To increase the percentage of HFS continuously	To increase the percentage of HFS continuously	To increase the percentage of HFS continuously enrolled children who receive
enrolled children who receive at least one capillary or	enrolled children who receive at least one capillary	at least one capillary or venous blood lead screening test on or before their
venous blood lead screening test on or before their	or venous blood lead screening test on or before	second birthday.
second birthday.	their second birthday.	·
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	Final.	Final.
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual	Same data as reported in a previous year's	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
report.	annual report.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Specify year of annual report in which data	Specify year of annual report in which data	
previously reported:	previously reported:	
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2010	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2013	☑HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	Administrative claims data, including CPTs 36415	Administrative claims data, including CPTs 36415 or 36416 with U1 modifier
	or 36416 with U1 modifier or CPT 83655 with	or CPT 83655 with QW modifier. Also accept Illinois Department of Public
	QW modifier. In addition to claims data, also	Health blood lead program testing data.
	accept Dept. of Public Health blood lead testing program data. This has been the case for some	
	years. So, previous reporting indicating claims as	
	the only data source is in error.	
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled	Measure:	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title
children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously	XXI) who are 24 months of age and received at least one capillary or venous
age and received at least one capillary or venous	enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are	blood test on or before their second birthday.
blood test on or before their second birthday.	24 months of age and received at least one	Definition of denominator:
Definition of denominator:	capillary or venous blood test on or before their	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	second birthday.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title	Definition of denominator:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define
XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid	continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of
above, please further define the Denominator, please	(Title XIX).	age.

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
indicate the number of children excluded: HFS	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected	
continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI)	above, please further define the Denominator,	
who are 24 months of age.	please indicate the number of children excluded:	
	HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX,	
	Title XXI) who are 24 months of age.	
From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy)	Date Range:	Date Range:
12/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: 70189		Numerator: 65317
Denominator: 92928	Numerator: 68792	Denominator: 82961
Rate: 75.5	Denominator: 88902	Rate: 78.7
	Rate: 77.4	
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator, Explain.
_ ' '	Counts include CPTs 36415 or 36416 with U1	Counts include CPTs 36415 or 36416 with U1 modifier or CPT 83655 with
Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	modifier or CPT 83655 with QW modifier. In	QW modifier. In addition to claims data, also accept Illinois Department of
	addition to claims data, also accept Dept. of Public	Public Health blood lead testing program data.
$\square$ Other, Explain.	Health blood lead testing program data.	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	
		Other, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure: This measure was audited by HSAG
Additional notes on measure.	Additional notes on measure.	during fall 2014.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
		The second secon

FFY 2012 FFY 2013 FFY 2014

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? From FFY2011 (2010 data) to FFY2012 (2011 data), there was a percent change increase of +2.0 in the percent of 24 month olds who received at least one blood lead screening.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.

IDPH sends results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? From FFY2012 (2011 data) to FFY2013 (2012 data), there was a percent change increase of +2.5 in the percent of 24 month olds who received at least one blood lead screening.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation subcommittee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.

IDPH sends results to HFS' Medical Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? From FFY2013 (2012 data) to FFY2014 (2013 data), there was a percent change increase of +1.5 in the percent of 24 month olds who received at least one blood lead screening. However, the rate (78.7%) does not achieve the Performance Objective of 79.66 percent projected in the FFY2013 Annual Report.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee.

IDPH sends test results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW). Lead screening info is available to the child's primary care provider via two routes. If in PCCM, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening. With the move to a predominantly managed care healthcare delivery system, a Care Coordination Claims Database (CCCD) is made available by HFS to the managed care organizations. The CCCD includes seven years of lead screening information. The files are updated monthly. CCCD info available at: http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/PublicInvolvement/cc/Pages/ClaimsData.aspx

A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5.

FFY 2012		FFY 2013				FFY 2014									
accuracy of	your rep	orting of t	the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of			1	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the							
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY		assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.			C	completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.								
		•	e for FFY	or accura	cy of your	reporting	of the data.	١,		C	Ob!4!-	f EEV	V 2015. 90	0.000	2014 4-4-)
2013: 78.0%			for EEV					Annual Per Annual Per							
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY <b>2014:</b> 80.2% (2013 data)			Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 79.66% (2013 data)			F	Aimuai Per	тогшансе	Objectiv	e for FF	1 2010; 82	2.77% (.	2013 data)	
<b>Annual Perf</b> <b>2015:</b> 82.1%			e for FFY	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 81.69% (2014 data)											
				Annual P	erformano	e Objectiv	e for FFY	A	Annual Per	formance	Objectiv	e for FF	<b>Y 2017:</b> 84	1.49% (	2016 data)
			re set: Children	<b>2016:</b> 83	52% (2015	data)									
			lary or venous						Explain how						DATA
blood lead scr	eening te	est		•			s were set:		Baseline	100th Pe		Differe		%	Improve-ment
HEG C 4:	1 5	11 1		Children who			capillary or		Annual Impi						2.12
HFS Continuo	ousiy Enr	onea		venous blood le	ad screenin	g test		_	2014 30.86	2013	78.73	100	21.27	10%	2.13
FFY for CAR'	TS	DATA	Year	HES Cont	inuously E	nrolled		_	2015	2014	80.86	100	19.14	10%	1.91
Baseline		ercentile	Difference	This con	illuousiy L	inoncu			32.77	2014	00.00	100	17.14	1070	1.71
% Improve-n		Annual	Improve-ment	FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	_	2016	2015	82.77	100	17.23	10%	1.72
Projection for		ing Year	1	Baseline		Percentile	Difference		34.49						
2012	2011	75.5	100	% Improv	re-ment	Annual	Improve-	2	2017	2016	84.49	100	15.51	10%	1.55
24.50	10%	2.45	77.95	ment Projection	for Follov	ing Year		8	36.04						
2013	2012	77.95	100	2103	2012	77.4	100	2	2018	2017	86.04				
22.05	10%	2.21	80.16	22.60	10%	2.26	79.66								
2013	2012	80.16	100	2104	2013	79.66	100								
19.85	10%	1.98	82.14	20.34	10%	2.03	81.69								
2014	2013	82.14	100	2105	2014	81.69	100								
17.86	10%	1.79	83.93	18.31	10%	1.83	83.52								
2015	2014	83.93		2106 16.48	2015 10%	83.52 1.65	100 85.17								
				2107	2016	85.17	03.17								
				2107	2010	03.17									
ther Comments	her Comments on Measure:			Other Comments on Measure:			Other Comments on Measure:								

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

Type of Goal:   Type of Goal	FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Type of Goal:   Newirevised. Explain:   Continuing.   Discontinued. Explain:   Newirevised. Explain:   Continuing.   Discontinued. Explain:   Explanation of Provisional Data:   Final.   Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descriptives of annual report in which data previously reported:   Descript	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
New/revised. Explain:			
New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
Continuing.   Continuing.   Discontinued. Explain:   Discontinued. Ex			
Discontinued. Explain:   Discontinued.   Discontinued. Explain:   Discontinued.			
Status of Data Reported:			
Provisional.   Explanation of Provisional Data:   Final.   Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report   Specification:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data So		_ '	
Provisional.   Explanation of Provisional Data:   Final.   Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report   Specification:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data Source:   Data So	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Game data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify:   Deltar. Specify:   Deltar. Spe			
Game data as reported in a previous year's annual report.   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify:   Deltar. Specify:   Deltar. Spe	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of Data, Explain.   Specify year o			Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:   Specify year of Data, Explain.   Specify year o	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Reasurement Specification:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously		
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:   Other. Explain:   Other			
Data Source:			Measurement Specification:
Data Source:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Administrative (claims data),	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Survey data. Specify:	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Other. Specify:   Other. Sp			
Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator include	Survey data. Specify:		
Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (nrm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (nrm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)			
Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyy) To: (mm/yyy)  HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications: □ Year of Data, Explain.  Deviations from Measure Specifications: □ Year of Data, Explain.			
Denominator includes CHIP population only.   Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:    Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)			
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:    Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy)   To: (mm/yyyy)			
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator; a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator; heave indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  From: (mm/yyyy)  To: (mm/yyyy)  To: (mm/yyyy)  Numerator: Denominator: Numerator: Denominator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications: Year of Data, Explain.		Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (			
number of children excluded:  Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Number of children excluded:  number of children excludes:  pate	,	,	
Date Range:       Date Range:       Date Range:       From: (mm/yyyy)       Date Range:       From: (mm/yyyy)       Date Range:       From: (mm/yyyy)       From: (mm/yyyy)       Description of Data, Explain.       Date Range:       Date Range:       Date Range:       Date Range:       Date Range:       Prom: (mm/yyyy)       Description of Data, Explain.       Date Range:       Date Range:       Prom: (mm/yyyy)       Description of Data, Explain.       Date Range:       Prom: (mm/yyyy)       Date Range:       Prom: (m			
From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)         From: (mm/yyyy)       From: (mm/yyyy)       From: (mm/yyyy)       From: (mm/yyyy)         HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)         Numerator: Denominator: Rate:       Numerator: Denominator: Rate:       Numerator: Denominator: Rate:         Deviations from Measure Specifications: □ Year of Data, Explain.       Deviations from Measure Specifications: □ Year of Data, Explain.			
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:       (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:         (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       (If reporting with HEDIS)         Numerator:       Numerator:       Numerator:         Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:         Rate:       Rate:     Deviations from Measure Specifications:			
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)       (If reporting with HEDIS)         Numerator:       Numerator:       Numerator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Rate:         Rate:       Rate:       Rate:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Year of Data, Explain.			
Numerator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:       Rate:       Rate:       Rate:       Peviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       □ Year of Data, Explain.       □ Year of Data, Explain.			
Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:         Rate:       Rate:       Rate:         Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:         □ Year of Data, Explain.       □ Year of Data, Explain.       □ Year of Data, Explain.	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:         Rate:       Rate:       Rate:             Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:         ☐ Year of Data, Explain.       ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	N	NT.	N
Rate:       Rate:       Rate:         Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:         ☐ Year of Data, Explain.       ☐ Year of Data, Explain.       ☐ Year of Data, Explain.			
Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:       Deviations from Measure Specifications:         ☐ Year of Data, Explain.       ☐ Year of Data, Explain.    Deviations from Measure Specifications: ☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Year of Data, Explain.			
☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	Kate:	Kate:	Kate:
☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Year of Data, Explain. ☐ Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications	Deviations from Measure Specifications
□ Data Source, Explain. □ Data Source, Explain. □ Data Source, Explain.	La Car Sa Data, Expression.	— том от раш, <i>Екриин</i> .	
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .

(If reporting with another methodology)       (If reporting with another methodology)       (If reporting with another methodology)         Numerator:       Numerator:       Numerator:         Denominator:       Denominator:       Denominator:         Rate:       Rate:       Rate:    Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:	FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014			
Denominator, Explain.  □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other, Explain. □ Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, improve your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Numerator Explain	Numerator Fynlain	Numerator Fynlain			
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for t	Tvallicituo1, Expituit.	Traincrator, Explain.	Trumerator, Explain.			
Additional notes on measure:  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .			
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:  Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:  Denominator: Rate:  Explanation of Progress:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.			
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure:			
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:						
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure: Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:			
Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annu	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)			
Rate: Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:			
Additional notes on measure:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:			
Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:  Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Performance Objective the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:			
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:			
Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: A	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:			
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:  CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your			
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make			
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your			
Explain how these objectives were set: Explain how these objectives were set: Explain how these objectives were set:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:			
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:			
Other Comments on Measure: Other Comments on Measure: Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:			

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014				
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)				
Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be	Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be	Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be				
appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of	appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of	appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of				
age at the end of the calendar year).	age at the end of the calendar year).	age at the end of the calendar year).				

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  □ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  □ Final.  □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional  Explanation of Provisional Data: The FFY2013 data are provisional since the measure was re-programmed and sample testing has not yet been completed. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2010  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: 2013 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2014 ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year.
Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2011 HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2012 HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2013 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2013 HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: 00 Denominator: 00 Rate:	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)  Numerator: 00  Denominator: 00  Rate:	(If reporting with HEDIS)  Numerator: 00 Denominator: 00 Rate:

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	⊠ Numerator,. Explain.	⊠ Numerator,. Explain.
☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Accepting 2 Hep B not 3 since first vaccine is often given to newborns in hospital and billed under mother's RIN.	Accepting 2 Hep B not 3 since first vaccine is often given to newborns in hospital and billed under mother's RIN.
Other, Explain.	Using Cornerstone Immunization codes in addition to CPT, ICD codes.  Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Using Cornerstone Immunization codes in addition to CPT, ICD codes.  Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure: Vaccine combo data are provided as Numerator / Denominator = Rate.  Combo 2: 65,982 / 95,082 = 69.4%  Combo 3: 61,424 / 95,082 = 64.6%	Additional notes on measure: Vaccine combo data are provided as Numerator / Denominator = Rate.  Combo 2: 66,476/92,166 = 72.13%  Combo 3: 62,223/92,166 = 67.51%  Combo 4: 42,328/92,166 = 45.93%  Combo 5: 46,034/92,166 = 49.95%  Combo 6: 31,559/92,166 = 34.24%  Combo 7: 33,475/92,166 = 36.32%  Combo 8: 24,693/92,166 = 26.79%  Combo 9: 25,203/92,166 = 27.35%  Combo 10: 20,309/92,166 = 22.04%  Individual vaccine rates also available, but not reported here.	Additional notes/comments on measure: Vaccine combo data are provided as Numerator / Denominator = Rate.  Combo 2: 60,002/81,270 = 73.8%  Combo 3: 55,983/81,270 = 68.9%  Combo 4: 50,643/81,270 = 62.3%  Combo 5: 43,065/81,270 = 53.0%  Combo 6: 32,551/81,270 = 40.1%  Combo 7: 40,090/81,270 = 49.3%  Combo 8: 30,886/81,270 = 38.0%  Combo 9: 26,755/81,270 = 32.9%  Combo 10: 25,688/81,270 = 31.6%  Individual vaccine rates also available, but not reported here.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? Between FFY2011 (2010 data) and FFY2012 (2011 data) the Combo 2 and Combo 3 immunization rates remained essentially unchanged among those less than 36 months of age. The 2011 immunization rate for Combo 2 and Combo 3 is higher among those less than 36 months of age (69.4% and 64.6% respectively) compared to these less than 3	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? Between FFY2012 (2011 data) and FFY2013 (2012 data) the Combo 2 and Combo 3 immunization rates increased by a percent change of +3.93 and +4.50, respectively. The FFY2013 immunization rate (2012 data) for all vaccine combinations is higher among those less than 36 months of area compared to those less than 36 months of a compared to those less than 36 months of a compared to the	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? Between FFY2013 (2012 data) and FFY2014 (2013 data) the Combo 2 immunization rate increased by a percent change of +0.42. The Combo 3 rate decreased by -0.35%. The FFY2014 Combo 2 rate (73.8%) only slightly surpasses the Performance Objective of 73.5 percent projected in the
64.6%, respectively) compared to those less than 24 months of age (the CHIP core measure; 66.0% and	of age compared to those less than 24 months of age (the CHIPRA core measure). The measure of those 36	FFY2013 Annual Report. The Combo 3 rate (68.9%) does not surpass the Performance Objective of 69.1
and the city of th	or measure,. The measure of those so	1 222 222 Surpass the Performance Sojective of Oyil

FFY 2012

FFY 2013

**FFY 2014** 

60.9% respectively). The measure of those 36 months of age permits a "catch-up" period during which young children are able to receive the appropriate immunizations.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Childhood immunizations (by age 2) is a bonus payment strategy within the managed care program (MCO and PCCM). Making child-specific immunization data available in the expanded format (e.g., all available data sources) is viewed as a best practice strategy to promote appropriate immunization status.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:**

Combo 2: 71.0% Combo 3: 66.4% (2012 data)

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:**

Combo 2: 72.4% Combo 3: 68.1% (2013 data)

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:**

Combo 2: 73.8% Combo 3: 69.7% (2014 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Combo 2: Enrolled children (36 Month Olds) will be appropriately immunized

HFS Continuously Enrolled

FFY for CARTS DATA Year Baseline
100th Percentile Difference % ImprovementAnnual Improve-ment Projection for

months of age permits a "catch-up" period during which young children are able to receive the appropriate immunizations.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Childhood immunizations (by age 2) is a bonus payment strategy within the managed care program (MCO and PCCM). Making child-specific immunization data available in the expanded format (e.g., all available data sources) is viewed as a best practice strategy to promote appropriate immunization status.

HFS' Quality Strategy priority measures for Voluntary Managed Care include childhood immunization combo 3 as a key measure with a target of 10 percent improvement in performance compared to the previous year.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:**

Combo 2: 73.52% Combo 3: 69.13% (2013 data)

## **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:**

Combo 2: 74.85% Combo 3: 70.68% (2014 data) percent set in the FFY2013 Annual Report. The FFY2013 immunization rate (2012 data) for all vaccine combinations is higher among those less than 36 months of age compared to those less than 24 months of age (the core measure). The measure of those 36 months of age permits a "catch-up" period during which young children are able to receive the appropriate immunizations.

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make **progress toward your goal?** Immunization by age 2 is a bonus payment strategy. Care Coordination Claims Data (CCCD) are available to HFS care coordination partners for their enrolled recipients and contains the most recent two years of claims data, and seven years of immunization and lead data - updated monthly. The data set aggregates information from various sources. The PCCM program continues quality improvement activities by distributing provider panel roster information containing claims, immunization and blood lead data similar to that contained in the CCCD. Making child-specific immunization data available in these formats (e.g., all available data sources) is viewed as a best practice strategy to promote appropriate immunization status.

HFS' Quality Strategy priority measures for Managed Care include childhood immunization combo 3 as a key measure with a target of 10 percent improvement in performance compared to the previous year.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015:**

Combo 2: 75.1% Combo 3: 70.5% (CY2014 data)

#### **Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:**

Combo 2: 76.4% Combo 3: 71.9% (CY2015 data)

FFY 2012					FF	Y 2013				F	FFY 2014			
Following Year				Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:			Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017:							
2012	2011	69.4	100	30.60	Combo 2: 7	Combo 2: 76.10%			Combo 2: 77.6%					
5% 1.53	70.93				Combo 3: 7	2.14%				Combo 3: 7	73.3%			
2013	2012	70.93	100	29.07	(2015 data)					(CY2016 d	ata)			
5% 1.45	72.38													
2014	2013	72.38	100	27.62										
5% 1.38	73.76				Explain he	ow these	objectives	s were s	set: Combo 2:	Explain h	ow these	objectives	were s	et: Combo 2:
2015	2014	73.76	100	26.24	Enrolled children	n (36 Moi	nth Olds	) will be	e appropriately	Enrolled childre	n (36 Mo	onth Olds)	will be	appropriately
5% 1.31	75.08				immunized					immunized				
2016	2015	75.08												
					HFS Contin	nuously En	rolled			HFS Conti	nuously E	nrolled		
					FFY for CA	ARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	FFY for CA	ARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline
					100th Perce	entile	Differe	nce	% Improve-	100th Perce	entile	Differer	nce	%
					ment Annual Imp	rove-ment	Projecti	ion for Fo	ollowing Year	Improve-ment	Annual	Improve-	ment	Projection
					2013	2012	72.13	100	27.87	for Following Ye	ar			
					5% 1.39	73.52				2014	2013	73.83	100	26.17
					2014	2013	73.52	100	26.48	5% 1.31	75.14			
					5% 1.32	74.85				2015	2014	75.14	100	24.86
					2015	2014	74.85	100	25.15	5% 1.24	76.38			
					5% 1.26	76.10				2016	2015	76.38	100	23.62
					2016	2015	76.10	100	23.90	5% 1.18	77.56			
					5% 1.19	77.30				2017	2016	77.56	100	22.44
					2017	2016	77.30			5% 1.12	78.68			
										2018	2017	78.68		
										(Combo 2	used as ex	ample of c	alculatio	ns used)
Other Comment	s on Meas	sure:			Other Comments on Measure:				(Combo 2 used as example of calculations used)  Other Comments on Measure:			,		

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent	Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent	Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent
of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will	of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will	of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will
participate in well child screenings.	participate in well child screenings.	participate in well child screenings.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
⊠ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	⊠ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	⊠ Final.
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported:	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
☑Other. <i>Explain</i> : The annual EPSDT report (form CMS-	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> : The annual EPSDT report (Form CMS-	☑Other. <i>Explain</i> : The annual EPSDT report (Form CMS-
416), defined by CMS using the March 2010 guidance	416), defined by CMS using the March 2010 guidance	416), defined by CMS using the March 2010 guidance

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
document revision, as providing information to assess the	document revision, as providing information to assess the	document revision, as providing information to assess the
effectiveness of State EPSDT programs in terms of the	effectiveness of State EPSDT programs in terms of the	effectiveness of State EPSDT programs in terms of the
number of children provided child health screening services,	number of children provided child health screening services,	number of children provided child health screening services,
are referred for corrective treatment, and receive dental	are referred for corrective treatment, and receive dental	are referred for corrective treatment, and receive dental
services.	services.	services.
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Uniter. Specify.	Guier. Specify.	Guier. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of numerator: Per the CMS-416 guidance revised	Definition of numerator: Per the CMS-416 guidance revised	Definition of numerator: Per the CMS-416 guidance revised
March 2010, "Line 9 - Total Eligibles Receiving at Least One	March 2010, "Line 9 - Total Eligibles Receiving at Least One	March 2010, "Line 9 - Total Eligibles Receiving at Least One
Initial or Periodic Screen - Enter the unduplicated count of	Initial or Periodic Screen - Enter the unduplicated count of	Initial or Periodic Screen - Enter the unduplicated count of
individuals, including those enrolled in managed care	individuals, including those enrolled in managed care	individuals, including those enrolled in managed care
arrangements, who received at least one documented initial or	arrangements, who received at least one documented initial or	arrangements, who received at least one documented initial
periodic screen during the year."	periodic screen during the year."	or periodic screen during the year."
Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP population only.  Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
number of children excluded: This is a report for Medicaid	number of children excluded: This is a report for Medicaid	number of children excluded: This is a report for Medicaid
(Title XIX) only. Per the CMS-416 guidance revised March	(Title XIX) only. Per the CMS-416 guidance revised March	(Title XIX) only. Per the CMS-416 guidance revised March
2010, "Line 8 - Total Eligibles Who Should Receive at Least	2010, "Line 8 - Total Eligibles Who Should Receive at Least	2010, "Line 8 - Total Eligibles Who Should Receive at Least
One Initial or Periodic Screen" This calculation includes	One Initial or Periodic Screen" This calculation includes	One Initial or Periodic Screen" This calculation includes
Line 1b and therefore is based on those enrolled for at least	Line 1b and therefore is based on those enrolled for at least	Line 1b and therefore is based on those enrolled for at least
90 continuous days.	90 continuous days.	90 continuous days.
Date Range:	Date Range:	Date Range:
From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2011	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2011 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2012	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2012 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2013
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
Tour of Butti, Explain.	Tour of Data, Explain.	Tear of Bata, Explain.
☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
		1

FFY 2012	FFY 2013	FFY 2014
Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes/comments on measure: Includes Title XIX only
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 827197 Denominator: 1092290 Rate: 76	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 810613 Denominator: 1098631 Rate: 74.0	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 781141 Denominator: 1070331 Rate: 73.0
Additional notes on measure: Includes Title XIX only	Additional notes on measure: Includes Title XIX only	Additional notes on measure: We are currently investigating the CMS-416 report to comply with revised guidance from CMS (November 2014) for FFY2014 reporting (due April 1, 2015). Future reports will reflect programming changes as the report is reviewed and updated.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2012 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2011 Annual Report? From FFY2011 (2010 data) to FFY2012 (2011 data), there was a percent change increase of +1.33 in the rate of children who received at least one initial or periodic screening.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help or the pr	How did your performance in 2013 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2012 Annual Report? From FFY2012 (FFY2011 data) to FFY2013 (FFY2012 data), there was a percent change decrease of -2.63 in the rate of children who received at least one initial or periodic screening.	How did your performance in 2014 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2013 Annual Report? From FFY2013 (FFY2012 data) to FFY2014 (FFY2013 data), there was a percent change decrease of -1.35 in the rate of children who received at least one initial or periodic screening. The CMS-416 FFY2013 rate (73.0%) does not achieve the Performance Objective of 76.60 percent projected in the FFY2013 Annual Report.
enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501], requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid be enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around their medical needs. In January 2014, Illinois Medicaid will expand the care coordination program to the other populations we serve: children, their parents, and newly-eligible Medicaid enrollees under the Affordable Care Act. It is expected that care coordination for these populations will be provided by some or all of the current managed care entities on contract with the state, as well as others who are likely to apply (including MCOs, CCEs and MCCNs). The traditional managed care organizations serving Illinois Medicaid clients are also likely to offer private health	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501], requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid be enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around their medical needs. In January 2014, Illinois Medicaid will expand the care coordination program to the other populations we serve: children, their parents, and newly-eligible Medicaid enrollees under the Affordable Care Act. It is expected that care coordination for these populations will be provided by some or all of the current managed care entities on contract with the state, as well as others who are likely to apply (including MCOs, CCEs and MCCNs). The	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501] requires that 50% of clients be enrolled in care coordination programs by 2015. In Illinois, care coordination will be provided to most Medicaid clients by a variety of "managed care entities," a general term that includes Coordinated Care Entities (CCEs), Managed Care Community Networks (MCCNs), Managed Care Organizations (MCOs) and Accountable Care Entities (ACEs). HFS strengthened its managed care contracts to specify content of care expected for children and implemented a withhold/pay for performance strategy.

FFY 2012				FFY 2013				FFY 2014						
thereby pro	insurance on the Illinois Health Insurance Exchange, thereby providing continuity of care, as clients go on or off Medicaid.				traditional managed care organizations serving Illinois Medicaid clients are also likely to offer private health insurance on the Illinois Health Insurance Exchange, thereby providing continuity of care, as clients go on or			Bonus payments have been available to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to						
Bonus payabe impleme		provider q	uality too	ols continue to	off Medic	caid.			ols continue to	encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to				
improving	g the comp	leteness o		ssistance in cy of your	be implei	nented.				claims dat	ta, provider e	ducation ar	nd on-go	oing assistance.
Annual P	of the data		e for FF	Y 2013:	improvi	ndicate how C ng the comple ng of the data.	eteness o			Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.				
	erformance	e Objectiv	e for FFY	Y <b>2014</b> :		Performance (FFY2013 data		ve for FF	Y 2014:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2015: 75.70% (FFY2014 data)				Y 2015:
80.56% (20 <b>Annual P</b> 82.50% (2	erformanc	e Objectiv	e for FF	Y 2015:		<b>Performance</b> (FFY2014 data		ve for FF	Y 2015:	<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016:</b> 78.13% (FFY2015 data)			Y 2016:	
10: Eighty	Explain how these objectives were set: CMS-416 Line 10: Eighty percent of children as measured by the CMS 416 guidance will participate in well child screenings		Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2016: 81.05% (FFY2015 data)			Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2017: 80.32% (FFY2016 data)								
FFY for CA	ARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	Explain how these objectives were set: CMS-416 Line			Explain	how these	objectives	were	set: FFY for		
100th Perc		Differer		% Improve-	10: Eighty percent of children measured by Form CMS-416			CARTS DAT			100th	Percentile		
mentAnnua		ment	Project	ion for	will participate in well child screenings			Difference % Improvement Annual Improvement Projection for Following Year						
Following 7	2011	76	100	24.00	EEV for	CARTS	DATA	Voor (EE	Y) Baseline	ment Projection 2014	on for Follow 2013	ing Year 73	100	27.00
10%	2.40	78.40	100	24.00	100th Pe		Differe		% Improve-	10%	2.70	75.70	100	27.00
2013	2012	78.40	100	21.60	ment Annual					2015	2014	75.70	100	24.30
10%	2.16	80.56			2013	2012	74	100	26.00	10%	2.43	78.13		
2014	2013	80.56	100	19.44	10%	2.60	76.60			2016	2015	78.13	100	21.87
10%	1.94	82.50			2014	2013	76.60	100	23.40	10%	2.19	80.32		
2015	2014	82.50	100	17.50	10%	2.34	78.94			2017	2016	80.32	100	19.68
10%	1.75	84.25			2015	2014	78.94	100	21.06	10%	1.97	82.29		
2016	2015	84.25			10%	2.11	81.05			2018	2017	82.29		
Rates based	Rates based on the total, not age-specific population			2016 10% 2017	2015 1.90 2016	81.05 82.94 82.94	100	18.95	Rates based on the total, not age-specific population					
				Rates based on total, not age-specific population										
Other Commen	her Comments on Measure:			Other Comm	ents on Meası	ıre:			Other Comme	ents on Meas	sure:			

1. What other strategies does your state use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found? [7500]

Access to and improved content of care is to be achieved by reframing the healthcare delivery system as a result of legislation [PA 96-1501] (known as "Medicaid Reform"). The Medicaid reform law requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid are enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around the individuals' medical needs. In January 2014, Illinois Medicaid expanded the care coordination program to children, their parents, and newly-eligible Medicaid enrollees under the Affordable Care Act. Care coordination for these populations is provided by managed care organizations (MCO), Care Coordination Entities (CCE) and Managed Care Community Networks (MCCN). In addition, Illinois has created its own version of Accountable Care Organization, referred to locally as an Accountable Care Entity (ACE). ACEs are organized around NFP Integrated Delivery Systems which are responsible for providing a broad range of medical, ancillary and support services to enrollees in a coordinated fashion, while assuming an increasing level of financial risk leading to full risk capitation. The traditional managed care organizations serving Illinois Medicaid clients also are likely to offer private health insurance on the Illinois Health Insurance Exchange, thereby providing continuity of care, as clients go on or off Medicaid.

HFS strengthened its managed care contracts to specify content of care expected for children and implemented a withhold/pay for performance (P4P) strategy. These contracts include performance measures that are becoming increasingly aligned with the Child and Adult Core Set measures. HFS is moving toward using HEDIS benchmarks, where available, for P4Ps to drive performance improvement.

With the move to a predominantly managed care healthcare delivery system, a Care Coordination Claims Database (CCCD) is made available by HFS to the care coordination partners for their enrolled recipients. The CCCD contains the most recent two years of claims data, and seven years of immunization and blood lead level data. The database is updated monthly. The data set aggregates information from various sources (e.g., lead data, immunization registries). CCCD info is available at: http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/PublicInvolvement/cc/Pages/ClaimsData.aspx.

The Primary Care Case Management (PCCM) program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panel rosters indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance. Additionally, there are several strategies targeted at the individual child and his family. There also are P4P incentives.

HFS contracts with eQ Health Solutions, a federally recognized Quality Improvement Organization, for external utilization review and quality assurance, primarily monitoring inpatient care, and to perform special projects/quality reviews in the fee for service arena. Findings on various components of the review process are available in their ongoing reporting to HFS. HFS contracts with Health Services Advisory Group for the federally required external quality monitoring of managed care. In compliance with the BBA, HFS has developed a quality strategy for managed care and its contracts with managed care providers require ongoing internal monitoring and quality improvement in the area of access to and quality of care (EQRO). HFS's contracts with managed care organizations require meeting performance standards and improving outcomes. In areas of the state where there is no care coordination entity, HFS continues PCCM in order to assure access to care through providing a medical home, and performing quality improvement strategies and monitoring performance measures at the individual as opposed to plan level.

HFS publishes the Child Core Set Data Book annually. The report includes each Child Core Set measure reported in CARTS, but provides information for our entire covered population (i.e., Title XIX, Title XXI, state-only funded). The report is available on HFS' web site at: <a href="http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/agency/Pages/Reports.aspx">http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/agency/Pages/Reports.aspx</a>. HFS compares progress with national HEDIS® benchmarks and includes these comparisons in the report.

Public Act 93-0536 (305 ILCS 5/5 – 5.23) was enacted with the goal of improving birth outcomes for the over 80,000 births covered by HFS annually. The law states that HFS may provide reimbursement for all prenatal and perinatal health care services that are provided under Medicaid for the purpose of preventing low birth weight infants, reducing the need for neonatal intensive care hospital services, and promoting perinatal health. Additionally, HFS was required to develop a plan for prenatal and perinatal health care for presentation to the General Assembly by January 1, 2004. HFS is required to report to the General Assembly on the effectiveness of prenatal and perinatal health care services, on or before January 1, 2006, and every two years thereafter. The biennial reports identify steps HFS has taken with its partners (other state agencies, advocate groups, maternal and child health experts, local funding resources and others) to address the Perinatal health care needs and racial health disparities in Illinois; detail the progress made in addressing the priority recommendations as outlined in the Report to the General Assembly as a result of Public Act 93-0536; review the available trend data on infant mortality, low birth weight and very low birth weight outcomes; identify the progress made to address poor birth outcomes through analysis of trend data; and identify next steps in improving birth outcomes. These reports are available on-line at

http://www2.illinois.gov/HFS/MEDICALPROVIDER/MATERNALANDCHILDHEALTHPROMOTION/Pages/report.aspx. The 2014 Perinatal Report was submitted to the legislature January 1, 2014. The report is posted on the above web site.

The SMART Act (Public Act 097-0689) also includes a focus on improving birth outcomes. Changes resulting from this 2012 legislation include paying Cesarean deliveries at the normal vaginal rate when there is no indication of medical necessity. Related to care coordination, the legislation mandated the development of a statewide multi-agency initiative to improve birth outcomes and reduce costs associated with poor birth outcomes (e.g., low birth weight, very low birth weight or infant demise).

Ongoing monitoring of key indicators and provider feedback are among HFS' strategies to improve outcomes. As new measures are developed on a national level by NCQA and others, HFS will review those measures to determine whether they are relevant to the population and able to be programmed for monitoring through administrative data.

HFS conducts many initiatives, including provider outreach training and technical assistance, to promote the medical home, improve provider compliance with best practice guidelines, EPSDT content of care, and promote appropriate medical follow-up and referral.

2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? [7500]

Through the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration grant, Illinois reports the Child Core Set measures related to access, quality and outcomes. These measures will continue to be maintained and reported via CARTS in the future even though the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration grant ends in CY2015. HFS implemented the grant in a manner that will sustain many activities, including a variety of initiatives undertaken to improve measurement and reporting, over time. These include: amending contracts to better align MCO reporting requirements with the Child Core Set measures; replacing the satisfaction survey in the PCCM program with the CAHPS survey for 2013 and beyond; procuring a NCQA-certified vendor to conduct CAHPS surveying and reporting in FFY2013 and beyond; and HFS instituting other supports to sustain measurement (e.g., improvements in programming, coordination among staff, work groups focused on measurement and data issues). Measure programming resources will continue to be available through HFS' EDW staff and through the services of a contract employee who worked on the CHIPRA grant. Efforts of these programmers made the maintenance and updating of measures more streamlined and structured. This helps with resource allocation as more measures come on-line each year.

With the move to a predominantly managed care healthcare delivery system, a Care Coordination Claims Database (CCCD) is made available by HFS to the care coordination partners for their enrolled recipients. The CCCD contains the most recent two years of claims data, and seven years of immunization and lead data. The database is updated monthly. The data set aggregates information from various sources (e.g., CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2014

lead data, immunization registries). CCCD info is available at: http://www2.illinois.gov/hfs/PublicInvolvement/cc/Pages/ClaimsData.aspx.

HFS recently contracted with a vendor to secure the use of software as a service (SaaS) based healthcare Data Analytics and Reporting Platform. As described in the request for proposal, "A Data Analytics and Reporting Platform will streamline the process by which complex data structures are converted into actionable information. It will centralize all data elements in a single location and provide easily understood definitions of all data elements. Moreover, it will empower end users with a state of the art report writing tool as well comprehensive pre-developed dashboard and standard reports proven to promote a state Medicaid agency's mission to improve quality of care and lower costs." The use of this platform will provide much more end-user functionality to query and report on the data available through HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse.

Focusing on improving birth outcomes, the Illinois Department of Human Services (DHS) and HFS are collaborating to share data on women identified as high-risk for a poor birth outcome. First, HFS identifies women as potentially pregnant by culling through claims for data indicative of pregnancy (e.g., pharmacy claims for prenatal vitamins). Once identified as potentially pregnant, Phase I of the algorithm determines whether the women had a previous high-cost birth. These women are "flagged" in a file that is transferred to DHS. Subsequently, staff of DHS' Family Case Management/Better Birth Outcomes program conducts outreach to these women to enroll them in case management and prenatal care.

Phase II of the algorithm will identify women with conditions identified as highly associated with a poor birth outcome based on an odds ratio analysis of HFS claims data and based on research information. In Phase II, the identification of potentially high-risk pregnancies is enhanced by expanding the definition of qualifying conditions beyond costs associated with a previous delivery. This phase also will identify an additional group of women who have not had a previous birth by including characteristics of the woman that are indicative of a potential poor birth outcome (e.g., chronic conditions such as diabetes, mental health/substance abuse). Evaluation will be conducted to determine whether women identified through this process receive needed prenatal care and have improved birth outcomes.

HFS imports other data sources (e.g., immunization tracking system data and lead screening results) that are not available in HFS claims data in order to have a more complete picture of utilization and outcomes. HFS collaborates with the Illinois Department of Human Services and Illinois Department of Public Health, and the Division of Specialized Care for Children to incorporate additional data into the HFS Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW). Data acquisitions include blood lead screening laboratory results, I-CARE immunization data, Vital Records that include matching birth data with claims information, and other data. These external data sources are matched with HFS recipient-level data providing a robust data warehouse.

HFS continues to pursue additional data sources to integrate into the EDW. This provides opportunities to match recipient-level data across sources to improve quality measurement, enhance care coordination and conduct risk stratification. For example, HFS is establishing a data mart that matches data from IDPH's Early Hearing Detection and Intervention (EHDI) program with HFS data. IDPH EHDI staff will use the data mart to identify the primary care provider assigned to infants with potential hearing loss so that outreach, care coordination and intervention activities can be conducted in a timely manner to improve outcomes. Program evaluation conducted, in the current scenario, by the IDPH EHDI program will track whether there are improvements in infants achieving the program benchmarks. We anticipate expanding this in the future to link PCPs providing care to infants identified with various risk factors (e.g., newborns with genetic disorders) to assure follow-up care by the assigned PCP.

HFS is interested in securing laboratory results from IDPH for recipients covered by HFS. These data would provide useful clinical information to measure outcomes related to service provision, to wrap-

around case management service and to identify needed intervention services for those identified with abnormal laboratory results.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found? [7500]

The CHIP population is included in the managed care or, if not enrolled with a MCO, in the PCCM program. In the MCO program, there have been focused quality studies on children's health issues, such as appropriate care for asthma; improving the rate of well child visits, lead screening and childhood immunizations; as well as ensuring that content of care is in compliance with well child screening guidelines for children under age three.

Public Act 93-0536 (305 ILCS 5/5 – 5.23) was enacted with the goal of improving birth outcomes for the over 80,000 births covered by HFS annually. The law states that HFS may provide reimbursement for all prenatal and perinatal health care services that are provided under Medicaid for the purpose of preventing low birth weight infants, reducing the need for neonatal intensive care hospital services, and promoting perinatal health. Additionally, HFS was required to develop a plan for prenatal and perinatal health care for presentation to the General Assembly by January 1, 2004. HFS is required to report to the General Assembly on the effectiveness of prenatal and perinatal health care services, on or before January 1, 2006, and every two years thereafter. The biennial reports identify steps HFS has taken with its partners (other state agencies, advocate groups, maternal and child health experts, local funding resources and others) to address the Perinatal health care needs and racial health disparities in Illinois; detail the progress made in addressing the priority recommendations as outlined in the Report to the General Assembly as a result of Public Act 93-0536; review the available trend data on infant mortality, low birth weight and very low birth weight outcomes; identify the progress made to address poor birth outcomes through analysis of trend data; and identify next steps in improving birth outcomes. These reports are available on-line at

http://www2.illinois.gov/HFS/MEDICALPROVIDER/MATERNALANDCHILDHEALTHPROMOTION/Pages/report.aspx. The 2014 Perinatal Report was submitted to the legislature January 1, 2014. The report is posted on the above web site.

The SMART Act (Public Act 097-0689) also includes a focus on improving birth outcomes. Changes resulting from this 2012 legislation include paying Cesarean deliveries at the normal vaginal rate when there is no indication of medical necessity. Related to care coordination, the legislation mandated the development of a statewide multi-agency initiative to improve birth outcomes and reduce costs associated with poor birth outcomes (e.g., low birth weight, very low birth weight or infant demise).

HFS is involved with the Screening Assessment and Support Services (SASS) initiative, a cooperative partnership between the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), HFS and the Department of Human Services (DHS). The development of the tri-department SASS program created a single, statewide system to serve children experiencing a mental health crisis whose care will require public funding from one of the three agencies. This program features a single point of entry (Crisis and Referral Entry Service, CARES) for all children entering the system and ensures that children receive crisis services in the most appropriate setting.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives health coverage initiatives. [7500]

The Medicaid reform law requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid are enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around the individuals' medical needs. In January 2014, Illinois Medicaid expanded the care coordination program to children, their parents, and newly-eligible Medicaid enrollees under the Affordable Care Act. Care coordination for these populations is provided by MCOs, CCEs and MCCNs. In addition, Illinois has created its own CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2014

version of Accountable Care Organization, referred to locally as an Accountable Care Entity (ACE). ACEs are organized around NFP Integrated Delivery Systems which are responsible for providing a broad range of medical, ancillary and support services to enrollees in a coordinated fashion, while assuming an increasing level of financial risk leading to full risk capitation. The traditional managed care organizations serving Illinois Medicaid clients are also likely to offer private health insurance on the Illinois Health Insurance Exchange, thereby providing continuity of care, as clients go on or off Medicaid.

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

# SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### A. OUTREACH

1. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

Illinois has continued its highly successful All Kids Application Agent (AKAA) program. Most outreach activities for CHIP have been rolled into the state's ACA marketing strategies. A new website was developed, www.getcveredillinois.gov, for individuals,families and small businesses to learn about Medicaid, CHIP and FFM options. That has become the starting place for anyone in Illinois who needs healthcare coverage. Earned and paid media make the website and phone number for Get Covered Illinois available to all. All types of assisters, including navigators, AKAAs, and community partners can be found through the website and call center.

2. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

All Kids Application Agents and other assiters are our most effective way to help families apply and enroll into the program.

- Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500]
   All Kids Appliation Agents
- 4. Is your state targeting outreach to specific populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?

$\boxtimes$	Yes
П	Nο

Have these efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

Illinois continues to use a variety of strategies to reach families who speak languages other than English. Fact Sheets are available in many languages. The All Kids Hotline uses a language translation service that allows staff to talk to callers who speak any language. All written client communications are available in both English and Spanish. These strategies are critical to reaching those for whom English is not their primary language. AKAAs are also community-based/integrated and many are very active in reaching out to the populations in their respective communities.

5. What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? [5]

(Identify the data source used). [7500]

# B. Substitution of Coverage (Crowd-out)

All states should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your responses when applicable and requested.

1. Table 1.

Does your program require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting		No			
	$\boxtimes$	Yes			
	Specify number	3			

period)?	The period of use children in fam FPL.  List all exempt uninsurance [1]  Newborn under private or emproverage; Child lost beneficially	er age 1 who does not have elloyer-sponsored insurance efits under All Kids Assist, nium Level 1 in the 12 months enth of application; for coverage of the child plan exceeded 5% of ome; is determined eligible for a redit for enrollment in a health ne FFM because the employer urance in which the family was ermined unaffordable; mily coverage exceeds 9.5%
		N/A
	<b>5</b> 7	
Does your program		No
match prospective enrollees to a database		Yes
that details private insurance status?	It yes, what da	tabase? <b>[1000]</b>
		N/A

1. At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) \* 100] [5] and what percent of applicants are found to have other group insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) \* 100] [5]? Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5]

2. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage [5]

		applicants who were enrolled)*100]? [5]
3.	D	o you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?_
		☐ Yes ☑ No
		If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last federal fiscal year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)*100]? [5]
	C. E	LIGIBILITY
		subsection should be completed by all states. Medicaid Expansion states should complete applicable nses and indicate those questions that are non-applicable with N/A.
	Section	on IIIC: Subpart A: Eligibility Renewal and Retention
	1. D	o you have authority in your CHIP state plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have you implemented this? 🔀 Yes 🔲 No
		If yes
		<ol> <li>What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5]</li> </ol>
		<ol> <li>Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination those children are determined eligible and enrolled? [5] 44</li> </ol>
	2.	Select the measures from those below that your state employ to simplify an eligibility renewal and retain eligible children in CHIP?
	$\boxtimes$	Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
		Sends renewal reminder notices to all families TEST
		<ol> <li>How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program?</li> <li>[500]</li> </ol>
		<ol> <li>At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the state?) [500]</li> </ol>
		Other, please explain: [500]
	3.	Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and

Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new

Follow-up with clients through caseworkers.

methodology. [7500]

1.

Section IIIC: Subpart B: Eligibility Data

# Table 1. Application Status of Title XXI Children in FFY 2014

States are required to report on all questions (1,2,2.a.,2.b., and 2.c) in FFY 2014. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

			Number	Percent
1.		Total number of title XXI applicants	0	100
2.		Total number of application denials		
	1.	Total number of procedural denials		
	2.	Total number of eligibility denials		
	1.	Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX		
	2.	(Check here if there are no additional categories   Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please indicate:		

3. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table: We have a single application and processing system. Every application is considered first as a Medicaid application and then as a CHIP application. When our new system is fully implemented in 2015, we should be able to report on child applicants denied for Medicaid due to income who were then considered for CHIP.

#### **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of title XXI applicants," including those that applied using a joint application form, is defined as the total number of applicants that had an eligibility decision made for title XXI in FFY 2014. This measure is for applicants that have not been previously enrolled in title XXI or they were previously enrolled in title XXI but had a break in coverage, thus requiring a new application. Please include only those applicants that have had a Title XXI eligibility determination made in FFY 2014 (e.g., an application that was determined eligible in September 2014, but coverage was effective October 1, 2014 is counted in FFY 2014).
- The "the total number of denials" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an
  eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2014. This
  definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
- 1. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2014 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
- 2. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2014 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.)
  - 1. The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
- 3. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

#### Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

For this table, reporting is required for FFY 2014.

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

Number	Percent

1.	Total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined	97083	100%			
2.	Total number of children screened for redetermination			100%		
3.	Total number of children retained after the redetermination process					
4.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process				100%	
1.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures					
2.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria					100%
1.	Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)					
2.	Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)					
3.	Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here   )					
4.	Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: (If unable to provide the data check here   )					
5.	Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories □)					

6. If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any state policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data.

Our legacy data system is not able to report redetermination data. Our new eligibility system will process redeterminations beginning in October 2015 and we expect to be able to report on redeterminations in detail in the FFY 2016 report.

## **Definitions:**

1. The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in federal fiscal year (FFY) 2014, and <u>did not age out</u> (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number

- may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total number may include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the State sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires the family to report any changes. This total may also include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a State uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility.
- 2. The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the State for redetermination in FFY 2014 (i.e., those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the State, as well as administrative redeterminations and ex parte redeterminations).
- The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2014.
- 4. The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XXI</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2014. This includes those children that states may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.
- 1. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2014 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).
- 2. The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their state's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your state's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.
- 3. The "total number of children disenrolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

#### Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2014

The purpose of this table is to measure title XXI enrollees' duration, or continuity, of public coverage (title XIX and title XXI). This information is required by CHIPRA, Section 402(a). Reporting is required in 2014, with states identifying newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2014 (January, February, and March of 2014). If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

NOTE: The first cohort of newly enrolled children was identified in the second quarter of 2012 (January, February, and March of 2012), was followed for 18 months (through FFY2013), and stopped. This new cohort is required for all states in the second quarter of FFY2014 (January, February, and March of 2014) for the purpose of measuring duration of public coverage (title XIX and title XXI), similar to the measurement of the first 2012 cohort.

**Instructions:** For this prospective duration measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2014 must have birthdates after July 1997 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18<sup>th</sup> month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2014 must have birthdates after August 1997, and children enrolled in March 2014 must have birthdates after September 1997. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span). Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the percentages. **Only enter a "0" (zero) if the data are known to be zero. If data are unknown or unavailable, leave the field blank.** 

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2014, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2013, etc.)

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2014, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2013, etc.)

Duration Measure, Title XXI	All Children	ren Ages 0-16 Age Less than 12 mo		2 months Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1. Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014	8663	100%	230	100%	2633	100%	3860	100%	1940	100%
			Enrol	lment Status 6	months later					
2. Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI	6385	73.7	180	78.26	2000	75.96	2813	72.88	1392	71.75
3. Total number of	50	0.58	0		20	0.76	20	0.52	10	0.52

			1	T	1	T	T	T	1		
	children with a break in										
	title XXI coverage but										
	re-enrolled in title XXI										
	3.a. Total number of	18	0.21	0		8	0.3	7	0.18	3	0.15
	children enrolled in							,	0.120		****
	Medicaid (title XIX)										
	during title XXI										
	coverage break										
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here										
4.	Total number of	2228	25.72	50	21.74	613	23.28	1027	26.61	538	27.73
	children disenrolled	2226	23.12	30	21.74	013	23.20	1027	20.01	336	21.13
	from title XXI										
	4.a. Total number of	600	6.93	11	4.78	193	7.33	263	6.81	133	6.86
	children enrolled in	000	0.93	11	4.70	193	1.33	203	0.61	133	0.80
	Medicaid (title XIX)										
	after being										
	disenrolled from title										
	XXI										
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here □)										
				Fnroll	lment Status 12	months later					
5.	Total number of		ı	Emon		months fater	I	l	Ι		
J.	children continuously										
	enrolled in title XXI										
6.	Total number of										
0.	children with a break in										
	title XXI coverage but										
	utile AXI coverage but										
	re-enrolled in title XXI										
	6.a. Total number of										
	children enrolled in										
	Medicaid (title XIX)										
	during title XXI										
	coverage break										
	(If unable to provide										
	the data, check here										
	<u> </u>										
7.	Total number of										
	children disenrolled										
	from title XXI										
	7.a. Total number of children enrolled in										
			•	1	I	1	l	I	i		

Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI						
(If unable to provide the data, check here □)						
	Enroll	ment Status 18	months later			
8. Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI						
9. Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-enrolled in title XXI						
9.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here						
10. Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI						
10.aTotal number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) after being disenrolled from title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here						

# **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2014" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.
- 2. The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for <u>6 months</u> is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2014

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2014
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2014
- 3. The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2014
  - 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 4. The total number who disenrolled from title XXI, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of June 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of July 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of August 2014
  - 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 5. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of December 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of January 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of February 2015
- 6. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 12 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 12 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of December 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of January 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of February 2015
  - 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

- 7. The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI 12 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were enrolled in January 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of December 2014
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were enrolled in February 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of January 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were enrolled in March 2014 and were disenrolled by the end of February 2015
  - 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.
- 8. The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of June 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of July 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and were continuously enrolled through the end of August 2015
- 9. The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of June 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of July 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of August 2015
  - 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.
- 10. The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI 18 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:
  - the number of children with birthdates after July 1997, who were newly enrolled in January 2014 and disenrolled by the end of June 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after August 1997, who were newly enrolled in February 2014 and disenrolled by the end of July 2015
  - + the number of children with birthdates after September 1997, who were newly enrolled in March 2014 and disenrolled by the end of August 2015
  - 10.a. From the population in #10, provide the total number of children who are enrolled in title XIX (Medicaid) in the month after their disenrollment from XXI.

# D. Cost Sharing

1.	aggregate maximum in the year?
	a. Cost sharing is tracked by:
	Enrollees (shoebox method)  If the state uses the shoebox method, please describe informational tools provided to enrollees to track cost sharing. [7500]  At approval and renewal, families are sent a letter and a form to complete, along with an envelope to use when submitting receipts for copayments. The copay cap is set at a level low enough so that the copays, along with the 12 months of premiums for a year, will never exceed 5%.
	<ul> <li>☐ Health Plan(s)</li> <li>☐ State</li> <li>☐ Third Party Administrator</li> <li>☐ N/A (No cost sharing required)</li> <li>☐ Other, please explain. [7500]</li> </ul>
2.	When the family reaches the 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing ceased′ [7500] ⊠ Yes ☐ No
3.	Please describe how providers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees exceeding the 5% cap. <b>[7500]</b> They systems providers use to verifiy eligibility is updated with a message that copays can no longer be charged.
4.	Please provide an estimate of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the state's CHIP program during the federal fiscal year. <b>[500]</b> None
5.	Has your state undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in CHIP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
6.	Has your state undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in CHIP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
7.	If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment, disenrollment, and utilization of children's health services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]
	Copays were increased to the federal maximum. No assessment of the impact has been undertaken.

# E. EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE CHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION

1.		Does your state offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?
		<ul><li>☐ Yes, please answer questions below.</li><li>☐ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.</li></ul>
(	Chile	dren
		Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(3)) Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10)) Section 1115 demonstration (Title XXI) Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906) Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)
	Adul	ts
		Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
		Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP state plan (2105(c)(10)) Section 1115 demonstration (Title XXI) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid state plan (1906A)
2.		Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
		Parents and Caretaker Relatives Pregnant Women
3.		Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]
4.		What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
5.	=	Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package? Yes No
6.	=	Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits? Yes No
7.	=	Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program? Yes No
8.		Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?

	☐ Yes ☐ No
9.	Are there protections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your premium assistance program?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent yearly aggregate maximum [7500]?
10.	Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
	Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
	Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
	Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
11.	Provide the average monthly enrollment of children and parents ever enrolled in the premium assistance program during FFY 2014
	Children
	Parents
12.	During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]
13.	During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]
14.	What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
15.	What do you estimate is the impact of your ESI program (including premium assistance) on enrollment and retention of children? How was this measured? [7500]
16.	under your ESI program:
	Children Parent
	State: State:

	Employer:	Eı	mployer:
	Employee:	Eı	mployee:
17.	Indicate the range in the average state on behalf of a child or put Children Low Parents Low	•	nount of premium assistance provided by the
18.	If you offer a premium assist	ance program, what, if	any, is the minimum employer contribution?
19.	Please provide the income lo	evels of the children or	families provided premium assistance.
		From	То
	Income level of Children:	% of FPL[5]	% of FPL[5]
	Income level of Parents:	% of FPL[5]	% of FPL[5]
20.	Is there a required period of	uninsurance before en	rolling in premium assistance? [500]
	☐ Yes ☐ No		
	If yes, what is the period of unins	surance? <b>[500]</b>	
	in yes, what is the period of drink	surance: [Joo]	
21.	Do you have a waiting list fo	r your program?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No		
22.	Can you cap enrollment for	our program?	
	☐ Yes ☐ No		
23.	What strategies has the stat provision of premium assista		in reducing administrative barriers to the
Ent	er any Narrative text below. [750	0]	
	PROGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLET INTO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O		ARD TO SEPARATE CHIP PROGRAMS
1.	Does your state have a writt procedures for:	<u>en</u> plan that has safegu	uards and establishes methods and
	(1) prevention: ⊠ Yes ☐ N	0	
	(2) investigation: $oximes$ Yes $oximes$	No	
	(3) referral of cases of fraud	and abuse? ⊠ Yes [	] No
	Please explain: [7500]		
	separate procedures in place	e for preventing or inve fraud and abuse cases	Family Services (HFS) does not have stigating fraud and abuse for CHIP cases. s for providers and recipients, HFS reviews re rendered or received.

The HFS Office of Inspector General (OIG) does utilize a variety of techniques to both prevent and detect possible fraud and abuse associated with all types of public assistance including Medicaid, CHIP, cash assistance and food stamps. These activities include provider post-payments compliance audits, provider quality assurance reviews, quality control measurements, client eligibility investigations, fraud prevention investigations, long term care-asset discovery investigations and recipient utilization reviews

	Do managed	health care plans with which your program contracts have written plans?						
	☐ No							
	Please Expla	in: <b>[500]</b>						
	The Illinois m Compliance F	anaged care organizations are required to have in place a Fraud and Abuse Plan.						
2.	For the repor	ting period, please report the						
		Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility denials						
		Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary						
3.	•	For the reporting period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, regarding fraud and abuse in the following areas:						
	a. Provider Cred	lentialing						
	0	Number of cases investigated						
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	b. Provider Billin	g						
	466	Number of cases investigated						
	120	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	c. Beneficiary El	igibility						
	765	Number of cases investigated						
	10	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	Are these cases f	or:						
	CHIP							
	Medicaid and	CHIP Combined 🖂						
4.	Does your state re	ly on contractors to perform the above functions?						
	⊠ Yes, pleas	se answer question below.						
	□ No							

- 5. If your state relies on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide oversight of those contractors? Please explain: [7500]

  The OIG adjusts its audit plans to maximize the effectiveness of its program integrity activities; including the use of data mining, fraud science routines, and internal and external audits. When the OIG identifies improper billing patterns or fraud schemes, it adjusts its audit plan to allocate resources between internal and external auditors to maximize its impact on program vulnerabilities.
- The OIG utilizes the services of a contractual, private detection agency to perform Fraud Prevention Investigations (FPI). These investigations are conducted to prevent ineligible persons from receiving benefits. FPI targets assistance applications that either contain suspicious information or meet error prone criteria. The OIG contracts with physician consultants of various specialties to perform provider's quality assurance reviews and physician and pharmacy consultants to perform Medicaid recipient utilizations reviews. Diagnosis Related Group (DRG) Inpatient Audits involve the conduct of a statewide audit program of inpatient hospital services reimbursed under the Diagnosis Related Grouping Prospective Payment System (DRG PPS). Medicaid Integrity Contractor (MIC) Audits utilize the OIG's partnership with the federal Centers for Medicaid and Medicare Services' Center for Public Integrity (CPI). CPI offers states the use of MIC auditors, in order to perform targeted audits at no cost to the state. Long Term Care Audits are financial audits of a long term care facility's non-medical records and balances. Federal law requires states to establish programs to contract with Recovery Audit Contractors (RAC) to audit payments to Medicaid providers. Payment to the RAC vendor is a statutorily mandated contingency fee based on the overpayments collected.
- The OIG performs regular quality control checks of cases handled by contractors to ensure they have adequately performed their services. It should be noted the above referenced types of investigations or reviews are not identified as to the type of funding allocation (CHIP or Medicaid).

6.	Do you contract with manage oversight?	d care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this
	Yes	
	No	
	Please explain: [500]	

G. Dental Benefits – Please ONLY report data in this section for children in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs. Reporting is required for all states with Separate CHIP programs and Combination programs.

If your state has a Combination program or a Separate CHIP program but you are not reporting data in this section on children in the Separate CHIP part of your program, please explain why.

Explain: [7500]

1. Information on Dental Care Children in Separate CHIP Programs (including children in the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs). Include all delivery system types, e.g., MCO, PCCM, FFS.

Data for this table are based on the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, Diagnostic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)

1. Annual Dental Participation Table for Children Enrolled in Separate CHIP programs and the Separate CHIP part of Combination programs (for Separate CHIP programs, please include ONLY children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).

State: IL				Age Group			
<b>FFY</b> : 2014	Total	<1	1-2*	3-5	6-9	10-14	15-18
Total individuals enrolled for at least 90 continuous days <sup>1</sup>	9685	37	454	1328	2266	2922	2678
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services <sup>2</sup> [7]	5849	0	157	926	1642	1882	1242
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services <sup>3</sup>	5518	0	134	879	1582	1779	1144
Total Enrollees Receiving Dental Treatment Services <sup>4</sup>	2336	0	11	263	666	796	600

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> **Total Individuals Enrolled for at Least 90 Continuous Days** – Enter the total unduplicated number of children who have been continuously enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days in the Federal fiscal year, distributed by age. For example, if a child was enrolled January 1<sup>st</sup> to March 31<sup>st</sup>, this child is considered continuously enrolled for at least 90 continuous days in the Federal fiscal year. If a child was enrolled from August 1<sup>st</sup> to September 30<sup>th</sup> and from October 1<sup>st</sup> to November 30<sup>th</sup>, the child would <u>not</u> be considered to have been enrolled for 90 continuous days in the federal fiscal year. Children should be counted in age groupings based on their age at the end of the fiscal year. For example, if a child turned 3 on September 15<sup>th</sup>, the child should be counted in the 3-6 age grouping.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (CDT codes D0100 - D9999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 - (CDT codes D1000 - D1999).

<sup>4</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (CDT codes D2000 - D9999).

Report all dental services data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the federal fiscal year even if the child received services while in two age categories. For example, if a child turned 10 on September 1<sup>st</sup>, but had a cleaning in April and a cavity filled in September, both the cleaning and the filling would be counted in the 10-14 age category.

b. For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth<sup>5</sup>? [7]

<sup>5</sup>Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth -- Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in a separate CHIP program or the separate CHIP part of a combination program for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (CDT code D1351).

Report all sealant data in the age category reflecting the child's age at the end of the Federal fiscal year even if the child was factually a different age on the date of service. For example, if a child turned 6 on September 1<sup>st</sup>, but had a sealant applied in July, the sealant would be counted in the age 6-9 category.

2.	Does the state provide supplemental dental coverage?   Yes	⊠ No
	If yes, how many children are enrolled? [7]	
	What percent of the total number of enrolled children have supplem [5]	ental dental coverage?

### H. CHIPRA CAHPS REQUIREMENT

CHIPRA section 402(a)(2), which amends reporting requirements in section 2108 of the Social Security Act, requires Title XXI Programs (i.e., CHIP Medicaid expansion programs, separate child health programs, or a combination of the two) to report CAHPS results to CMS starting December 2013. While Title XXI Programs may select any CAHPS survey to fulfill this requirement, CMS encourages these programs to use the CAHPS Health Plan Survey 5.0H Child Questionnaire with or without the Supplemental Items for Children with Chronic Conditions (CCC) to align with the CAHPS measure in the Children's Core Set of Health Care Quality Measures for Medicaid and CHIP. Starting in 2013, Title XXI Programs should submit summary level information from the CAHPS survey to CMS via the CARTS attachment facility. We also encourage states to submit raw data to the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's CAHPS Database. More information is available in the Technical Assistance fact sheet, Collecting and Reporting the CAHPS Survey as Required Under the CHIPRA: <a href="http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf">http://www.medicaid.gov/Medicaid-CHIP-Program-Information/By-Topics/Quality-of-Care/Downloads/CAHPSFactSheet.pdf</a>.

If a state would like to provide CAHPS data on both Medicaid and CHIP enrollees, the agency must sample Title XIX (Medicaid) and Title XXI (CHIP) programs separately and submit separate results to CMS to fulfill the CHIPRA Requirement.

Did you Collect this Survey in Order to Meet the CHIPRA CAHPS Requirement? ☐Yes ☒No CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2014

If Yes, How Did you Report this Survey (select all that apply):  Submitted raw data to AHRQ (CAHPS Database)  Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS data to CMS)  Other. Explain:
If No, Explain Why: Select all that apply (Must select at least one):
☐ Service not covered
☐ Population not covered
<ul><li>☐ Entire population not covered</li><li>☐ Partial population not covered</li><li>Explain the partial population not covered:</li></ul>
□ Data not available
Explain why data not available  Budget constraints  Staff constraints  Data inconsistencies/accuracy Please explain:  Data source not easily accessible  Select all that apply:  Requires medical record review  Requires data linkage which does not currently exist  Other:  Information not collected.  Select all that apply:  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)  Other:  Other:  Other:  Other:  Select all that apply:  Not collected by provider (hospital/health plan)  Other:  Source of the data collected during Jan./Feb.  2014. Determined that redeploying again during CY2014 was financially burdensome. Healthcare delivery system changes during FFY14 summer and fall mean many children moved into managed care entities from fee-for-service arrangements. With large numbers of children switching models, conducting a survey in 2014 was not deemed a good use of financial resources as children may have only recently enrolled in the plan.
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Enter specific sample size:  Other. Explain:
Guier. Explain.
Definition of Population Included in the Survey Sample:
Definition of Population Included in the Survey Sample:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP (Title XXI) population only.
<ul> <li>Survey sample includes CHIP Medicaid Expansion population.</li> <li>Survey sample includes Separate CHIP population.</li> <li>Survey sample includes Combination CHIP population.</li> </ul>
If the denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the denominator, and

indicate the number of children excluded:

which version of the CAHPS® Survey was used?
☐ CAHPS® 5.0 ☐ CAHPS® 5.0H ☐ Other.
Explain:
Which Supplemental Item Sets were Included in the Survey?
<ul><li>☐ No supplemental item sets were included</li><li>☐ CAHPS Item Set for Children with Chronic Conditions</li><li>☐ Other CAHPS Item Set. Explain:</li></ul>
Which Administrative Protocol was Used to Administer the Survey?
<ul> <li>NCQA HEDIS CAHPS 5.0H administrative protocol</li> <li>AHRQ CAHPS administrative protocol</li> <li>Other administrative protocol. Explain:</li> </ul>

# **SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN**

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2014. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

## **COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2014	2015	2016
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	22789809	112761500	214934870
Fee for Service	401842959	316546304	214934870
Total Benefit Costs	424632768	429307804	429869740
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	-14750322	-17172312	-17194790
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 409882446	\$ 412135492	\$ 412674950

## **Administration Costs**

Personnel	15663632	15275378	15350453
General Administration	18490660	18032334	18120958
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	3369050	3285542	3301689
Health Services Initiatives	6288444	6132573	6162713
Total Administration Costs	43811786	42725827	42935813
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	45542494	45792832	45852772

Federal Title XXI Share	294901251	298070622	298561733
State Share	158792981	156790697	157049030

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	453694232	454861319	455610763

2.	What were the source	ces of non-feder	al funding used fo	or state match	during the reporting	g period?

$\boxtimes$	State appropriations
$\boxtimes$	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
$\boxtimes$	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough federal CHIP funds for your program? [1500]

## N/A

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month (PMPM) cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	2014		2015		2016	
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM
Managed Care	12979	\$ 160	61901	\$ 147	115500	\$ 147
Fee for Service	227103	\$ 142	172459	\$ 152	115500	\$ 152

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

# SECTION V: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1. For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP. [7500]

Support for health care for low income, uninsured children and families remained fairly constant in federal fiscal year 2014.

2. During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? [7500]

Illinois launched a new eligiblity system on 10/1/13, and began taking applications for the ACA Adult population. Struggling with the new eligibility system, adjusting to MAGI budgeting methodology and working through the increased volume of applications have been our biggest challenges.

3. During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500] In December 2013, Illinois received a fifth CHIPRA performance bonus for making significant progress in enrolling children in health coverage. Illinois successfully launched a new online application in response to the Affordable Care Act requirements for using a single streamlined application for health coverage while maintaining the state's commitment to integrating eligibility processing and rules for health coverage, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program and cash assistance as much as possible under federal regulations. Although a substantial backlog of

processing developed through March 2014, the state was able to work down average processing

4. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]
No changes are planned.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

times to allowable levels by the end of September.