## FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

#### **Preamble**

Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e) of the Act provides that the State and Territories \*must assess the operation of the State child health plan in each Federal fiscal year, and report to the Secretary, by January 1 following the end of the Federal fiscal year, on the results of the assessment. In addition, this section of the Act provides that the State must assess the progress made in reducing the number of uncovered, low-income children. The State is out of compliance with CHIP statute and regulations if the report is not submitted by January 1. The State is also out of compliance if any section of this report relevant to the State's program is incomplete.

To assist States in complying with the statute, the National Academy for State Health Policy (NASHP), with funding from the David and Lucile Packard Foundation, has coordinated an effort with States and CMS over the years to design and revise this Annual Report Template. Over time, the framework has been updated to reflect program maturation and corrected where difficulties with reporting have been identified.

The framework is designed to:

- Recognize the *diversity* of State approaches to CHIP and allow States *flexibility* to highlight key accomplishments and progress of their CHIP programs, AND
- Provide consistency across States in the structure, content, and format of the report, AND
- Build on data already collected by CMS quarterly enrollment and expenditure reports, AND
- Enhance accessibility of information to stakeholders on the achievements under Title XXI.

The CHIP Annual Report Template System (CARTs) is organized as follows:

- Section I: Snapshot of CHIP Programs and Changes
- Section II: Program's Performance Measurement and Progress
- Section III: Assessment of State Plan and Program Operation
- Section IV: Program Financing for State Plan
- Section V: 1115 Demonstration Waivers (Financed by CHIP)
- Section VI: Program Challenges and Accomplishments
- \* When "State" is referenced throughout this template, "State" is defined as either a state or a territory.

## FRAMEWORK FOR THE ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CHILDREN'S HEALTH INSURANCE PLANS UNDER TITLE XXI OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

## DO NOT CERTIFY YOUR REPORT UNTIL ALL SECTIONS ARE COMPLETE.

State/Territ	State/Territory: IL										
				(Name of	State/Territory)						
The following Annual Report is submitted in compliance with Title XXI of the Social Security Act (Section 2108(a) and Section 2108(e)).											
Signature:											
Theresa Eagleson											
CHIP Prog	ram Name	e(s):	All, KidCare & Fa	milyCare							
CHIP Program Type:  CHIP Medicaid Expansion Only Separate Child Health Program Only Combination of the above											
Reporting I	Period:	2011		Note: Fed 9/30/2011.	leral Fiscal Year 2011 starts	10/1/2010 and ends					
Contact Pe	erson/Title	: Lyn	ne Thomas/Chief	, Bureau	of All Kids						
Address:	Illinois	Dent of H	ealthcare and Fan	nily Servi	ras						
Addicss.	11111013	Dopt. of Th		illy Oct VI	303						
	201 Sou	th Grand	Avenue East								
City:	Springf	ield	State:	IL	Zip:	62763					
Phone:	(217) 52	<u>!</u> 4-7156		_ Fax:	(217) 557-4274						
Email:	lynne.th	nomas@illi	inois.gov								
Submission	n Date:	3/1/2012									

(Due to your CMS Regional Contact and Central Office Project Officer by January 1<sup>st</sup> of each year)

## **SECTION I: SNAPSHOT OF CHIP PROGRAM AND CHANGES**

1) To provide a summary at-a-glance of your CHIP program characteristics, please provide the following information. You are encouraged to complete this table for the different CHIP programs within your state, e.g., if you have two types of separate child health programs within your state with different eligibility rules. If you would like to make any comments on your responses, please explain in narrative below this table. Please note that the numbers in brackets, e.g., [500] are character limits in the Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP) Annual Report Template System (CARTS). You will not be able to enter responses with characters greater than the limit indicated in the brackets.

	CI	HIP Me	edicaid Expansi	ion Program		Separate Child Health Program					
			* Up	per % of FPL	are defi	ned as	Up to and	Including			
			Gross o	r Net Income	: ALL A	ge Gro	ups as ind	dicated bel	ow		
	Is income calculated as gross or net income?			Income Net of	calcu	ncome ılated as				Gross In	come
						s or net come?				Income Net of Disregards	
						From	0	% of FF conception		200	% of FPL*
	From		% of FPL for infants		% of FPL *	From	0	% of FPL infant		200	% of FPL *
	From children ages 1		% of FPL for children ages 1 through 5		% of FPL *	From	134	% of FPL children ag through	ges 1	200	% of FPL *
Eligibility	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16	133	% of FPL *	From	134	% of FPL for children ages 6 through 16		200	% of FPL *
	From	101	% of FPL for children ages 17 and 18	133	% of FPL *	From	134	% of FPL children ag and 1	es <b>17</b>	200	% of FPL*
						From	134	% of FPL pregnant w ages 19 above	omen <b>and</b>	200	% of FPL*

		No		No
Is presumptive eligibility provided for children?		Yes, for whom and how long? [1000] All applicants under age 19 with declared income up to 200% FPL who do not appear to be undocumented non-citizens and who have not had PE in the last 12 months. PE begins with the date of application and continues until regulsr medical coverage begins or for five days after the application is denied.		Yes - Please describe below:  For which populations (include the FPL levels) [1000]  All applicants under age 19 with declared income up to 200% FPL who do not appear to be undocumented non-citizens and who have not had PE in the last 12 months. PE begins with the date of application and continues until regulsr medical coverage begins or for five days after the application is denied.  Average number of presumptive eligibility periods granted per individual and average duration of the presumptive eligibility period [1000]  Only one PE period per child is allowed within a 12 month period.  Brief description of your presumptive eligibility policies [1000]  PE is authorized at the tiem the application is registered by state staff
	П	N/A		N/A
		No		No
		140		Yes, for whom and how long?
Is retroactive eligibility available?		Yes, for whom and how long? [1000] For up to 3 months prior to the date of application. Available to all who request and are income eligible for the backdate period.		[1000] Prior coverage is available for up to 2 weeks prior to the date of application. It is only available the first time the child enters the separate CHIP program.
		N/A		N/A
Does your State Plan				No
contain authority to implement a waiting list?		Not applicable		Yes
1 3				N/A
		Mail-in application	$\boxtimes$	Mail-in application
		Phoned-in application		Phoned-in application
Please check all the		Program has a web-based application		Program has a web-based
methods of application utilized by your state.		that can be printed, completed, and mailed in	$\boxtimes$	application that can be printed, completed, and mailed in
	$\boxtimes$	Applicant can apply for your program on-line	$\boxtimes$	Applicant can apply for your program on-line

		$\boxtimes$	Signature page must and mailed in	be printed			Signature page mand mailed in	ust be printed	
		$\boxtimes$	Family documentation mailed (i.e., income documentation)	n must be		$\boxtimes$	Family document mailed (i.e., incon documentation)		
			Electronic signature	is required			Electronic signatu	re is required	
							No Signature is re	equired	
Does your program	$\boxtimes$	No			$\boxtimes$		No		
require a face-to-face interview during initial		Yes					Yes		
application	□ N/A						N/A		
	$\boxtimes$		No		$\boxtimes$		No		
	Yes						Yes		
Does your program	Specify number of months				Specify	numb	er of months		
require a child to be uninsured for a minimum amount of time prior to enrollment (waiting					To which groups (including FPL levels) does the period of uninsurance apply? [1000]				
period)?					List all exemptions to imposing the period of uninsurance [1000]				
			N/A				N/A		
Does your program		No					No		
match prospective enrollees to a database		Yes					Yes		
that details private insurance status?					If yes, v	vhat d	atabase? <b>[1000]</b>		
		N/A					N/A		

		No				No			
		Yes			$\square$	Yes			
		Specify number				pecify numbe		12	
Does your program provide period of continuous coverage		umstances wh ring the time p			eligibility du [1000]	cumstances wharing the time p	period in the b	ox below	
regardless of income						moves out on the moves out of an inmate of			
changes?		moves out of an inmate of a			Children in	n families with coverage if th	n income ab	ove 150%	
	I	N/A				N/A			
		No				No			
		Yes			$\boxtimes$	Yes			
		ment fee				ment fee			
		nount m amount				nount m amount	15		
	1 TCTTTIC	TH AITIOUTIC			1 Territo	in amount	10		
	If premiums	s are tiered by	FPL, please	breakout by	If premium FPL	s are tiered by	FPL, please breakout by		
	Premium Amount				Premium Amount				
	Range	Range	From	То	Range	Range	From	То	
	from	to	FIOIII	10	from	to	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$15	\$ 15	151	200	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FP L	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
Does your program require premiums or an	FPL	s are tiered by	FPL, please	breakout by	If premiums are tiered by FPL, please breakout by FPL				
enrollment fee?	Premium	Maximum Amount per Imily	\$		Premium	Maximum Amount per amily	\$		
	Range from	Range	From	То	Range from	Range to	From	То	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$15	\$40	% of FPL 151	% of FPL 200	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	\$	\$	% of FPL	% of FPL	
	If yes, br	iefly explain f below	ee structure / [500]	e in the box	If yes, briefly explain fee structure in the box below (including premium/enrollment fee amounts and include Federal poverty levels where appropriate) [500]				
					The premium is \$15 to \$40 per month depending on the number of family members covered. There is a \$100 annual cap per family on copayments.				
		N/A				N/A			

Doos vour program		No		No
Does your program impose copayments or		Yes	$\boxtimes$	Yes
coinsurance?		N/A		N/A
	•		•	
		No		No
Does your program	$\vdash$	Yes		Yes
impose deductibles?		N/A		N/A
		No		No
		Yes		Yes
	If Yes	s, please describe below [500]	If Yes	, please describe below [500]
Does your program		N/A		N/A
require an assets test?		s, do you permit the administrative cation of assets?		, do you permit the administrative cation of assets?
		No		No
		Yes		Yes
	H	N/A		N/A
		IVA		IN/A
Does your program	П	No	П	No
require income		Yes		Yes
disregards? (Note: if you checked off		s, please describe below [1000]		s, please describe below [1000]
net income in the		first \$90 of earnings per employed adult		rst \$90 of earnings per employed adult
eligibility question, you must complete this	and the first \$50 of child support received.			ne first \$50 of child support received.
question)		N/A		N/A
	I —		·	I
		Managed Care		Managed Care
		Primary Care Case Management	$\boxtimes$	Primary Care Case Management
	$\boxtimes$	Fee for Service	$\boxtimes$	Fee for Service
		se describe which groups receive which		e describe which groups receive which
Mhigh delivery eveters (a)		ery system <b>[500]</b> children are required to enroll in either		ery system <b>[500]</b> children are required to enroll in either
Which delivery system(s) does your program use?		M or Managed Care. PCCM is available		or Managed Care. PCCM is available
acco your program acc.		wide. Managed care is only offered in a		wide. Managed care is only offered in a
		counties. Children who are American ns or Alaska Natives, receive SSI, are		ounties. Children who are American ns or Alaska Natives, receive SSI, are
		or disabled, in foster care, subsidixed		or disabled, in foster care, subsidixed
		dianship or receiving adoption assistance		lianship or receiving adoption assistance
	are e	excluded from both PCCM and Managed	are ex Care.	xcluded from both PCCM and Managed
	Jaile		<sub>I</sub> Juit.	
Is a preprinted renewal		No		No
form sent prior to eligibility	$\square$		$\square$	
expiring?	$\boxtimes$	Yes		Yes

We send out form but do not require a response unless income or other circumstances have changed    N/A		We send out form to family with their information pre-completed and ask for confirmation						We send out form to family with their information precompleted and ask for confirmation					
Comments on Responses in Table:  2. Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?				a response	unless incom	e or other		require a response unless income or other circumstance					S
2. Is there an assets test for children in your Medicaid program?			□ N	/A				N/A					
3. Is it different from the assets test in your separate child health program?	Commen	its on Responses in	Table:										
4. Are there income disregards for your Medicaid program?    Yes   No   N/A	2.	Is there an assets	test for o	children in you	ur Medicaid pro	ogram?			Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		N/A
5. Are they different from the income disregards in your separate child health program?  6. Is a joint application (i.e., the same, single application) used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?  7. If you have a joint application, is the application sufficient to determine eligibility for both Medicaid and CHIP?  8. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application for  Self-Declaration Self-Declaration with internal verification  Income Citizenship Documentation Required  Citizenship Dinsured Status Residency Documentation Required  Self-Declaration Documentation Required	3.	Is it different from	the asse	ts test in your	separate child	d health progr	am?		Yes		No		N/A
health program?  Yes No N/A  6. Is a joint application (i.e., the same, single application) used for your Medicaid and separate child health program?  Yes No N/A  7. If you have a joint application, is the application sufficient to determine eligibility for both Medicaid and CHIP?  8. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application for  Self-Declaration Self-Declaration with internal verification  Income	4.	Are there income	disregard	ds for your Me	edicaid prograi	m?			Yes		No		N/A
Medicaid and separate child health program?  7. If you have a joint application, is the application sufficient to determine eligibility for both Medicaid and CHIP?  8. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application for  Self-Declaration Income Citizenship Insured Status Residency Use of Income  Use No N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/	5.		from the	income disre	gards in your s	separate child			Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		N/A
8. Indicate what documentation is required at initial application for  Self-Declaration Income Citizenship Insured Status Residency Use of Income  Income City of Income Ci	6.					used for you	r		Yes		No		N/A
Self-Declaration Self-Declaration with Documentation Required internal verification  Income	7.				olication sufficion	ent to determi	ine		Yes		No		N/A
internal verification  Income	8.	Indicate what docu	umentatio	on is required	at initial applic	cation for							
Use of Income		Citizenship	Self-D	Declaration				Do	cumen	tation F	Requii ⊠ ⊠ □	red	
		Use of Income									$\square$		

9. Have you made changes to any of the following policy or program areas during the reporting period? Please indicate "yes" or "no change" by marking appropriate column.

		Medicaid Expansion CHIP Program				С	Separate hild Healt Program	
		Yes	No Change	N/A		Yes	No Change	N/A
a)	Applicant and enrollee protections (e.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing Process to State Law)						$\boxtimes$	
b)	Application		$\boxtimes$					
c)	Application documentation requirements		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
d)	Benefits		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
e)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
f)	Crowd out policies		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
g)	Delivery system						$\boxtimes$	
h)	Eligibility determination process		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
i)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
j)	Eligibility levels / target population		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
k)	Assets Test		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
l)	Income disregards		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
m)	Eligibility redetermination process		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
n)	Enrollment process for health plan selection		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
o)	Family coverage		$\boxtimes$					
p)	Outreach (e.g., decrease funds, target outreach)		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
q)	Premium assistance		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
r)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections 457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and 457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002 Final Rule)		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
s)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
t)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
u)	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion		$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$	
v)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)							
	Parents			$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$
	Pregnant women			$\boxtimes$				$\boxtimes$

	Childless adults							$\boxtimes$
	Methods and procedures for prevention, investigation of fraud and abuse	n, and referral of cases		$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$	
()	Other – please specify					<u> </u>		
	a.			П				
		<u> </u>						+=
	b							
	C							
a) (e	For each topic you responded yes to above, please of Applicant and enrollee protections a.g., changed from the Medicaid Fair Hearing rocess to State Law)	explain the change and	why the	change	was mad	e, below	:	
b)	Application							
c)	Application documentation requirements							
d)	Benefits							
e)	Cost sharing (including amounts, populations, & collection process)							
f)	Crowd out policies							
g)	Delivery system							
h)	Eligibility determination process							
i)	Implementing an enrollment freeze and/or cap							
j)	Eligibility levels / target population							
	Assets test in Medicaid and/or CHIP							
L۱	Accase special Middle and Jor ("HID							

I)	Income disregards in Medicaid and/or CHIP	
m)	Eligibility redetermination process	
111)	Eligibility redetermination process	
	- "	
n)	Enrollment process for health plan selection	
o)	Family coverage	
p)	Outreach	
		T
q)	Premium assistance	
-1/		
r)	Prenatal care eligibility expansion (Sections	
')	457.10, 457.350(b)(2), 457.622(c)(5), and	
	457.626(a)(3) as described in the October 2, 2002	
	Final Rule)	
	rillai Kule)	
,	E	
S)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" children	
t)	Expansion to "Lawfully Residing" pregnant women	
		<u> </u>
u)	Pregnant Women State Plan Expansion	
v)	Waiver populations (funded under title XXI)	
	,	
	Parents	
	T di onto	
	Pregnant women	
	1 Togridit Women	
_	Childless adults	
	Officies addits	
,\	Mothodo and procedures for presenting	
w)	Methods and procedures for prevention,	
	investigation, and referral of cases of fraud and	
	abuse	
٧١	Other – please specify	
x)	Outer - please specify	
	a.	
	<del></del>	
	b.	
	<u></u>	
	C.	

## SECTION II: PROGRAM'S PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT AND PROGRESS

This section consists of three subsections that gather information on the initial core set of children's health care quality measures for the CHIP and/or Medicaid program as well as your State's progress toward meeting its general program strategic objectives and performance goals. Section IIA captures data on the initial core set of measures to the extent data are available. Section IIB captures your enrollment progress as well as changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children in your State. Section IIC captures progress towards meeting your State's general strategic objectives and performance goals.

# SECTION IIA: REPORTING OF THE INITIAL CORE SET OF CHILDREN'S HEALTH CORE QUALITY MEASURES

Section 401(a) of the Children's Health Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2009 (CHIPRA) (Pub.L. 111-3) required the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services to identify an initial core set of child health care quality measures for voluntary use by State programs administered under titles XIX and XXI, health insurance issuers and managed care entities that enter into contract with such programs, and providers of items and services under such programs. Additionally, Section 401(a)(4) required the development of a standardized reporting format for states that volunteer to report on the initial core set of measures. This section of CARTS will be used for standardized reporting on the initial core set of measures.

States that volunteer are required to report using the standardized methodologies and specifications and report on the populations to which the measures are applied. Below are the measure stewards and general description of the measures. Please reference the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures for detailed information for standardized measure reporting.

The Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures can be found:

http://www.cms.gov/MedicaidCHIPQualPrac/Downloads/CHIPRACoreSetTechManual.pdf

The reporting of the Initial Care Set of Measures 1-23 is voluntary. Title XXI programs are required to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
1	Prenatal and Postpartum Care: Timeliness of Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	The percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
2	Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year that received the following number of visits: < 21 percent of expected visits 21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits 41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits 61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits ≥ 81 percent of expected visits	Measure is voluntary.
3	Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams	CDC	Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500 grams in the State reporting period	Measure is voluntary.
4	Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex	California Maternal Care Collaborative	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section) among women with first live singleton births (also known as nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks of gestation or later	Measure is voluntary.
5	Childhood Immunization Status	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second birthday.	Measure is voluntary.
6	Immunizations for Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had specific vaccines by their thirteenth birthday.	Measure is voluntary.
7	Weight Assessment and Counseling for Nutrition and Physical Activity for Children/Adolescents: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children, 3 through 17 years of age, whose weight is classified based on BMI percentile for age and gender.	Measure is voluntary.
8	Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life	Child and Adolescent Health Measurement Initiative and NCQA	Percentage of children screened for risk development, behavioral, and social delays using a standardized, screening tool in the first, second, and third year of life	Measure is voluntary.
9	Chlamydia Screening	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of women 16-20 who were identified as sexually active who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
10	Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who had zero, one, two, three, four, five, and six or more well child visits with a primary care practitioner during their first 15 months of life	Measure is voluntary.
11	Well Child Visits in the 3 <sup>rd</sup> , 4 <sup>th</sup> , 5 <sup>th</sup> , and 6 <sup>th</sup> Years of Life	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
12	Adolescent Well-Care Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
13				Measure is voluntary.
14	Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care Practitioners	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children and adolescents 12 months – 19 years of age who had a visit with a primary care practitioner (PCP). Four separate percentages are reported:  1. Children 12- 24 months and 25 months – 6 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year  2. Children 7 – 11 years and adolescents 12 –19 years who had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year prior to the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
15	Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode	Measure is voluntary.
16	Otitis Media with Effusion – avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials in children – ages 2-12	American Medical Association/ Physician Consortium for Performance Improvement	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a diagnosis of otitis media with effusion (OME) who were not prescribed systemic antimicrobials	Measure is voluntary.
17	Percentage of Eligibles who Received Dental Treatment Services	CMS	Percentage of eligible children Ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
18	Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits	NCQA/HEDIS	The number of emergency department visits per child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	Measure is voluntary.
19	Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infections – NICU and PICU	CDC	Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance	Measure is voluntary.
20	Annual percentage of asthma patients (2-20 yo) with 1 or more asthma-related emergency room visits	Alabama Medicaid	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-related ER visits	Measure is voluntary.
21	Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of children newly prescribed ADHD medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days from the time when the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported: one for the initiation phase and one for the continuation and maintenance phase	Measure is voluntary.
22	Annual Pediatric hemoglobin A1C testing	NCQA	Percentage of children with diabetes and an HbA1c test during the measurement year.	Measure is voluntary.
23	Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness	NCQA/HEDIS	Percentage of discharges for children 6 years of age and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental health disorders and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner	Measure is voluntary.

	Measure	Measure Steward	Description	Reporting
24	Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)	NCQA/HEDIS	Survey on parents' experience with their child's care	Reporting Required in 2013  Title XXI programs are required to report results from the CAHPS Child Medicaid Survey and the Supplemental Items for the Child Questionnaires on dental care, access to specialist care, and coordination of care from other health providers, by December 31, 2013.  If States are already working with the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) to report CAHPS, they can continue doing so. We ask that States indicate in CARTS that they have submitted CAHPS data to AHRQ and using the CARTS attachment facility, provide a copy of the CAHPS results to CMS (do not submit raw data on CAHPS to CMS).

This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of the core child health measures. Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years (to the extent that data are available). In the first and second columns, data from the previous to years' annual reports (FFY 2009 and FFY 2010) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS; enter data in these columns only if changes must be made. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2011). Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

#### If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:

Beginning in 2011, the CARTS application will require States to provide information on why they chose not to report a measure(s) in Section IIA. The CARTS user will be prompted to provide this information for each measure during data entry. If the CARTS User skips these questions during the data entry process, he/she will be prompted to respond to them before being able to certify the Annual Report.

If you cannot provide a specific measure, please check the box that applies to your State for each measure as follows:

1. <u>Population not covered</u>: Check this box if your program does not cover the population included in the measure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P.L. 111-3, §402(a)(2)(e)

- 2. <u>Data not available</u>: Check this box if data are not available for a particular measure in your State. Please provide an explanation of why the data are currently not available.
- 3. <u>Small sample size</u>: Check this box if the denominator size for a particular measure is less than 30. If the denominator size is less than 30, your State is not required to report a rate on the measure. However, please indicate the exact denominator size in the space provided.
- 4. Other: Please specify if there is another reason why your state cannot report the measure.

Although the Initial Core Set of Measures is voluntarily reported, if the State is not reporting data on a specific measure, it is important to complete the reason why the State is not reporting the measure. It is important for CMS to understand why each State and why all States as a group may not be reporting on specific measures. Your selection of a reason for not reporting and/or provision of an "other" reason for not reporting will assist CMS in that understanding.

#### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting, as follows:

 Provisional: Check this box if you are reporting data for a measure, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for the current CARTS reporting period.

<u>Explanation of Provisional Data</u> – When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.

- 2. <u>Final</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for the current CARTS reporting period.
- 3. <u>Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report</u>: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

#### **Measurement Specification:**

For each measure, please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications, the specifications developed by other measure stewards listed in the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual (e.g. CMS, CDC, AMA/PCPI), or "other" measurement specifications. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed. States should use the technical specifications outlined in the Technical Specifications and Resource Manual for the Initial Core Set of Children's Health Care Quality Measures.

#### **HEDIS® Version:**

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be completed only when a user selects the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected,

#### **Data Source:**

For each measure, please indicate the source of data or methodology used to calculate the measure – administrative data (such as claims and encounters) (specify the kind of administrative data used); hybrid methods (combining administrative data and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the rate); survey data (specify the survey used); or other source (specify the other source).

#### **Definition of Population included in the Measure:**

**Numerator**: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure.

**Denominator**: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure by checking one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, the Medicaid population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator, including those who are excluded from the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the States and over time.

#### **Deviation from Measure Technical Specification**

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure technical specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected.

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

Year of Data (e.g., partial year),

Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems),

Numerator (e.g., coding issues),

Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment).

Other (please describe in detail).

When one or more of the types are selected, States are required to provide an explanation.

#### Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year that corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do not report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

#### **Initial Core Set Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):**

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: 2011 CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator (If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan or delivery system, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a single state-level "weighted rate" based on the distribution of the eligible population included in each separate rate.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

CHIP Annual Report Template - FFY 2011

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to demonstrate how you are using the measures. Please highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increase over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years.

In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations or plans to report on a measure in the future.

NOTE: Please do not reference attachments in this table. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

CHIPRA Quality Demonstration States have the option of reporting State developed quality measures through CARTS. Instructions may be found on page 25 in the web-based template and <u>after</u> core measure 24 on the Word template.

EQRO Requirement: States with CHIP managed care that have existing external quality review organization (EQRO) reports are required to submit EQRO reports as an attachment.

Is the State subr	nitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS?
☐ Yes	□ No

#### If yes, please provide a further description of the attachment. [7500]

In accordance with the Balanced Budget Act of 1997, 42 CFR 438, the state requires the External Quality Review Organization (EQRO) to produce a detailed External Quality Review (EQR) Technical Report, annually, that describes the manner in which the data from all activities conducted in accordance with 438.358 were aggregated and analyzed, and conclusions were drawn as to the quality, timeliness, and access to the care furnished by the MCO. For each activity the EQR Technical Report must include: objectives; technical methods of data collection and analysis; description of data obtained; and conclusions drawn from the data.

The EQR Technical Report includes the following information:

- (1) An analysis of each MCO's strengths and weaknesses with respect to the quality, timeliness, and access to health care services furnished to program participants.
- (2) An analysis of MCO compliance with state and Federal regulations, contract compliance and compliance with its quality assurance program (QAP).
- (3) Methodologically appropriate, comparative information about all the MCOs.
- (4) Findings relative to MCO specific and aggregate results of performance measures and performance improvement projects (PIPs).
- (5) Recommendations for improving the quality of health care services furnished by each MCO.
- (6) An assessment of the degree to which each MCO has addressed effectively the recommendations for quality improvement made by the EQRO during the previous year's external quality review.
- (7) The EQRO's recommendations regarding the State's Quality Strategy.

If the State is not submitting an EQRO report as an attachment to the 2011 CARTS, please explain. [7500]

## Category I - PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION Prenatal/Perinatal

#### **MEASURE 1: Timeliness of prenatal care**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes
☐ Yes ☑ No	$\prod_{i=1}^{n} N_0$	∑ Yes □ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	⊠ Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Est turning 1 revisional Estati	The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	⊠ Final.	to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2009	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:2010
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	XIX, Title XXI) with prenatal visit in the first trimester or	XIX, Title XXI) with prenatal visit in the first trimester or
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	within 42 days of enrollment, depending on the date of	within 42 days of enrollment, depending on the date of
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	enrollment and any gaps in enrollment during pregnancy.	enrollment and any gaps in enrollment during pregnancy.
Definition of numerator:	chromitent and any gaps in emoninent during pregnancy.	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:		
		Denominator includes CHIP population only.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to identify live births.
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6	Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6	Percentage of deliveries of live births between November 6 of
of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of	of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5	the year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the	of the measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in	measurement year that received a prenatal care visit in the first
first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment	trimester or within 42 days of enrollment
Numerator:	Numerator: 45567	Numerator: 45505
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 54.1	Rate: 55.5
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	Numerator,. Explain.	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS'	The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS'
	measure based on HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial	measure based on HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial
	Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released	Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released
	February 2011.	February 2011.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2009 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2007 was not allowed as an entry.	Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2007 was not allowed as an entry.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change increase of +2.59 in the percent of deliveries where a prenatal care visit was received within the first trimester or within 42 days of enrollment.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 59.96% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 63.96% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 67.57% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set:

Timeliness of Prenatal Care (HFS Continuously Enrolled)

FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th Percentile		Difference
2011	2010	55.51	100	44.49	10%	4.45	59.96
2012	2011	59.96	100	40.04	10%	4.00	63.96
2013	2012	63.96	100	36.04	10%	3.60	67.57
2014	2013	67.57	100	32.43	10%	3.24	70.81
2015	2014	70.81					

Other Comments on Measure:

% Improve-ment Annual Improve-ment

Projection for Following Year

## **MEASURE 2: Frequency of Ongoing Prenatal Care**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30)  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☑ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2009 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Women with unduplicated count of <21%, 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80%, or >81% of the expected visits, adjusted by month of pregnancy at enrollment and gestational age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Women with unduplicated count of <21%, 21-40%, 41-60%, 61-80%, or >81% of the expected visits, adjusted by month of pregnancy at enrollment and gestational age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
1112007	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title	number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled
	XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November 6 of the	(Title XIX, Title XXI) with a live birth between November
	year prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	6 of the year prior to the measurement year and November 5
	measurement year using methods identified in HEDIS® to	of the measurement year using methods identified in
	identify live births.	HEDIS® to identify live births.
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 11/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 11/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year prior	Percentage of deliveries between November 6 of the year
prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the	to the measurement year and November 5 of the measurement	prior to the measurement year and November 5 of the
measurement year that received the following number of	year that received the following number of visits:	measurement year that received the following number of
visits:	< 21 percent of expected visits	visits:
< 21 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	$\geq 81$ percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
≥ 81 percent of expected visits		≥ 81 percent of expected visits
< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits	< 21 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 9592	Numerator: 9136
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 11.4	Rate: 11.1
21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits	21 percent – 40 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 5598	Numerator: 5349
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 6.6	Rate: 6.5
41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits	41 percent – 60 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 9439	Numerator: 8783
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 11.2	Rate: 10.7
61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits	61 percent – 80 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 18479	Numerator: 17477
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 21.9	Rate: 21.3
≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits	≥ 81 percent of expected visits
Numerator:	Numerator: 41185	Numerator: 41228

	<del>-</del>	
FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Denominator:	Denominator: 84293	Denominator: 81973
Rate:	Rate: 48.9	Rate: 50.3
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
		-
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		-
	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. Explain.
	The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS'	The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS'
	measure based on HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial Core	measure based on HEDIS® 2007 and the CHIPRA Initial
	Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February	Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released
	2011.	February 2011.
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	$\square$ Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: HEDIS® version used for this	Additional notes on measure: HEDIS® version used for this
	measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2009 was entered in	measure is 2007. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered
	the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2007 was not	in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2007 was
	allowed as an entry.	not allowed as an entry.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	,	
How did your performance in 2011 compare with the	e Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Ann	ual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent

change increase of +2.86 in the percent of women who received >= 81 percent of expected visits.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

FFY 2009 FFY 2010 FFY 2011

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 55.26% (2011 data; projection based on those receiving >= 81% of expected visits)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 59.73% (2012 data; projection based on those receiving >= 81% of expected visits)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 63.76% (2013 data; projection based on those receiving >= 81% of expected visits)

Explain how these objectives were set: Frequency of Ongoing Prental Care (HFS Continuously Enrolled)

FFY for CARTS	ĎATA `	Year	Baseline	i 100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011 2010	50.29	100	49.71	10%	4.97	55.26			
2012 2011	55.26	100	44.74	10%	4.47	59.73			
2013 2012	59.73	100	40.27	10%	4.03	63.76			
2014 2013	63.76	100	36.24	10%	3.62	67.39			
2015 2014	67.39								
Projection based on those receiving >=81% of expected visits									

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE 3: Percentage of live births weighing less than 2,500 grams

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes
⊠ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30)	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Explanation of Provisional Butt.	Explanation of Provisional Data.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	⊠ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reporteu.	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠CDC
Other. Explain:	□ПЕДІЗ. <i>Specify ПЕДІЗ® version usea.</i>	Other. Explain:
	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
	U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National	
D 4 C	Center for Health Statistics	D + G
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:	☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
	State Vital Records birth data	State Vital Records birth data
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator: Number of resident live births
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	<2,500 grams with Medicaid and/or CHIP payer source
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	number of children excluded: HFS-covered births with	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	match between baby's Vital Record and baby's HFS	number of children excluded: HFS-covered births with

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	eligibility file. Link needed since birth file has weight, but no Medicaid/CHIP indicator. During 2008, 4.07% births were unmatched (3,417 of 83,926 Medicaid/CHIP births). Analysis shows no systematic exclusion by demographics.	match between baby's Vital Record and baby's HFS eligibility file. Link needed since birth file has weight, but no Medicaid/CHIP indicator. During 2009, 5.29% births were unmatched (4,296 of 81,178 Medicaid/CHIP births). Analysis shows no systematic exclusion by demographics.
Year of Data:	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2008	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of resident live births that weighed less than 2,500
grams in the State reporting period	grams in the State reporting period	grams in the State reporting period
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 7237 Denominator: 76882 Rate: 9.4
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Denominator excludes records (n=4,296) where there is no match between the Vital Records baby file and the baby's HFS eligiblity file.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: Denominator:	Numerator: 7469 Denominator: 80509	Numerator: Denominator:
Rate:	Rate: 9.3	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:		
	Additional notes on measure: Denominator excludes records (n=3,417) where there is no match between the Vital Records baby file and the baby's HFS eligiblity file.	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change increase of +1.08 in the percent of HFS covered low birth weight births. However, there is a percent change decrease of -3.11 in the total number of HFS covered low birth weight infants (7,469 and 7,237, respectively).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 9.15% (2010 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 8.9% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 8.65% (2012 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Percent of all Illinois live births weighing less than 2,500 grams

FFY for CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline Annual % Reduction	Projection for Following Year
2011 2009	9.40	0.25	9.15	
2012 2010	9.15	0.25	8.90	
2013 2011	8.90	0.25	8.65	
2014 2012	8.65	0.25	8.40	
2015 2013	8.40			

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE 4: Cesarean Rate for Nulliparous Singleton Vertex Low-risk First Birth Women

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
-	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.
	The programming has not yet been completed.	The programming has not yet been completed.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
•	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□CMQCC
Other. <i>Explain</i> :		Other. <i>Explain</i> :
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	-
	-	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)	Percentage of women who had a cesarean section (C-section)
among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as	among women with first live singleton births (also known as
nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks	nulliparous term singleton vertex [NTSV] births) at 37 weeks
of gestation or later	of gestation or later	of gestation or later
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, Explain.
	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009 FFY 2010 FFY 2011

**Explanation of Progress:** 

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Other Comments on Measure:

## **Immunizations**

#### **MEASURE 5: Childhood Immunization Status**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
-	-	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	□ Provisional.
☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	are based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.
	reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	2010	2010
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	(Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end	(Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by the end
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.	of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled	number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled
	children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by	children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 24 months of age by
	the end of the calendar year.	the end of the calendar year.
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the	Percentage of children who turned 2 years old during the
measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second	measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second	measurement year who had specific vaccines by their second
birthday	birthday	birthday

	FFY 2009		FFY 2010		FFY 2011		
DTap		DTap		DTap			
Numerator:	Combo 2	Numerator:	Combo 2	Numerator:	Combo 2		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator: 62640	Denominator:	Numerator: 59408		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator: 93166	Rate:	Denominator: 94315		
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate: 63		
IPV		IPV		IPV			
Numerator:	Combo 3	Numerator:	Combo 3	Numerator:	Combo 3		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator: 67.2	Denominator:	Numerator: 54706		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator: 56997	Rate:	Denominator: 94315		
	Rate:		Rate: 93166		Rate: 58		
MMR		MMR		MMR			
Numerator:	Combo 4	Numerator:	Combo 4	Numerator:	Combo 4		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:		
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
HiB		HiB		HiB			
Numerator:	Combo 5	Numerator:	Combo 5	Numerator:	Combo 5		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:		
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Нер В		Нер В		Нер В			
Numerator:	Combo 6	Numerator:	Combo 6	Numerator:	Combo 6		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:		
	Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
VZV		VZV		VZV			
Numerator:	Combo 7	Numerator:	Combo 7	Numerator:	Combo 7		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:		
DCM	Rate:	DCM	Rate:	DCM.	Rate:		
PCV		PCV		PCV			
Numerator:	Combo 8	Numerator:	Combo 8	Numerator:	Combo 8		
Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:	Denominator:	Numerator:		
Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:	Rate:	Denominator:		
Hom A	Rate:	II.am A	Rate:	Ham A	Rate:		
Hep A		Hep A		Hep A Numerator:			
Numerator: Denominator:		Numerator: Denominator:		Denominator:			
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:			

FFY 2009		FFY	2010	FFY 2011		
RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	RV	Combo 9	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	Flu	Combo 10	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:		Deviations from Measure Speci	fications	Deviations from Measure Spec	ifications	
Additional notes on measure.		Year of Data, Explain.	incations.	Year of Data, Explain.	meations.	
		☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		
		Numerator,. Explain.		⊠ Numerator,. Explain.		
			V, 1 MMR, 2 Hib, 3 HepB, 1	HFS Combo 3: 4Dtap, 3 IPV, 1 MMR, 2 Hib, 3 HepB, 1		
		VZV, 4 PCV		VZV, 4 PCV		
		Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		Denominator, Explain.		
		Other, Explain.		Other, Explain.		
		Additional notes on measure: In		Additional notes on measure: Individual vaccines and Combos		
		4-10 are not reported. A request measure programmed. The pro		4-10 are not reported. A request was submitted to have this		
		completed.	gramming has not yet been	measure programmed. The programming has not yet been completed.		
Other Performance Measure	ment Data:	Other Performance Measurer	nent Data:	Other Performance Measure		
(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Data indicate that the immunization rates of 24 month olds are lower than rates achieved by 36 months of age. The immunization rate among children 36 months of age and younger is reported as one of the objectives in another section. This measure allows for a "catch-up" period and shows that immunization by 36 months of age is higher than that at 24 months of age.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Childhood immunizations (by age 2) is a bonus payment strategy within the managed care program (MCO and PCCM). Making child-specific immunization data available in the expanded format (e.g., all available data sources) is viewed as a best practice strategy to promote appropriate immunization status. Annually, the Illinois Department of Human Services mails HFS Form 1802 to all families with children under 21 years of age. This document provides information to families about the importance of vaccines and the recommended vaccination schedule.

Additionally, HFS imports immunization data from all sources (e.g., Illinois Department of Public Health's immunization registry of private providers [I-CARE], and the Illinois Department of Human Services' Cornerstone public health data). The expanded child-specific data are being made available to the child's primary care provider.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Combo 2: 70.43%

Combo 3: 62.10% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Combo 2: 71.90%

Combo 3: 63.99% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Combo 2: 73.31%

Using 2009 as baseline for projections since it is higher than the 2010 rate

Combo 3: 65.79% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Combo 2

FFY for C	ARTS	DATA `	Year	Baseline	100th	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment
2010	2009	67.23	100	32.77	5%	1.64	68.87		
2011	2010	68.87	100	31.13	5%	1.56	70.43		
2012	2011	70.43	100	29.57	5%	1.48	71.90		
2013	2012	71.90	100	28.10	5%	1.40	73.31		
2014	2013	73.31	100	26.69	5%	1.33	74.64		
2015	2014	74.64							

	F	FY 2009					FFY 2010		FFY 2011		
Other Comments	on Meas	sure: Con	ibo 3								
FFY for CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th P	ercentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year		
2010 2009	58	100	42.00	5%	2.10	60.10					
2011 2010	60.10	100	39.90	5%	2.00	62.10					
2012 2011	62.10	100	37.91	5%	1.90	63.99					
2013 2012	63.99	100	36.01	5%	1.80	65.79					
2014 2013	65.79	100	34.21	5%	1.71	67.50					
2015 2014	67.50										
Using 2009 as base	eline for p	projection	s since it is	higher th	an the 201	10 rate					

# **MEASURE 6: Immunizations for Adolescents**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Other. Explain.	Unici. Explain.	Other. Explain.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	are based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.
	reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	2010	2011
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	☑ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Administrative (claims data) and registry data.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Meningococcal: One meningococcal	Definition of numerator: Meningococcal: One meningococcal
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	conjugate or meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine on or	conjugate or meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine on or
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	between the member's 11th and 13th birthdays.	between the member's 11th and 13th birthdays.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Tdap/Td: One tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular	Tdap/Td: One tetanus, diphtheria toxoids and acellular
Definition of numerator:	pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids	pertussis vaccine (Tdap) or one tetanus, diphtheria toxoids
	vaccine (Td) on or between the member's 10th and 13th	vaccine (Td) on or between the member's 10th and 13th
	birthdays.	birthdays.
	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded: Adolescents who turn 13 years	number of children excluded: Adolescents who turn 13 years
	of age during the measurement year.	of age during the measurement year.
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had	The percentage of adolescents 13 years of age who had
specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.	specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.	specific vaccines by their 13th birthday.
Meningococcal	Meningococcal	Meningococcal
Numerator:	Numerator: 11925	Numerator: 18341
Denominator:	Denominator: 53499	Denominator: 56866
Rate:	Rate: 22.3	Rate: 32.3
Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td	Tdap/Td
Numerator:	Numerator: 15993	Numerator: 22230
Denominator:	Denominator: 53499	Denominator: 56866
Rate:	Rate: 29.9	Rate: 39.1
Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)	Combination (Meningococcal, Tdap/Td)
Numerator:	Numerator: 9024	Numerator: 14720
Denominator:	Denominator: 53499	Denominator: 56866
Rate:	Rate: 16.9	Rate: 25.9
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2011. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2011 was not allowed as an entry.	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, the combined Meningococcal and Tdap/Td immunization rate for adolescents increased by a percent change of +53.25.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Beginning school year 2012-2013, students entering sixth and ninth grades will be required to provide documentation of receipt of one dose of Tdap. This requirement is being facilitated through notifications by the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) and Illinois State Board of Education (ISBE). School centers will communicate directly with students/parents via a variety of communication methods. IDPH has communicated via memo, Q&As, parent memo to all Local Health Departments, Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics, and IDPH and ISBE Web site postings. HFS will include this information in the state's provider manual to increase awareness of this new rule among enrolled providers.

Annually, the Illinois Department of Human Services mails HFS Form 1802 to all families with children under 21 years of age. This document provides information to families about the importance of vaccines and the recommended vaccination schedule.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Meningococcal: 39.03%

Tdap/Td: 45.18%

Combo (M/Tdap/Td): 33.30%

(2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Meningococcal: 45.12%

Tdap/Td: 50.66%

Combo (M/Tdap/Td): 39.97%

(2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: Meningococcal: 50.61%

Tdap/Td: 55.60%

Combo (M/Tdap/Td): 45.97%

(2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Immunizations for Adolescents: HFS Continuously Enrolled (Meningococcal)

FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011	2010	32.25	100	67.75	10%	6.78	39.03			
2012	2011	39.03	100	60.98	10%	6.10	45.12			
2013	2012	45.12	100	54.88	10%	5.49	50.61			
2014	2013	50.61	100	49.39	10%	4.94	55.55			
2015	2014	55.55								

Projections for Tdap/Td projections follows the above methodology.

	F	FY 2009					FFY 2010		FFY 2011		
Other Comments on Measure: Immunizations for Adolescents: HFS Continuously Enrolled (Combo: Meningococcal/Tdap/Td)											
FFY for CARTS	DATA `	Year	Baseline	100th Pe	rcentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-men	t Projection for Following Year		
2011 2010	25.89	100	74.11	10%	7.41	33.30					
2012 2011	33.30	100	66.70	10%	6.67	39.97					
2013 2012	39.97	100	60.03	10%	6.00	45.97					
2014 2013	45.97	100	54.03	10%	5.40	51.38					
2015 2014	51.38										

# Screening

# MEASURE 7: BMI Assessment for Children/Adolescents

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
□ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Guier. Exputati.	Guiei. Explain.	Guiei. Expuuii.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Ехрининон ој 1 тохізіониі Биш.	The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have
	⊠ Final.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously		up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	are based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.
	reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	2010	2011
	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: BMI percentile during the	Definition of numerator: BMI percentile during the
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	measurement year (using HEDIS® table WCC-B).	measurement year (using HEDIS® table WCC-B).
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2009		FFY	2010	FFY 2011		
		If denominator is a subset of		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,		
		please further define the Der	nominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the		
			Children 3 - 17 years who had		Children 3 - 17 years who had	
		an outpatient visit with a PCP of	or OB/GYN.	an outpatient visit with a PCP of	or OB/GYN.	
Year of Data:		Date Range:		Date Range:		
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010	
<b>HEDIS Performance Measure</b>		HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measure		
	th 17 years of age whose weight	Percentage of children 3 throug			th 17 years of age whose weight	
is classified based on BMI perc	entile for age and gender.	is classified based on BMI perc	entile for age and gender.	is classified based on BMI perc	entile for age and gender.	
3-11years	Total	3-11 years	Total	3-11 years	Total	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 2993	Numerator: 4437	Numerator: 4429	Numerator: 6575	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 710295	Denominator: 1082746	Denominator: 766748	Denominator: 1166859	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 0.4	Rate: 0.4	Rate: 0.6	Rate: 0.6	
<u>12-17 years</u>		<u>12-17 years</u>		<u>12-17 years</u>		
Numerator:		Numerator: 1444		Numerator: 2146		
Denominator:		Denominator: 372451		Denominator: 400111		
Rate:		Rate: 0.4		Rate: 0.5		
Additional notes on measure:		Deviations from Measure Specifications:		Deviations from Measure Specifications:		
		Year of Data, Explain.		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .		
		☐ Data Source, Explain.		☐ Data Source, Explain.		
		☐ Numerator,. Explain.		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		
		☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		☐Denominator, Explain.		
		☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .		Other, Explain.		
		Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2011. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2011 was not allowed as an entry.		Additional notes on measure:		
Other Performance Measurer		Other Performance Measurer		Other Performance Measurer		
(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	(If reporting with another meth	odology)	
Numerator:		Numerator:		Numerator:		
Denominator:		Denominator:		Denominator:		
Rate:		Rate:		Rate:		
Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:		Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change increase of +50.0 in the eligible population that had an outpatient visit and had evidence of a documented BMI percentile. However, this increase is based on small numbers in each of the measurement years. We believe the actual rate of BMI documentation is much higher, but the use of only administrative claims data is reducing our rates on this measure.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS received grant funding from the Otho S.A. Sprague Memorial Institute. The award will fund a 2-year grant agreement (January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013) with the Illinois Chapter, American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) to administer the Obesity Prevention Project.

The project is to improve the ability of pediatric practices to manage overweight and obese children within the practice through screening, counseling and appropriate clinical services; and outside the practice, through referrals to community resources. ICAAP will train pediatricians and family practice providers, FQHCs, and Rural Health Centers. ICAAP will establish an obesity prevention committee that will focus on policies affecting obesity prevention and treatment, and will provide clinical expertise to HFS. ICAAP will seek approval from the American Board of Pediatrics to provide an incentive to providers who participate by offering credit for physician Maintenance of Board Certification (MoC).

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 10.5% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 19.45% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 27.51% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Weight Assessment - BMI: HFS Continuously Enrolled (3-17 yrs)

FFY for CARTS		DATA Year		Baseline 100th Percentile		rcentile	Difference	
	2011	2010	0.56	100	99.44	10%	9.94	10.50
	2012	2011	10.50	100	89.50	10%	8.95	19.45
	2013	2012	19.45	100	80.55	10%	8.05	27.51
	2014	2013	27.51	100	72.49	10%	7.25	34.76
	2015	2014	34.76					

Other Comments on Measure:

% Improve-ment Annual Improve-ment

# **MEASURE 8: Developmental Screening in the First Three Years of Life**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011		
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:		
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☑ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:		
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ CAHMI/NCQA ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ CAHMI/NCQA ☐ Other. Explain:		
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children with one developmental screening at ages: a) <=12 months, b) between >12 and <=24 months, and c) between >24 and <=36 months  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children with one developmental screening at ages: a) <=12 months, b) between >12 and <=24 months, and c) between >24 and <=36 months  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded: Children ages 12 months	number of children excluded: Children ages 12 months
	through 36 months	through 36 months
Voor of Doto.	Deta Danas	Deta Bonesi
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009  Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010 Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children screened for risk development,	Percentage of children screened for risk development,	Percentage of children screened for risk development,
behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the	behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the	behavioral, and social delays using a standardized tool in the
first, second, or third year of life	first, second, or third year of life	first, second, or third year of life
Children screened by 12 months of age	Children screened by 12 months of age	Children screened by 12 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator: 40806	Numerator: 49147
Denominator:	Denominator: 93440	Denominator: 93589
Rate:	Rate: 43.7	Rate: 52.5
Children screened by 24 months of age	Children screened by 24 months of age	Children screened by 24 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator: 30486	Numerator: 38988
Denominator:	Denominator: 96345	Denominator: 95532
Rate:	Rate: 31.6	Rate: 40.8
Children screened by 36 months of age	Children screened by 36 months of age	Children screened by 36 months of age
Numerator:	Numerator: 17878	Numerator: 26161
Denominator:	Denominator: 94014	Denominator: 99439
Rate:	Rate: 19	Rate: 26.3

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	<ul><li>☑ Data Source, <i>Explain</i>.</li><li>Administrative claims data, not hybrid.</li><li>☑ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i>.</li></ul>	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> . ☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☑ Other, <i>Explain</i> .  The specifications state domain-specific objective developmental screening tools should not be used in this measure. However, HFS' provider manual allows domain-specific tools under CPT 96110. We cannot determine whether providers billing CPT 96110 used general or domain-specific screening tools.	☑ Other, <i>Explain</i> .  The specifications state domain-specific objective developmental screening tools should not be used in this measure. However, HFS' provider manual allows domain-specific tools under CPT 96110. We cannot determine whether providers billing CPT 96110 used general or domain-specific screening tools.
	Additional notes on measure: The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS' measure based on the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011 and any subsequent changes to the CHIPRA Technical Specifications Manual released December 2011.	Additional notes on measure: The deviation(s) consists of differences between HFS' measure based on the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011 and any subsequent changes to the CHIPRA Technical Specifications Manual released December 2011.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Each age category showed an increase in the screening rate from FFY2010 to FFY2011. Among those screened by 12 months of age the percent change increase was +20.1, among 24 month olds the increase was +29.1, and among those 36 months of age the percent change increase was +38.4.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? A comprehensive provider training was instituted by HFS focusing on enhancing developmentally oriented primary care. The initiative offers on-going technical assistance to providers to promote practice change to deliver evidence-based, comprehensive EPSDT services. Objective developmental screening is a provider bonus incentive. Illinois received a grant from the National Academy of State Health Policy (NASHP) through funding from The Commonwealth Fund for Advancing Better Child Health Development(ABCD) III initiatives. The Illinois project focuses on policy, systems and provider practice improvements to strengthen linkages between PCPs and Early Intervention offices for children screened at risk of developmental delay. In collaboration with HFS and DHS the Illinois Chapter, AAP developed a Maintenance of Certification quality improvement initiative focused on improving the referral and feedback loop between the primary care provider and Early Intervention CFC offices in Illinois.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 12 months: 57.25%

24 months: 46.73% 36 months: 33.67% (2011 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 12 months: 61.53%

24 months: 52.06% 36 months: 40.30% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 12 months: 65.37%

24 months: 56.85% 36 months: 46.27% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Developmental screening: Age 1 HFS Continuously Enrolled

FFY for C	ARTS	DATA	Year		100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011	2010	52.5	100	47.50	10%	4.75	57.25			
2012	2011	57.25	100	42.75	10%	4.28	61.53			
2013	2012	61.53	100	38.48	10%	3.85	65.37			
2014	2013	65.37	100	34.63	10%	3.46	68.84			
2015	2014	68.84								

Similar methodology used for 24 and 36 months

Other Comments on Measure:

# MEASURE 9: Chlamydia Screening 16-20 females

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☑ Yes ☐ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2009 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one Chlamydia test during the measurement year as documented through administrative data. A woman is counted as having had a test if she had a claim/encounter with a service date during the measurement year with one or more of the codes.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one Chlamydia test during the measurement year as documented through administrative data. A woman is counted as having had a test if she had a claim/encounter with a service date during the measurement year with one or more of the codes.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Females identified as sexually active and 16 - 24 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year.	☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Females identified as sexually active and 16 - 24 years of age as of December 31 of the measurement year.
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of 16-20 year old females who were identified as sexually active and who had at least one test for Chlamydia during the measurement year
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 29116 Denominator: 130550 Rate: 22.3	Numerator: 29430 Denominator: 140488 Rate: 20.9
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: Any deviation(s) results from differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.	Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2009. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2009 was not allowed as an entry. Any deviation(s) results from differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.
		A request was submitted to have this measure programmed. The programming has not yet been completed.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change decrease of -6.28 in the percent of sexually active 16 through 20 year olds who received at least one test for Chlamydia. However, from 2010 to 2011 there is a percent change increase of +1.1 in the total number who received a Chlamydia test (29,116 and 29,430, respectively).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Chlamydia screening is the standard of care for sexually active females and is a covered service of EPSDT, the Illinois Healthy Women (IHW) waiver, and comprehensive medical programs. During 2010 a webinar, "STD Update", was presented by the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) STD Section and the Chicago Department of Public Health (CDPH) STD Medical Director. These webinars are conducted by Illinois Health Connect (IHC) as part of the primary care case management program. HFS will continue to collaborate with the IDPH to create webinars for providers that encourage STD testing and treatment. On February 16, 2012, an IHC webinar is being held – "Illinois Healthy Women: Opportunities to Improve Assessment and Treatment" – eQHealth Solutions Medical Director will present. This webinar is based on the focused quality study (medical chart audit) of IHW participants. A review of recommended screening and treatment for STDs is included in the presentation.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 43.36% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 49.02% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 54.12% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Chlamydia screening

FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment
2010	2009	22.3	100	77.70	10%	7.77	30.07		
2011	2010	30.07	100	69.93	10%	6.99	37.06		
2012	2011	37.06	100	62.94	10%	6.29	43.36		
2013	2012	43.36	100	56.64	10%	5.66	49.02		
2014	2013	49.02	100	50.98	10%	5.10	54.12		
2015	2014	54.12							
Using 200	9 as baselii	ne for proje	ections si	nce it is high	ner than	the 2010 ra	ite		

Other Comments on Measure:

# Well-child Care Visits (WCV)

# MEASURE 10: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  Yes	Did you report on this measure?  ⊠ Yes	Did you report on this measure?  ☑ Yes
⊠ No	∑ Yes □ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2011 ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who have received 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+ well child visits by fifteen months of age.  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who have received 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6+ well child visits by fifteen months of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.

FFY	2009	FFY	2010	FFY	2011	
		☐ Denominator includes Medi	caid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.		
		□ Denominator includes CHIF	and Medicaid (Title XIX).	□ Denominator includes CHII	and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
		If denominator is a subset of	the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of	the definition selected above,	
		please further define the Der	nominator, please indicate the	please further define the De	nominator, please indicate the	
		number of children excluded:	HFS continuously enrolled	number of children excluded:	HFS continuously enrolled	
		(Title XIX, Title XXI) children	1 (31 days to 15 months of age	(Title XIX, Title XXI) childre	n (31 days to 15 months of age	
		with no more than 45 day break	in enrollment).	with no more than 45 day break	x in enrollment).	
Year of Data:		Date Range:		Date Range:		
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010	
HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measure	ement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measur	ement Data:	
	ad zero, one, two, three, four,	Percentage of children who ha			ad zero, one, two, three, four,	
five, and six or more well ch	ild visits with a primary care	five, and six or more well ch	aild visits with a primary care	five, and six or more well cl	nild visits with a primary care	
practitioner during their first 15	months of life	practitioner during their first 15	months of life	practitioner during their first 15 months of life		
<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	<u>0 visits</u>	4 visits	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 2433	Numerator: 6475	Numerator: 2533	Numerator: 6172	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 91367	Denominator: 91367	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 2.6	Rate: 7	Rate: 2.8	Rate: 6.8	
1 visits	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visits	<u>5 visits</u>	1 visits	<u>5 visits</u>	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 2372	Numerator: 9313	Numerator: 2273	Numerator: 8878	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 91367	Denominator: 91367	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 2.6	Rate: 10.1	Rate: 2.5	Rate: 9.7	
2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	6+ visits	2 visits	6+ visits	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 3340	Numerator: 63974	Numerator: 3044	Numerator: 64160	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 92527	Denominator: 91367	Denominator: 91367	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 3.6	Rate: 69.1	Rate: 3.3	Rate: 70.2	
3 visits		3 visits		3 visits		
Numerator:		Numerator: 4620		Numerator: 4307		
Denominator:		Denominator: 92527		Denominator: 91367		
Rate:		Rate: 5		Rate: 4.7		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, Explain.	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.  The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2011. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement"	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.
	Specifications" section since 2011 was not allowed as an entry.	
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to FFY2011 (2010 data), there was a percent change increase of +1.59 in the percent of those 15 months of age who received six or more well child visits.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans will be required to provide preventive health services for children as recommended by "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents" (Bright Futures). The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) will promote Bright Futures well-child recommendations as a standard of care for providers serving Medicaid clients in the state of Illinois. Under contract to HFS, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) is integrating Bright Futures guidelines into the state's provider handbook. To support this effort, ICAAP is also developing other materials to clarify guidelines to Medicaid-enrolled pediatricians and family physicians, and promoting Bright Futures to providers and patients alike through innovative outreach.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 73.20% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 75.88% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 78.29% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Well Child Visits in the First 15 Months of Life: 6 or More Visits

HES Continuously Enrolled

III 5 Colluli	uousiy Liii	oncu								
FFY for CA	RTS	DATA Y	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011	2010	70.22	100	29.78	10%	2.98	73.20			
2012	2011	73.20	100	26.80	10%	2.68	75.88			
2013	2012	75.88	100	24.12	10%	2.41	78.29			
2014	2013	78.29	100	21.71	10%	2.17	80.46			
2015	2014	80.46								

Other Comments on Measure:

MEASURE 11: Well Child Visits in the 3<sup>rd</sup>, 4<sup>th</sup>, 5<sup>th</sup>, and 6<sup>th</sup> Years of Life

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  Yes	Did you report on this measure?  ⊠ Yes	Did you report on this measure?  ⊠ Yes
No No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  □ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  □ Final.  □ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☑ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010  □Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2011  □Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) ages three, four, five or six years during the measurement year, and who received one or more well child visits during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) ages three, four, five or six years during the measurement year, and who received one or more well child visits during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children ages three, four, five or six years of age.	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled (Title XIX, Title XXI) children ages three, four, five or six
		years of age.
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	<b>Date Range:</b> From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children age 3 to 6 years old who had one or more well-child visits with a primary care practitioner during
the measurement year.  1+ visits Numerator: Denominator:	the measurement year.  1+ visits Numerator: 244971 Denominator: 346765	the measurement year.  1+ visits Numerator: 260645 Denominator: 374667
Rate:	Rate: 70.6	Rate: 69.6
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  ☐ Year of Data, Explain.  ☐ Data Source, Explain.
	□ Numerator, Explain.	☐ Numerator, Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.  The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2011. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2011 was not allowed as an entry.	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to FFY2011 (2010 data), there was a percent change decrease of -1.42 in the percent of children ages 3, 4, 5, 6 years who received one or more well-child visits. However, the data reported for FFY2011 are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims. It is possible that when these data are finalized the rates could exceed those reported in FFY2010.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans will be required to provide preventive health services for children as recommended by "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents" (Bright Futures). The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) will promote Bright Futures well-child recommendations as a standard of care for providers serving Medicaid clients in the state of Illinois. Under contract to HFS, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) is integrating Bright Futures guidelines into the state's provider handbook. To support this effort, ICAAP is also developing other materials to clarify guidelines to Medicaid-enrolled pediatricians and family physicians, and promoting Bright Futures to providers and patients alike through innovative outreach.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 76.19% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 78.57% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 80.71% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Well Child Visits in 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th Year of Life

FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2010	2009	70.6	100	29.40	10%	2.94	73.54			
2011	2010	73.54	100	26.46	10%	2.65	76.19			
2012	2011	76.19	100	23.81	10%	2.38	78.57			
2013	2012	78.57	100	21.43	10%	2.14	80.71			
2014	2013	80.71	100	19.29	10%	1.93	82.64			
2015	2014	82.64								
Using 200	09 as baselii	ne for proj	ections si	nce it is hig	her than	the 2010 ra	ite			

Other Comments on Measure:

# **MEASURE 12: Adolescent Well-Care Visits**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	∑ Yes
⊠ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	⊠ Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	are based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.
	reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	2010	2011
	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Adolescents ages 12 through 20 years of age	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: At least one comprehensive well-care visit with a PCP or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Adolescents ages 12 through 20 years of age
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:  Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of adolescents age 12 through 21 years who had at least one comprehensive well-care visit with a primary care practitioner or an OB/GYN practitioner during the measurement year.
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 232496 Denominator: 525960 Rate: 44.2	Numerator: 249601 Denominator: 570318 Rate: 43.8

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. Explain.
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.  The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2011. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2011 was not allowed as an entry.	Additional notes on measure: Measure complies with the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

	FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
--	----------	----------	----------

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to FFY2011 (2010 data), there was a percent change decrease of -0.90 in the percent of adolescents receiving a well-care visit. However, the data reported for FFY2011 are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims. It is possible that when these data are finalized the rates could exceed those reported in FFY2010. In terms of numbers of children served, from FFY2010 to FFY2011 there is a percent change increase of +7.36 in the total number who received a well-care visit (232,496 and 249,601, respectively).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans will be required to provide preventive health services for children as recommended by "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents" (Bright Futures). The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) will promote Bright Futures well-child recommendations as a standard of care for providers serving Medicaid clients in the state of Illinois. Under contract to HFS, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) is integrating Bright Futures guidelines into the state's provider handbook. To support this effort, ICAAP is also developing other materials to clarify guidelines to Medicaid-enrolled pediatricians and family physicians, and promoting Bright Futures to providers and patients alike through innovative outreach.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 49.42% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 54.48% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 59.03% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Well Child Visits for Adolescents

HFS Continuously Enrolled

FFY for CARTS		DATA '	Year	Baseline	100th Percentile		Difference
2011	2010	43.8	100	56.20	10%	5.62	49.42
2012	2011	49.42	100	50.58	10%	5.06	54.48
2013	2012	54.48	100	45.52	10%	4.55	59.03
2014	2013	59.03	100	40.97	10%	4.10	63.13
2015	2014	63.13					

Other Comments on Measure:

% Improve-ment Annual Improve-ment

# **Dental**

MEASURE 13: Percentage of eligible children ages one through twenty years old receiving preventive dental services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
⊠ No	□ No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Transfer of the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	⊠ Final.	⊠ Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
· r · · · · · · ·	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠CMS
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
	The annual EPSDT report (form CMS-416) defined by CMS	
	(prior to the March/June 2010 guidance document revisions) as	
	providing information to assess the effectiveness of State	
	EPSDT programs in terms of the number of children provided	
	child health screening services, are referred for corrective	
	treatment, and receive dental services.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
- **	- **	- **
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Includes only Title XIX. Defined by	Definition of numerator: Based on March 2010 CMS-416
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	CMS-416 guidance document (prior to March/June 2010	guidance, "Line 12b - Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	revisions).	Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the
Definition of numerator:	"Line 12b Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental	supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 -(CDT codes D1000 - D1999)."
	Servicesunduplicatedchildren receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a	Definition of denominator:
	dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 (CDT	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	codes D1000 - D1999)."	☐ Denominator includes Centr population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
	codes D1000 - D1777).	☐ Denominator includes Wichicard population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	Definition of denominator:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	number of children excluded: Based on March 2010 CMS-
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	416 guidance, "Line 1b-Total Individuals Eligible for EPSDT
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	for 90 Continuous Days-Entertotal unduplicatedindividuals
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	from line 1acontinuously enrolled in Medicaid or a CHIP
	number of children excluded: Defined by CMS-416 guidance	Medicaid expansion program for at least 90 days andeligible
	document (prior to March/June 2010 revisions).	for EPSDT services."
	"Line 1 Total Individuals Eligible for EPSDTunduplicated	
	numberunder the age of 21distributed by age and by basis of Medicaid eligibility."	
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2010
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received	Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received
preventive dental services	preventive dental services	preventive dental services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 697930
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 1507472
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 46.3
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, Explain.	Denominator, Explain.
	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Per the CMS core measure specifications, the numerator and denominator provided above are for ages 1 through 20 and excludes those < 1 year who are included as an age category for lines 1b and 12b of the Form CMS-416.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 615930	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator: 1479329	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate: 41.6	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Per the CMS core measure specifications, the numerator and denominator provided above are for ages 1 through 20 and excludes those < 1 year who are included as an age category for lines 1b and 12b of the Form CMS-416.	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? A comparison is not appropriate since there were revisions to the CMS-416 guidance between the reports for FFY2009 and FFY2010. The CMS-416 FFY2010 report includes a newly created Line 1b that counts those in Medicaid or CHIP who were enrolled for at least 90 continuous days. The FFY2009 report is for Medicaid recipients only and for any duration of enrollment. Therefore, the methodology used to count children in the denominator for this measure changed between the FFY2010 and FFY2011 CHIP Annual Report periods.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Bright Smiles from Birth (BSFB), which trains medical providers in fluoride varnish application, oral health and anticipatory guidance, educates providers to refer beneficiaries to a Dental Home; reinforces the importance of consistent, coordinated care; reminds them of the HFS dental benefits for children; provides them Dental Referral Notepads to promote member services for assistance finding a dentist or getting an appointment; provides anticipatory guidance about good oral health habits; and helps make referrals to dentists in community through partnerships.

Illinois has an All Kids School-Based Dental Program. Preventive dental services are provided to thousands of children in the schools each year. Following the school visit, the dentists report oral health scores consisting of treatment needs to HFS and the parent/guardian. The form identifies that the dental visit has occurred, the services rendered and the child's oral health score including if further treatment is needed.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 51.67% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 56.50% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 60.85% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Total Eligibles who Received Preventive Dental Services (CMS-416 Line 12b)

AGES 1 - 20

11000										
FFY for CA	RTS	DATA '	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011	2010	46.3	100	53.70	10%	5.37	51.67			
2012	2011	51.67	100	48.33	10%	4.83	56.50			
2013	2012	56.50	100	43.50	10%	4.35	60.85			
2014	2013	60.85	100	39.15	10%	3.91	64.77			
2015	2014	64 77								

FFY 2009 FFY 2010 FFY 2011
----------------------------

Other Comments on Measure: Additional quality improvement information follows.

HFS and DentaQuest, the dental benefits administrator, partner with various organizations and professional groups to provide awareness about the HFS Dental Program. HFS is confident that collectively these strategies had a positive impact on the steady increase in dental participation over the last several years, even though program enrollment significantly increased.

# Access

# MEASURE 14: Children and Adolescents' Access to Primary Care

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
⊠ No	□No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☑ Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	are based on adjudicated claims.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.
	reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
		Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	2009	2010
	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Commen	D-4- C
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guici. Specify.	Guier. specify.	Guici. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title	Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled (Title
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	XIX, Title XXI) 12 months - 19 years who had a visit with a	XIX, Title XXI) 12 months - 19 years who had a visit with a
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	PCP.	PCP.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	• Children 12–24 months and 25 months–6 years who had	• Children 12–24 months and 25 months–6 years who had
Definition of numerator:	a visit with a PCP during the measurement year.	a visit with a PCP during the measurement year.
	• Children 7–11 years and adolescents 12–19 years who	• Children 7–11 years and adolescents 12–19 years who

FFY	2009	FFY			7 2011	
		had a visit with a PCP during th	ne measurement year or the year	had a visit with a PCP during the measurement year or the year		
		prior to the measurement year.		prior to the measurement year.		
					Definition of denominator:	
		Definition of denominator:			☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
		Denominator includes CHIF	population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.		
		Denominator includes Medi	caid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		
		Denominator includes CHIF			If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
		If denominator is a subset of		please further define the Denominator, please indicate the		
			nominator, please indicate the		: HFS continuously enrolled	
			HFS continuously enrolled	(Title XIX, Title XXI) from 12 months to 19 years of age.		
		(Title XIX, Title XXI) from 12	months to 19 years of age.			
Year of Data:		Date Range:		Date Range:		
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To	: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010		
HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measure		HEDIS Performance Measur		
	elescents who had a visit with a		elescents who had a visit with a	Percentage of children and adolescents who had a visit with a		
primary care practitioner		primary care practitioner		primary care practitioner		
12-24 months 7-11 years		12-24 months	7-11 years	12-24 months	7-11 years	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 164909	Numerator: 259317	Numerator: 164085	Numerator: 285014	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 192631	Denominator: 329912	Denominator: 191146	Denominator: 359700	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 85.6	Rate: 78.6	Rate: 85.8	Rate: 79.2	
25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	25 months-6 years	12-19 years	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 268151	Numerator: 314155	Numerator: 281689	Numerator: 348133	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 346757	Denominator: 418886	Denominator: 374656	Denominator: 455612	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 77.3	Rate: 75	Rate: 75.2	Rate: 76.4	
Additional notes on measure:		Deviations from Measure Speci	fications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:		
		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .		
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Data Source, Explain.		
		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .		
		Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .		Denominator, Explain.		
		☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .		☐ Other, Explain.		
		Additional notes on measure: Any deviation(s) results from differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011.  Additional notes on measure: The HED this measure is 2009. To continue dentered in the "Measurement Specifications Manual released February 2011.		continue data entry, 2010 was t Specifications" section since entry. Any deviation(s) results		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011		
		2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical		
		Specifications Manual released February 2011.		
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:		
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)		
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:		
Denominator:	Denominator:			
Rate:	Rate:			
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:		

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to FFY2011 (2010 data), the following percent change increases were seen: 12-24 months +0.23, 7-11 years +0.76, and 12-19 years +1.87. There was a percent change decrease of -2.72 among those ages 25 months-6 years. From FFY2010 to FFY2011, with the exception of those 12-24 months of age, there was in increase in the total number of children and adolescents served.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans will be required to provide preventive health services for children as recommended by "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents" (Bright Futures). The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) will promote Bright Futures well-child recommendations as a standard of care for providers serving Medicaid clients in the state of Illinois. Under contract to HFS, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) is integrating Bright Futures guidelines into the state's provider handbook. To support this effort, ICAAP is also developing other materials to clarify guidelines to Medicaid-enrolled pediatricians and family physicians, and promoting Bright Futures to providers and patients alike through innovative outreach.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 12 - 24 Months: 87.26%

25 Months - 6 Years: 81.64%\*

7 - 11 Years: 81.32% 12 - 19 Years: 78.77%

\*Using 2009 as baseline for projections since it is slightly higher than the 2010 rate

(2011 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 12 - 24 Months: 88.53%

25 Months - 6 Years\*: 83.47%

7 - 11 Years: 83.18% 12 - 19 Years: 80.89%

\*Using 2009 as baseline for projections since it is slightly higher than the 2010 rate

(2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 12 - 24 Months: 89.68%

25 Months - 6 Years\*: 85.13%

7 - 11 Years: 84.87% 12 - 19 Years: 82.80%

	F	FFY 2009				FFY 2010				FFY 2011		
*Using 2009 as baseline for projections since it is slightly his							e 2010 rate					
(2013 data)												
Explain how these objectives were set: Children and Adolescent Access to Primary Care Practitioners: 12 - 24 Months												
FFY for C	ARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th I	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Imp	rove-ment	Projection for Following Year	
2011	2010	85.84	100	14.16	10%	1.42	87.26					
2012	2011	87.26	100	12.74	10%	1.27	88.53					
2013	2012	88.53	100	11.47	10%	1.15	89.68					
2014	2013	89.68	100	10.32	10%	1.03	90.71					
2015	2014	90.71										
The other	age categor	y projectio	ons were	calculated in	n the san	ne way.						
Other Commen	ts on Meas	sure:				-						

# **Category II - MANAGEMENT OF ACUTE CONDITIONS**

### **Upper Respiratory -- Appropriate Use of Antibiotics**

# **MEASURE 15: Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☑ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2009 ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Children from 2 - 18 years with a group A streptococcus test (Table CWP-D) in the seven-day period from three days prior to the IESD through three days after the IESD.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Children from 2 - 18 years with a group A streptococcus test (Table CWP-D) in the seven-day period from three days prior to the IESD through three days after the IESD.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Definition of numerator:	Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only. Denominator includes Medicaid population only. Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Children from 2 - 18 years who had an outpatient or ED visit (Table CWP-B) with only a diagnosis of phyaryngitis during the Intake Period, excluding claims/encounters with more than one diagnosis.	Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Children from 2 - 18 years who had an outpatient or ED visit (Table CWP-B) with only a diagnosis of phyaryngitis during the Intake Period, excluding claims/encounters with more than one diagnosis.
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 06/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of children ages 2-18 who were diagnosed with pharyngitis, dispensed an antibiotic and who received a group A streptococcus test for the episode
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: 34301 Denominator: 90689 Rate: 37.8	Numerator: 33795 Denominator: 86111 Rate: 39.2
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  ☐ Year of Data, Explain.  ☐ Data Source, Explain.  ☐ Numerator,. Explain.  ☐ Illinois' measure includes sephradine and erythromycin estolate, CHIPRA core specifications do not.  ☐ Denominator, Explain.  ☐ Other, Explain.  ☐ Other, Explain.  Additional notes on measure: Any deviation(s) results from differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011. Illinois does not use LOINC codes as specified in this measure to identify a Group A Streptococcus test. However, the CPT codes being used are capturing the data needed.	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  ☐ Year of Data, Explain.  ☐ Data Source, Explain.  ☐ Numerator, Explain.  ☐ Illinois' measure includes sephradine and erythromycin estolate, CHIPRA core specifications do not.  ☐ Denominator, Explain.  ☐ Other, Explain.  ☐ Other, Explain.  Additional notes on measure: The HEDIS® version used for this measure is 2009. To continue data entry, 2010 was entered in the "Measurement Specifications" section since 2009 was not allowed as an entry. Any deviation(s) results from differences between HFS' measure based on HEDIS® 2009 and the CHIPRA Initial Core Measures Technical Specifications Manual released February 2011. Illinois does not use LOINC codes to identify a Group A Streptococcus test. However, the CPT codes being used are capturing the data needed.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change increase of +3.70 in the percent of children diagnosed with pharyngitis who were dispensed an antibiotic and received a group A streptococcus (strep) test.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Under the Affordable Care Act, health plans will be required to provide preventive health services for children as recommended by "Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children and Adolescents" (Bright Futures). The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) will promote Bright Futures well-child recommendations as a standard of care for providers serving Medicaid clients in the state of Illinois. Under contract to HFS, the Illinois Chapter of the American Academy of Pediatrics (ICAAP) is integrating Bright Futures guidelines into the state's provider handbook. To support this effort, ICAAP is also developing other materials to clarify guidelines to Medicaid-enrolled pediatricians and family physicians, and promoting Bright Futures to providers and patients alike through innovative outreach.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 45.33% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 50.79% (2012 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 55.71% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Appropriate Testing for Children with Pharyngitis

HFS Continuously Enrolled

	TILD COMMIN										
FFY for CARTS		DATA Year		Baseline 100th Percentile		Difference % Improve-m	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year		
	2011	2010	39.25	100	60.75	10%	6.08	45.33			
	2012	2011	45.33	100	54.68	10%	5.47	50.79			
	2013	2012	50.79	100	49.21	10%	4.92	55.71			
	2014	2013	55.71	100	44.29	10%	4.43	60.14			
	2015	2014	60.14								

MEASURE 16: Otitis Media with Effusion – avoidance of inappropriate use of systemic antimicrobials

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
☐ Yes ⊠ No	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
_	_	_
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Specify sample size.  Other. Explain:
Unier. Explain.	Illinois does not use CPT II codes.	
	ininois does not use CPT if codes.	Per the CMS "Technical Specifications and Resource Manual
		for Federal Fiscal Year 2011 Reporting", Updated December
		2011, "Because CPT II codes are required for this measure and
		are not commonly used by States,States will not be expected
		to report the measure until further guidance is available."
		Illinois does not use CPT II codes.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐ AMA/PCPI
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Other. Specify.	Unier. <i>Specify</i> .	☐ Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of huncrator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes CHIF population only.  Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	Denominator includes Centr population only.  Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Certif population only.  Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).		
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a	Percentage of children ages 2 months through 12 years with a
diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not	diagnosis of Otitis Media with Effusion (OME) who were not
prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials	prescribed systemic antimicrobials
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		_
	Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	Numerator,. Explain.
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Guici, Expiani.	Guier, Expiani.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

<u>Dental</u>

MEASURE 17: Percentage of eligible children ages one through twenty who received dental treatment services (CMS Form 416)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes
No	□No	□ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
•	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	⊠CMS
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
Oulci. Explain.	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	опот. <i>Ехриин</i> .
	The annual EPSDT report (form CMS-416) defined by CMS	
	(prior to the March/June 2010 guidance document revisions) as	
	providing information to assess the effectiveness of State	
	EPSDT programs in terms of the number of children provided	
	child health screening services, are referred for corrective	
	treatment, and receive dental services.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
_ 1 37		
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator: Includes only Title XIX. Defined by	Definition of numerator: Based on March 2010 CMS-416
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	CMS-416 guidance document (prior to March/June 2010	guidance, "Line 12c - Total Eligibles Receiving Dental
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	revisions).	Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	"Line 12c Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment	children receiving at least one treatment service by or under
Denominator metades erm and wedicald (Title 2012).	Line 12c Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment	children receiving at least one treatment service by or under

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
PFY 2009  Definition of numerator:	Servicesunduplicatedchildren receiving treatment services defined by HCPC codes D2000 - D9999Unduplicatedchild is counted only once even if more than one treatment service was provided."  Definition of denominator:  Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.  Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Defined by CMS-416 guidance document (prior to March/June 2010 revisions).  "Line 1 Total Individuals Eligible for EPSDTunduplicated numberunder the age of 21distributed by age and by basis	the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (CDT codes D2000 - 09999)."  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Based on March 2010 CMS-416 guidance, "Line 1b-Total Individuals Eligible for EPSDT for 90 Continuous Days-Entertotal unduplicatedindividuals from line 1acontinuously enrolled in Medicaid or a CHIP Medicaid expansion program for at least 90 days andeligible for EPSDT services."
V	of Medicaid eligibility."	D. (. D
Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2010
Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services	Performance Measurement Data: Percentage of eligible children ages 1-20 who received dental treatment services
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator: 280436
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator: 1507472
Rate:	Rate:	Rate: 18.6
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. Explain.	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Per the CMS core measure specifications, the numerator and denominator provided above are for ages 1 through 20 and excludes those < 1 year who are included as an age category for lines 1b and 12b of the Form CMS-416.
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Numerator:	Numerator: 259322	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator: 1479329	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate: 17.5	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Per the CMS core measure specifications, the numerator and denominator provided above are for ages 1 through 20 and excludes those < 1 year who are included as an age category for lines 1b and 12b of the Form CMS-416.	Additional notes on measure:

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? A comparison is not appropriate since there were revisions to the CMS-416 guidance between the reports for FFY2009 and FFY2010. The CMS-416 FFY2010 report includes a newly created Line 1b that counts those in Medicaid or CHIP who were enrolled for at least 90 continuous days. The FFY2009 report is for Medicaid recipients only and for any duration of enrollment. Therefore, the methodology used to count children in the denominator for this measure changed between the FFY2010 and FFY2011 CHIP Annual Report periods.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS uses peer-to-peer dentists' recruitment, called the Regional Oral Health Advocates (ROHA) program. ROHA is to improve enrollment of dentists, to encourage increased participation among enrolled providers, and to implement best practice strategies to improve access. Each ROHA is an enrolled dentist and is assigned to one of seven specific geographic regions of the state.

All Kids School-Based Dental Program provides feedback to HFS and the parents/guardians following the preventive visit in the schools. The dentist completes a dental form that identifies that the dental visit occurred, the services rendered and the child's oral health score. Dentists are required to provide a valid referral for the child's treatment needs. All parents/guardians or caretaker relatives of children with dental treatment needs receive a letter from HFS indicating that their child has urgent treatment needs and they need to make an appointment for the child for a visit to a dental office.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 26.74% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 34.07% (2012 data)

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 40.66% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Total Eligibles who Received Dental Treatment Services (CMS-416 Line 12c)

AGES 1 - 20

FFY for CARTS		RTS	DATA Year		Baseline	100th P	ercentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
	2011	2010	18.6	100	81.40	10%	8.14	26.74			
	2012	2011	26.74	100	73.26	10%	7.33	34.07			
	2013	2012	34.07	100	65.93	10%	6.59	40.66			
	2014	2013	40.66	100	59.34	10%	5.93	46.59			
	2015	2014	46.59								

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
----------	----------	----------

Other Comments on Measure: Additional quality improvement intitiative information follows.

HFS conducts targeted outreach to age-specific beneficiaries to increase treatment dental for the older teenage population. HFS and DentaQuest is targeting the beneficiary mailing specifically to teens as well as all populations in the Annual Beneficiary Outreach Initiative. An effort to reach the older teens and increase utilization within this age group was incorporated into the current outreach initiative.

# **Emergency Department**

**MEASURE 18: Ambulatory Care: Emergency Department Visits** 

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☑ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of ambulatory care emergency department visits among those ages <1 through 19 years of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of ambulatory care emergency department visits among those ages <1 through 19 years of age.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded: Number of member months for	number of children excluded: Number of member months for
	those ages < 1 through 19 years of age.	those ages < 1 through 19 years of age.
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
The number of emergency department visits per	The number of emergency department visits per	The number of emergency department visits per
child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and	child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and	child/adolescent per year as a function of all children and
adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year	adolescents enrolled and eligible during the measurement year
Numerator:	Numerator: 886131	Numerator: 817053
Denominator:	Denominator: 5776481	Denominator: 5507049
Rate:	Rate: 15.3	Rate: 14.8
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Guier, Explain.	Guier, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure: <1 N: 59,920 D: 248,974	Additional notes on measure: <1 N: 53,769 D: 227,670
	Rate/1,000 Member Months: 240.7	Rate/1,000 Member Months: 236.2
	1-9 N: 551,439 D: 3,565,031 Rate/1,000 Member Months:	1-9 N: 499,666 D: 3,359,066 Rate/1,000 Member Months:
	154.7	148.8
	10-19 N: 274,772 D: 1,962,476 Rate/1,000 Member Months:	10-19 N: 263,618 D: 1,920,313 Rate/1,000 Member Months:
	140.0	137.3
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change decrease of -3.26 in the rate of ambulatory care emergency department visits among those ages <1 through 19, showing improvement in the utilization rate. In FFY2010 (2009 data), utilization was 153.4 per 1,000 member months decreasing to 148.4 in FFY2011 (2010 data).

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501], requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid be enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around their medical needs. This means at least 1.5 million of Illinois' Medicaid clients – children, parents, seniors and disabled persons – will be assigned to an integrated healthcare delivery system replacing the current fragmented system. As Phase I, the Department of Healthcare and Family Services is developing the "Care Coordination Innovations Project" to test community interest and capacity to provide alternative models of delivering care (as an adjunct to the current managed care programs). This initiative should show improvements in the health outcomes.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: <1-19: 143.6** 

<1: 222.6 1-9: 143.9 10-19: 133.6

Rates per 1,000 member months

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** <1-19: 139.2

<1: 210.3 1-9: 139.5 10-19: 130.2

Rates per 1,000 member months

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: <1-19: 135.3

<1: 199.3 1-9: 135.6 10-19: 127.2

Rates per 1,000 member months

Explain how these objectives were set: Ambulatory Care Emergency Department Visits: Ages <1 - 10 Vears

Ехрійін но	w mese ov	jecuves we	re sei. A	mouratory	Care Em	ergency D	epartinent visits. Ages	<1 - 19 Teals				
FFY for CA	ARTS	DATA Y	Year	Baseline	e (Visits/	1000 Mem	ber Months) 100th Perc	entile Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection	for
Following Year												
2011	2010	148.4	100	-48.40	10%	-4.84	143.56					
2012	2011	143.56	100	-43.56	10%	-4.36	139.20					
2013	2012	139.20	100	-39.20	10%	-3.92	135.28					
2014	2013	135.28	100	-35.28	10%	-3.53	131.76					
2015	2014	131.76										
Other age of	ategories i	used simila	r method	lology								

# **Inpatient**

### MEASURE 19: Pediatric central-line associated blood stream infections rate- PICU and NICU

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
□No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. Explain:
	Illinois is unable to collect data needed for this measure.	Illinois is unable to collect data needed for this measure.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	□CDC	□CDC
Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :	Administrative (claims data). <i>Specify</i> :
☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). <i>Specify</i> :
Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Other. <i>Specify</i> :
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance	Performance Measurement Data: Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance	Performance Measurement Data: Rate of central line-associated blood stream infections (CLABSI) in the pediatric and neonatal intensive care units during periods selected for surveillance
Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Pediatric Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Neonatal Intensive Care Unit Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.  Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, Explain.  Data Source, Explain.  Numerator, Explain.  Denominator, Explain.  Other, Explain.  Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate: Additional notes on measure:	Other Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: Denominator: Rate:  Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

### **Category III - MANAGEMENT OF CHRONIC CONDITIONS**

#### **Asthma**

MEASURE 20: Annual percentage of asthma patients 2 through 20 years old with one or more asthma related emergency room visits

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No	Did you report on this measure?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:  ☐ Population not covered. ☐ Data not available. Explain: ☐ Small sample size (less than 30).  Specify sample size: ☐ Other. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☑ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ Alabama Medicaid ☐ Other. Explain: HEDIS 2010	Measurement Specification:  ☐ Alabama Medicaid ☐ Other. Explain: HEDIS 2010
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). Specify: ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify: ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of children ages 5-17 years with a diagnosis of asthma who had at least one emergency room visit with asthma as the primary or secondary diagnosis.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Number of children ages 5-17 years with a diagnosis of asthma who had at least one emergency room visit with asthma as the primary or secondary diagnosis.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
=====	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	number of children excluded: Number of children ages 5-17	number of children excluded: Number of children ages 5-17
	vears with a diagnosis of asthma.	years with a diagnosis of asthma.
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
Tour or Dum.	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2009	From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with	Percentage of children 2-20 years of age diagnosed with
asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-	asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-	asthma during the measurement year with one or more asthma-
related ED visits.	related ED visits.	related ED visits.
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, Explain.
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 206343	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator: 228969
Denominator:	Denominator: 815927	Denominator: 887140
Rate:	Rate: 25.3	Rate: 25.8
A 11'4'1	Additional mater an account Account 5 17	Additional nature on management According 5 17
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Age categories 5-17 years	Additional notes on measure: Age categories 5-17 years
	reported. Deviations from Measure Specifications:	reported. Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of data - Illinois' measure is based on the calendar year,	
		Year of data - Illinois' measure is based on the calendar year,
	CHIPRA core is March 1-February 28.	CHIPRA core is March 1-February 28.
	Numerator - Illinois' measure includes 99234-99236, CHIPRA	Numerator - Illinois' measure includes 99234-99236, CHIPRA
	core does not.	core does not.
	Denominator - Illinois' measure is based on primary or	Denominator - Illinois' measure is based on primary or
	secondary asthma diagnosis, but does not identify asthmatics	secondary asthma diagnosis, but does not identify asthmatics
	based on two or more prescriptions for a short-acting beta	based on two or more prescriptions for a short-acting beta

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
	adrenergic.	adrenergic.	

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 to FFY2011, there was a percent change increase of +2.0 in the rate of children with asthma who had an emergency room visit.

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501], requires that by January 1, 2015, at least 50 percent of the individuals covered under Medicaid be enrolled in a care coordination program that organizes care around their medical needs. This means at least 1.5 million of Illinois' Medicaid clients – children, parents, seniors and disabled persons – will be assigned to an integrated healthcare delivery system replacing the current fragmented system. As Phase I, the Department of Healthcare and Family Services is developing the "Care Coordination Innovations Project" to test community interest and capacity to provide alternative models of delivering care (as an adjunct to the current managed care programs). This initiative should show improvements in the health outcomes.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 22.10% (2011 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 18.21% (2012 data)

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 14.12% (2013 data)

Explain how these objectives were set: Asthma: Ages 5 - 17 ER Visit

FFY for C	CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline	100th	Percentile	Difference	% Improve-ment	Annual Improve-ment	Projection for Following Year
2011	2010	25.81	100	-74.19	5%	-3.71	22.10			
2012	2011	22.10	100	-77.90	5%	-3.89	18.21			
2013	2012	18.21	100	-81.79	5%	-4.09	14.12			
2014	2013	14.12	100	-85.88	5%	-4.29	9.82			
2015	2014	9.82								

# **Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder**

# MEASURE 21: Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) Medication

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	No No
_	_	
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. Explain:	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.
	The programming has not yet been completed.	The programming has not yet been completed.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		1 3
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:		
	Other. Explain:	Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Suite speedy.	Suite speedy.	Suite Speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009 FFY 2010		FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as	Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as	Initiation Phase: Percentage of children 6 - 12 years of age as
of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an	of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an	of the Index Prescription Episode Start Date (IPSD) with an
ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one	ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one	ambulatory prescription for ADHD dispensed who had one
follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority	follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority	follow up visit with a practitioner with prescribing authority
during the 30 day initiation phase.	during the 30 day initiation phase.	during the 30 day initiation phase.
Continuation and Maintananae (C&M) Phases Paraentage of	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase Parameters of	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phases Paraentees of
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of members 6 - 12 years of age as of the IPSD with an
ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at	ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for at	ambulatory prescription who remained on the medication for
least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation	least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the initiation	at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the
phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within	phase had at least two follow-up visits with practitioner within	initiation phase had at least two follow-up visits with
270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the initiation
270 days (9 months) after the initiation phase ended.	270 days (3 months) after the initiation phase ended.	phase ended.
Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase	Initiation Phase
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:	Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .	Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
	Deta Course Finding	Deta Course Finding
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, Explain.	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Other, Explain.	☐ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Onier, <i>explain</i> .	Onici, Expiain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

# **Diabetes**

# MEASURE 22: Annual pediatric hemoglobin A1C testing

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
⊠ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).	Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.
	The programming has not yet been completed.	The programming has not yet been completed.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	1	1 3
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Final.	Final.
reported:	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:	□NCQA
Other. Explain:		Other. Explain:
	Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Suite speedy.	Sunday Speedy).	Suite Speedy.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	☐ Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
77. 45.		
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)  Performance Measurement Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy) Performance Measurement Data:
Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a HBA1c test during the measurement year period	Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a HBA1c test during the measurement year period	Percentage of children (5-17 years old) with diabetes and a
		HBA1c test during the measurement year period
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	Other Foulding	Other Foulding
	Other, Explain.	Other, Explain.
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

# **Mental Health**

# MEASURE 23: Follow-up after hospitalization for mental illness

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?
Yes	Yes	Yes
□ No	⊠ No	⊠ No
If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:
Population not covered.	Population not covered.	Population not covered.
☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).
Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:
Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.	A request was submitted to have this measure programmed.
	The programming has not yet been completed.	The programming has not yet been completed.
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.		
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Final.	☐ Final.
reported:	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
	reported:	reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used:	☐HEDIS Specify HEDIS® Version used:
Other. Explain:	_	<u> </u>
	Other. Explain:	Other. Explain:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:	Administrative (claims data). Specify:
Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:	Hybrid (claims and medical record data). Specify:
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.
Definition of numerator:	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Date Range:	Date Range:
	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	<b>HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:</b>
Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older	Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older	Percentage of discharges for children aged 6 years and older
who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health	who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health	who were hospitalized for treatment of a mental health
disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient	disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient	disorder and who had an outpatient visit, intensive outpatient
encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health	encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health	encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health
practitioner	practitioner	practitioner
7 Day Follow-Up	7 Day Follow-Up	7 Day Follow-Up
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
30 Day Follow-Up	30 Day Follow-Up	30 Day Follow-Up
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
ruic.	ruic.	rute.
Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
	Year of Data, Explain.	Year of Data, Explain.
	-	-
	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .	☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .	☐Denominator, <i>Explain</i> .
	$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .	$\square$ Other, <i>Explain</i> .
	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

# **CAHPS 4.0**

# **Category IV – FAMILY EXPERIENCES OF CARE CAHPS 4.0**

# MEASURE 24: Consumer Assessment Of Healthcare Providers And Systems (CAHPS®) Health Plan Survey 4.0H (Child version including Medicaid and Children with Chronic Conditions supplemental items)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
	Did you report on this measure?	Did you report on this measure?	
	Yes	Yes	
	⊠ No	□No	
	If yes, how did you report this measure?	If yes, how did you report this measure?	
	☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ.	☐ Submitted raw data to AHRQ.	
	☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS	☐ Submitted a summary report to CMS using the CARTS	
	attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS	attachment facility (NOTE: do not submit raw CAHPS	
	data to CMS)	data to CMS)	
	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	If Data Not Reported, Please Explain Why:	
	Population not covered.	Population not covered.	
	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	Data not available. <i>Explain</i> :	
	Small sample size (less than 30).	☐ Small sample size (less than 30).	
	Specify sample size:	Specify sample size:	
	Other. Explain:	☑ Other. <i>Explain</i> :	
	In the next procurement cycle, Illinois will replace the existing	In the next procurement cycle, Illinois will replace the existing	
	satisfaction survey in the PCCM program with the CAHPS®	satisfaction survey in the PCCM program with the CAHPS®	
	survey. HFS is working to execute required managed care	survey. HFS is working to execute required managed care	
	contract amendments to include CAHPS®.	contract amendments to include CAHPS®.	
	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	
	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	
	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	Denominator includes Medicaid population only.	
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	
	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,	
	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the	
	number of children excluded:	number of children excluded:	

#### **Explanation of Progress:**

How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

What quality improvement activities that involve the Medicaid and/or CHIP program and benefit Medicaid and/or CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:** 

**Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:** 

Explain how these objectives were set:

Reporting of State-specific measur	es:
------------------------------------	-----

In addition to reporting the CHIPRA core set quality measures, if your State has developed State-specific quality measures as part of the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration Grant project, the State may report that data in CARTS. The State may attach documents/data regarding the state-specific measures by using the CARTS attachment facility. Please provide a brief description of the attachment in the space provided when submitting the attachment.

Is the Sta	ate attaching any state-specific q	uality measures as a	CARTS attachment?
☐ Yes	⊠ No		

#### SECTION IIB: ENROLLMENT AND UNINSURED DATA

• The information in the table below is the Unduplicated Number of Children Ever Enrolled in CHIP in your State for the two most recent reporting periods. The enrollment numbers reported below should correspond to line 7 (Unduplicated # Ever Enrolled Year) in your State's 4<sup>th</sup> quarter data report (submitted in October) in the CHIP Statistical Enrollment Data System (SEDS). The percent change column reflects the percent change in enrollment over the two-year period. If the percent change exceeds 10 percent (increase or decrease), please explain in letter A below any factors that may account for these changes (such as decreases due to elimination of outreach or increases due to program expansions). This information will be filled in automatically by CARTS through a link to SEDS. Please wait until you have an enrollment number from SEDS before you complete this response.

Program	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	Percent change FFY 2010-2011
CHIP Medicaid Expansion Program	157426	165395	5.06
Separate Child Health Program	171678	171490	-0.11

- Please explain any factors that may account for enrollment increases or decreases exceeding 10 percent. [7500]
- The table below shows trends in the three-year averages for the number and rate of uninsured children in your State based on the Current Population Survey (CPS), along with the percent change between 1996-1998 and 2009-2010. Significant changes are denoted with an asterisk (\*). If your state uses an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children, please explain in Question #3. CARTS will fill in this information automatically, but in the meantime, please refer to the CPS data attachment that was sent with the FFY 2011 Annual Report Template.

	Uninsured Children Under Age 19 Below 200 Percent of Poverty			
Period	Number	Std. Error	Rate	Std. Error
1996 - 1998	277	34.4	7.7	1.0
1998 - 2000	269	33.5	7.4	.9
2000 - 2002	228	26.5	6.9	.8
2002 - 2004	243	27.2	7.1	.8
2003 - 2005	230	26.8	6.7	.8
2004 - 2006	217	26.0	6.4	.7
2005 - 2007	180	24.0	5.3	.7

2006 2008	146	22.0	F 0	7
2006 - 2008	146	22.0	5.0	.7
2007 - 2009	175	23.0	5.2	.7
2008 - 2010	181	16.0	5.4	.5
Percent change 1996-1998 vs. 2008-2010	-34.7%	NA	-29.9%	NA

 Please explain any activities or factors that may account for increases or decreases in your number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]

The number of uninsured children dropped significantly as a result of the implementation of first CHIP, in 1998, and then the state-only Covering All Kids Health Insurance Act in 2006. The media attention and outreach efforts surrounding the expansions brought in many children who would have been eligible before.

 Please note any comments here concerning CPS data limitations that may affect the reliability or precision of these estimates. [7500]

HFS believes the CPS undercounts insured children as explained in the following excerpt from its All Kids report.

"Importantly, the CPS is known to contain a type of non-sampling error whereby survey

respondents do not provide accurate information on the coverage status of their children. These respondents may not realize that their children are enrolled in coverage, they may not understand that Medicaid and Medicare are health insurance, or they may be unwilling to reveal to the interviewer that they have public coverage.

The prevalence of this error cannot be ascertained with certainty, but it is believed to

substantially understate the number of children with public coverage, including Medicaid, the State Children's Health Insurance Program (SCHIP) and state-only funded programs such as the All Kids expansion. Commonly, this phenomenon is referred to as the "Medicaid undercount". This error may also overstate the number of uninsured children in the state."

•	Please indicate by checking the box below whether your State has an alternate data source and/or methodology for measuring the change in the number and/or rate of uninsured children.
	☐ Yes (please report your data in the table below)
	No (skip to Question #4)

Please report your alternate data in the table below. Data are required for two or more points in time to demonstrate change (or lack of change). Please be as specific and detailed as possible about the method used to measure progress toward covering the uninsured.

Data source(s)	
Reporting period (2 or more	
points in time)	

Methodology	
Population (Please include ages and income levels)	
Sample sizes	
Number and/or rate for two or	
more points in time	
Statistical significance of results	

- Please explain why your State chose to adopt a different methodology to measure changes in the number and/or rate of uninsured children. [7500]
- What is your State's assessment of the reliability of the estimate? What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? (Provide a numerical range or confidence intervals if available.) [7500]
  - What are the limitations of the data or estimation methodology? [7500]
  - How does your State use this alternate data source in CHIP program planning? [7500]
  - How many children do you estimate have been enrolled in Medicaid as a result of CHIP outreach
    activities and enrollment simplification? Describe the data source and method used to derive this
    information [7500]

Illinois was awarded CHIPRA Performance Bonus payments in 2009 and 2010 for increasing enrollment in Medicaid by 5.1% for FY 2009 and 8% in FY 2010 compared to baseline enrollment. Illinois acheived these increases by implementing six enrollment simplifications: Coninuous Eligibility, Liberalization of Asset Requirements, Elimination of In-Person Interviews, Use of Same Application and Renewal Form, Automatic/Administrative Renewal and PE.

#### SECTION IIC: STATE STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES AND PERFORMANCE GOALS

This subsection gathers information on your State's general strategic objectives, performance goals, performance measures and progress towards meeting goals, as specified in your CHIP State Plan. (If your goals reported in the annual report now differ from Section 9 of your CHIP state plan, please indicate how they differ in "Other Comments on Measure." Also, the state plan should be amended to reconcile these differences). The format of this section provides your State with an opportunity to track progress over time. This section contains templates for reporting performance measurement data for each of five categories of strategic objectives, related to:

- 1. Reducing the number of uninsured children
- 2. CHIP enrollment
- 3. Medicaid enrollment
- 4. Increasing access to care
- 5. Use of preventative care (immunizations, well child care)

Please report performance measurement data for the three most recent years for which data are available (to the extent that data are available). In the first two columns, data from the previous two years' annual reports (FFY 2009 and FFY 2010) will be populated with data from previously reported data in CARTS. If you previously reported data in the 2 previous years reports (2009 and/or 2010) and you want to update/change the data please enter that data. If you previously reported no data for either of those years, but you now have recent data available for them, please enter the data. In the third column, please report the most recent data available at the time you are submitting the current annual report (FFY 2011).

Note that the term *performance measure* is used differently in Section IIA versus IIC. In Section IIA, the term refers to the four core child health measures. In this section, the term is used more broadly, to refer to any data your State provides as evidence towards a particular goal within a strategic objective. For the purpose of this section, "objectives" refer to the five broad categories listed above, while "goals" are State-specific, and should be listed in the appropriate subsections within the space provided for each objective.

NOTES: Please do not reference attachments in this section. If details about a particular measure are located in an attachment, please summarize the relevant information from the attachment in the space provided for each measure.

In addition, please do not report the same data that were reported in Sections IIA or IIB. The intent of this section is to capture goals and measures that your State did not\_report elsewhere in Section II.

Additional instructions for completing each row of the table are provided below.

### Goal:

For each objective, space has been provided to report up to three goals. Use this section to provide a brief description of each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective. All new goals should include a direction and a target. For clarification only, an <u>example</u> goal would be: "Increase (direction) by 5 percent (target) the number of CHIP beneficiaries who turned 13 years old during the measurement year who had a second dose of MMR, three hepatitis B vaccinations and one varicella vaccination by their 13<sup>th</sup> birthday."

### Type of Goal:

For each goal you are reporting within a given strategic objective, please indicate the type of goal, as follows:

6. New/revised: Check this box if you have revised or added a goal. Please explain how and why the goal was revised.

- 7. <u>Continuing:</u> Check this box if the goal you are reporting is the same one you have reported in previous annual reports.
- **8.** <u>Discontinued:</u> Check this box if you have met your goal and/or are discontinuing a goal. Please explain why the goal was discontinued.

#### **Status of Data Reported:**

Please indicate the status of the data you are reporting for each goal, as follows:

- 9. <u>Provisional:</u> Check this box if you are reporting performance measure data for a goal, but the data are currently being modified, verified, or may change in any other way before you finalize them for FFY 2010.
  - **Explanation of Provisional Data** When the value of the Status of Data Reported field is selected as "Provisional", the State must specify why the data are provisional and when the State expects the data will be final.
- 10. Final: Check this box if the data you are reporting are considered final for FFY 2011.
- 11. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report: Check this box if the data you are reporting are the same data that your State reported for the goal in another annual report. Indicate in which year's annual report you previously reported the data.

#### **Measurement Specification:**

This section is included for only two of the objectives— objectives related to increasing access to care, and objectives related to use of preventative care—because these are the two objectives for which States may report using the HEDIS® measurement specification. In this section, for each goal, please indicate the measurement specification used to calculate your performance measure data (i.e., were the measures calculated using the HEDIS® specifications or some other method unrelated to HEDIS®).

Please indicate whether the measure is based on HEDIS® technical specifications or another source. If HEDIS® is selected, the HEDIS® Version field must be completed. If "Other" measurement specification is selected, the explanation field must be completed.

#### **HEDIS® Version:**

Please specify HEDIS® Version (example 2009, 2010). This field must be be completed only when a user select the HEDIS® measurement specification.

#### "Other" measurement specification explanation:

If "Other", measurement specification is selected, please complete the explanation of the "Other" measurement specification. The explanation field must be completed when "Other" measurement specification has been selected.

#### **Data Source:**

For each performance measure, please indicate the source of data. The categories provided in this section vary by objective. For the objectives related to reducing the number of uninsured children and CHIP or Medicaid enrollment, please indicate whether you have used eligibility/enrollment data, survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). For the objectives related to access to care and use of preventative care, please indicate whether you used administrative data (claims) (specify the kind of administrative data used), hybrid data (claims and medical records) (specify how the two were used to create the data source), survey data (specify the survey used), or other source (specify the other source). In all cases, if another data source was used, please explain the source.

#### **Definition of Population Included in Measure:**

Numerator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the numerator for each measure (such as the number of visits required for inclusion, e.g., one or more visits in the past year).

Denominator: Please indicate the definition of the population included in the denominator for each measure

For measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care, please check one box to indicate whether the data are for the CHIP population only, or include both CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) children combined.

• If the denominator reported is not fully representative of the population defined above (the CHIP population only, or the CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX) populations combined), please further define the denominator. For example, please note if the denominator excludes children enrolled in managed care in certain counties or certain plans or if it excludes children in fee-for-service or PCCM. Also, please report the number of children excluded. The provision of this information is important and will provide CMS with a context so that comparability of denominators can be assessed across the States and over time.

#### **Deviations from Measure**

For the measures related to increasing access to care and use of preventative care.

If the data provided for a measure deviates from the measure specification, please select the type(s) of measure specification deviation. The types of deviation parallel the measure specification categories for each measure. Each type of deviation is accompanied by a comment field that States must use to explain in greater detail or further specify the deviation when a deviation(s) from a measure is selected..

The five types (and examples) of deviations are:

Year of Data (e.g., partial year),

Data Source (e.g., use of different data sources among health plans or delivery systems), Numerator (e.g., coding issues),

Denominator (e.g., exclusion of MCOs, different age groups, definition of continuous enrollment).

Other.

When one or more of the types are selected, states are required to provide an explanation.

## Year of Data: not available for the 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please report the year of data for each performance measure. The year (or months) should correspond to the *period in which enrollment or utilization took place*. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to enrollment or utilization of services.

## Date Range: available for 2011 CARTS reporting period.

Please define the date range for the reporting period based on the "From" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the beginning period in which utilization took place and please report the "To" time period as the month and year which corresponds to the end period in which utilization took place. Do *not* report the year in which data were collected for the measure, or the version of HEDIS® used to calculate the measure, both of which may be different from the period corresponding to utilization of services.

### Performance Measurement Data (HEDIS® or Other):

In this section, please report the numerators and denominators, rates for each measure (or component). The template provides two sections for entering the performance measurement data, depending on whether you are reporting using HEDIS® or other methodologies. The form fields have been set up to facilitate entering numerators and denominators for each measure. If the form fields do not give you enough space to fully report on the measure, please use the "additional notes" section.

Note: CARTS will calculate the rate when you enter the numerator and denominator.

For CARTS versions prior to 2011 States were able to enter a rate without entering a numerator and denominator (If you typically calculate separate rates for each health plan, report the aggregate state-level rate for each measure [or component]. The preferred method is to calculate a "weighted rate" CHIP Annual Report Template – FFY 2011

by summing the numerators and denominators across plans, and then deriving a single state-level rate based on the ratio of the numerator to the denominator.) Beginning in 2011, CARTS will be requiring States to report numerators and denominators rather than providing them the option of only reporting the rate. If States reported a rate in years prior to 2011, that data will be able to be edited if the need arises.

### **Explanation of Progress:**

The intent of this section is to allow your State to highlight progress and describe any quality improvement activities that may have contributed to your progress. Any quality improvement activity described should involve the CHIP program, benefit CHIP enrollees, and relate to the performance measure and your progress. An example of a quality improvement activity is a state-wide initiative to inform individual families directly of their children's immunization status with the goal of increasing immunization rates. CHIP would either be the primary lead or substantially involved in the project. If improvement has not occurred over time, this section can be used to discuss potential reasons for why progress was not seen and to describe future quality improvement plans. In this section, your State is also asked to set annual performance objectives for FFY 2012, 2013, and 2014. Based on your recent performance on the measure (from FFY 2009 through 2011), use a combination of expert opinion and "best guesses" to set objectives for the next three years. Please explain your rationale for setting these objectives. For example, if your rate has been increasing by 3 or 4 percentage points per year, you might project future increases at a similar rate. On the other hand, if your rate has been stable over time, you might set a target that projects a small increas2e over time. If the rate has been fluctuating over time, you might look more closely at the data to ensure that the fluctuations are not an artifact of the data or the methods used to construct a rate. You might set an initial target that is an average of the recent rates, with slight increases in subsequent years. In future annual reports, you will be asked to comment on how your actual performance compares to the objective your State set for the year, as well as any quality improvement activities that have helped or could help your State meet future objectives.

#### Other Comments on Measure:

Please use this section to provide any other comments on the measure, such as data limitations, plans to report on a measure in the future, or differences between performance measures reported here and those discussed in Section 9 of the CHIP state plan.

## Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.	Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.	Reduce the uninsured rate of children in Illinois.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
This goal utilizes the health insurance information that was	This goal utilizes the health insurance information that was	
recently added to the American Community Survey.	recently added to the American Community Survey.	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	∑ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data	Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
American Community Survey	American Community Survey	American Community Survey
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator: All children under age 19 in the	Definition of denominator: Definition of denominator: All	Definition of denominator: All children under age 19 in the
survey	children under age 19 in the survey	survey
Definition of numerator: Children under age 19 in the survey		Definition of numerator: Children under age 19 in the survey
with no healthcare coverage.		with no healthcare coverage.
with no healthcare coverage.	Definition of numerator: Definition of numerator: Children	with no heatthcare coverage.
	under age 19 in the survey with no healthcare coverage.	
	under age 17 in the survey with no hearthcare coverage.	
Year of Data: 2008	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range:
222		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
ACS state-level uninsured estimates	ACS state-level uninsured estimates.	ACS state-level uninsured estimates
Numerator: 893		Numerator: 173
Denominator: 16402		Denominator: 3308
Rate: 5.4	Numerator: 217	Rate: 5.2
	Denominator: 3384	
Additional notes on measure:	Rate: 6.4	Additional notes on measure:
	Additional notes on measure:	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? New goal.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? The goal was to reduce the rate of uninsurance to 4.9%. The rate increased by a full percentage point to 6.4%.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? The goal was 4.6. We only achieved 5.2.
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make	enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal? Continued program	progress toward your goal? Continued program	progress toward your goal? Continued program
funding is critical.	funding is critical.	funding is critical.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:</b> 4.9	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: 4.6%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 4.9%
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:</b> 4.6	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 4.9%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 4.6%
<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:</b> 4.4	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 4.6%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 4.5%
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
D. C.	D + C	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Guier. Spectyy.	Guier. Specify.	Other. Specify.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
V. AD	V. AD	Data Dames
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numaratan	Numerator:
Denominator:	Numerator: Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Kutc.	Kutc.	Rate.
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

### Objectives Related to Reducing the Number of Uninsured Children (Do not report data that was reported in Section IIB, Questions 2 and 3) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Town of Control	Town of Contract	The state of the s
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:	Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reporteu.	reporteu.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
<b>Definition of Population Included in the Measure:</b>	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
Tear of Data:	Tear of Data:	From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

## **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and	Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and	Increase enrollment of children with income above 133% and
at or below 200% by 1%	at or below 200% by 1%	at or below 200% by 1%
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
⊠ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
⊠ Final.	☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	☐ Survey data. <i>Specify</i> : ☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :
U Other. specify.	☐ Other. <i>Specify</i> :	Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/10 compared to the
		number of children enrolled as of 7/31/10 compared to the
		income above 133% and at or below 200%.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of 1 oparation included in the Nicabare.	Definition of Formation Included in the Measurer	Definition of Formation Included in the Measure.
Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of	Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of	Definition of denominator: Number of children enrolled as of
7/31/08 compared to the number of children enrolled as of	7/31/09 compared to the number of children enrolled as of	7/31/10
7/31/09 in families with income above 133% and at or below	7/31/10 in families with income above 133% and at or below	
200%. Definition of denominator: Number of children	200%. Definition of denominator: Number of children	Definition of numerator: Number of children enrolled as of
enrolled as of 7/31/08 Definition of numerator: Number of	enrolled as of 7/31/09 Definition of numerator: Number of	7/31/11.
children enrolled as of 7/31/09.	children enrolled as of 7/31/10.	
Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Number	Definition of numerator: Definition of denominator: Number	
of children enrolled as of 7/31/08 Definition of numerator:	of children enrolled as of 7/31/09 Definition of numerator:	
Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/09.	Number of children enrolled as of 7/31/10.	
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2011

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Enrollment of children as of 7/31/08 compared to 7/31/09.	Enrollment of children as of 7/31/09 compared to 7/31/10.	Enrollment of children as of 7/31/10 compared to 7/31/11.
Numerator: 75091	Numerator: 75037	
Denominator: 73827	Denominator: 75091	
Rate: 101.7	Rate: 99.9	Numerator: 74249
		Denominator: 75021
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Rate: 99
		Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report? Enrollment increased by .7% above the goal.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Using a combination of Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented several simplifications such as a joint application, administrative renewal process, and reduced verification requirements.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? Enrollment fell by a tenth of a percent.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Using a combination of Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented several simplifications such as a joint application, administrative renewal process, and reduced verification requirements.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Our goal was to increase enrollment by 0.5%. Enrollment actually decreased by 1%.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: .5%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: .5%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: .5%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 1%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 1%
Explain how these objectives were set: Based on past growth and remaining uninsured children.	Explain how these objectives were set: Based on past growth and remaining uninsured children.	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain:	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Similar Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data. ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:	Performance Measurement Data: Described what is being measured:
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to CHIP Enrollment (Continued)**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
(South to (South to)	(2001)	3 0 M2 11 (2 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 )
Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal: New/revised. Explain:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain:
	New/revised. Explain:	
☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
Discontinued. Explain.	Discontinued. Explain:	☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.		Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
reported.	reported.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
Explanation of Flograns	Dapinimuon of Frogress	Dapinimuon of 1 10g1 cos.
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

# **Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment**

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	
Increase enrollment of children in families with income at or	Increase enrollment of children in families with incomeat or	Increase enrollment of children in families with incomeat or	
below 133% by 5%.	below 133% by 5%.	below 133% by 5%.	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	
Continuing.	Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.	
Final.	☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	⊠ Final.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
		reported:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
Eligibility/Enrollment data.	⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	⊠ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2008	Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2009	Definition of denominator: Enrollment as of July 2010	
Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2009	Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2010	Definition of numerator: Enrollment as of July 2011	
Year of Data: 2009	Year of Data: 2010	Date Range:	
		From: (mm/yyyy) 07/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 07/2011	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	
Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at	Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at	Increase in enrollment of children in families with income at	
or below 133% from 7/31/08 to 7/31/09.	or below 133% from 7/31/09 to 7/31/10.	or below 133% from 7/31/10 to 7/31/11.	
Numerator: 1175184	Numerator: 1217832	Numerator: 1608712	
Denominator: 1085251	Denominator: 1175184	Denominator: 1561029	
Rate: 108.3	Rate: 103.6	Rate: 103.1	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the	
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	
2008 Annual Report? We have seen an increase of	2009 Annual Report? We increased enrollment by .6%	2010 Annual Report? We increased by 0.1% more than	
3.3% greater than our goal.	more than our goal.	our goal.	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal? Using a combination of	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal? Using a combination of
Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a		Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a
single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare		single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare
coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income		coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income
or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented		or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented
several simplifications such as a joint application,		several simplifications such as a joint application,
administrative renewal process, and reduced verification		administrative renewal process, and reduced verification
requirements.	Disease in disease have CMC might be of againteness in	requirements.
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: 3%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: 3%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 2%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: 3%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 2%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 2%
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 2%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 2%	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 2%
	11 2010 2/0	2 of the second
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Based on past	Explain how these objectives were set: 2%
,	growth and remaining uninsured children.	
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure: 1%

# Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:	New/revised. Explain:
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
	-	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
теропеа.	теропеа.	reported:
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your	improving the completeness or accuracy of your
reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Ammuni I offormunee Objective for 11 1 2012.	Annual I crioi mance Objective for FF 1 2013.	Annual I citormance Objective for FF 1 2014.
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
	.,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

**Objectives Related to Medicaid Enrollment (Continued)** 

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011	
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:	
Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.	
☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	
Provisional.	Provisional.	☐ Provisional.	
Final.	Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:	
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final.	
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	
		reported:	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:	
☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	☐ Eligibility/Enrollment data.	Eligibility/Enrollment data.	
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. <i>Specify</i> :	
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	Other. <i>Specify</i> :	
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	
Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:	
Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	Definition of numerator:	
V CD-4	V CD-4.	Data Bangar	
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)	
Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	Performance Measurement Data:	
Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	Described what is being measured:	
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:	
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:	
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with Annual Performance Objective documented in y 2010 Annual Report?	

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make	improve your results for this measure, or make
progress toward your goal?	progress toward your goal? Using a combination of Medicaid, CHIP, state-only funded programs under a single program name, All Kids, Illinois offers healthcare coverage to all uninsured children regardless of income or immigration status. Illinois has also implemented several simplifications such as a joint application, administrative renewal process, and reduced verification requirements.	progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.	Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.	Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain:  Continuing.  Discontinued. Explain:  The state continues to work to improve birth outcomes and reduce infant mortality, including implementation of a federal family planning waiver and other enhanced servces to assure pregnancies are planned and comprehensive prenatal services are received once pregnancy occurs.  Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain: Continuing. Discontinued. Explain: The state continues to work to improve birth outcomes and reducing infant mortality, including implementation of a federal famly planning waiver and other enhanced services to assure pregnancies are planned and comprehensive prenatal services are received, once pregnancy occurs.  Status of Data Reported: Provisional. Final. Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Reduce the state's infant mortality rate.  Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain:  Continuing.  Discontinued. Explain:  Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously
Measurement Specification:  □HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: □Other. Explain: Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per 1,000 live births.	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain: Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per 1,000 live births.	reported:  Measurement Specification:  □HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:  □Other. Explain:  Infant mortality rate is defined as the rate at which Illinois newborns die during the first year of life, per 1,000 live births.
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: ☐ Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records.  Definition of Population Included in the Measure: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). ☐ Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide) ☐ Denominator = Live Births (statewide)	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☑ Other. Specify: Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records.  Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide) Denominator = Live Births (statewide) Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☑ Other. Specify: Illinois Department of Public Health - Vital Records  Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Numerator = Infant Deaths (statewide)  Definition of denominator: ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Denominator = Live Births (statewide)
Year of Data: 2006	Year of Data: 2007	Date Range:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2008 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2008
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		Denominator, Explain.
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator: 1343	Numerator: 1196	Numerator: 1263
Denominator: 180503	Denominator: 180530	Denominator: 176634
Rate: 0.7	Rate: 0.7	Rate: 0.7
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report? From FFY2009 (2006 data) to FFY2010 (2007 data), there was a percent change decrease of -10.81 in the statewide infant mortality rate.	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2007 data) to FFY2011 (2008 data), there was a percent change increase of +9.09 in the statewide infant mortality rate.

FFY 2010 FFY 2011

enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

Explain how these objectives were set:

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance vour ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:

What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? The Illinois Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS), the states sole Medicaid agency, is developing an interconception program focusing on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by identifying pregnant women early in their pregnancy; promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.

Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 6.84/1,000 live births statewide
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 6.50/1.000 live births statewide

FFY 2009	FFY 2010		F	FY 2011		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Pe				<b>2014:</b>
		6.37/1,000	live births	statewide	2	
	Explain how these objectives were set:					
					s were set	: Reduce the
		state's infant mor	ality rate.			
		EEV.C. C	DTC	DATA	17	D 11
		FFY for CA		DATA Differe		Baseline %
						% Projection
		Improve-ment for Following Ye		Improve-	·mem	Flojection
		2011	2008	7.2	0	-7.20
		5% -0.36	6.84	1.2	U	-7.20
		2012	2009	6.84	0	-6.84
		5% -0.34	6.50	0.01	Ü	0.01
		2013	2010	6.50	0	-6.50
		2% -0.13	6.37			
		2014	2011	6.37	0	-6.37
		2% -0.13	6.24			
		2015	2012	6.24	0	-6.24
		2% -0.12	6.12			
		2016	2013	6.12	0	-6.12
		2% -0.12	5.99			
		2017	2014	5.99		
			ruary 201	1, 2008	is the mo	st recent data
		available				
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comment	s on Mea	sure:		

## Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Reduce the number/percent of children with elevated blood	Reduce the number/percent of children with elevated blood	Reduce the number/percent of children with elevated blood
levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL.	levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL.	levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.	☐ Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
HFS will continue this measure. However, to syncronize the		
year of data being reported in each fiscal year of this report,		
the pre-populated 2009 entry is being deleted. Beginning		
with FFY2011, the report is being updated to reflect the 2009		
data in the FFY2010 section and 2010 data in the FFY2011		
section.		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
Provisional.	Provisional.	Provisional.
☐ Final.	☐ Final.	Explanation of Provisional Data:
☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.	☐ Final.
Specify year of annual report in which data previously	Specify year of annual report in which data previously	☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.
reported:	reported:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously
		reported:
Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:	Measurement Specification:
☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:
☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :
Explain how HEDIS was modified:	Explain how HEDIS was modified:	The measure is of Medicaid children, ages 6 and younger,
⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	⊠Other. <i>Explain</i> :	with elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL as
	The measure is of Medicaid children, ages 6 and younger,	reported by the Illinois Department of Public Health, Illinois
	with elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL as	Lead Program Surveillance report.
	reported by the Illinois Department of Public Health, Illinois	
	Lead Program Surveillance report.	
Data Source:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).	Administrative (claims data).
Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).	Hybrid (claims and medical record data).
Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:	Survey data. Specify:
Other. Specify:	Other. Specify:	☑ Other. Specify:
	Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead	Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead
	Poisoning Prevention Program Surveillance Report and	Poisoning Prevention Program Surveillance Report and
D.C. A CD L.A T L. J. J. Al M	personal communication (for numerator and denominator).	personal communication (for numerator and denominator).
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:
Definition of denominator:  Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Definition of numerator: Medicaid enrolled children, ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10	Definition of numerator: Medicaid enrolled children, ages 6 and younger, with elevated blood lead levels exceeding 10
☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	mcg/dL. The Illinois data includes capillary and venous tests.	mcg/dL. The Illinois data includes capillary and venous
Definition of numerator:	It also accounts for test results obtained with hand-held	tests. It also accounts for test results obtained with hand-held
Definition of numerator.	analyzers.	analyzers.
	Definition of denominator:	Definition of denominator:
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	Denominator includes CHIP population only.
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
		If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,
		please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
		number of children excluded: Medicaid enrolled children
		(ages 6 and younger) screened for childhood lead poisoning.
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Additional notes on measure.	Additional notes on incasure.	Deviations from ineasure specifications.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
		Year of Data, Explain.
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Numerator,. Explain.
		☐Denominator, Explain.
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 3770	Numerator: 3424
Denominator:	Denominator: 218126	Denominator: 219493
Rate:	Rate: 1.7	Rate: 1.6
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: The numerator and	Additional notes on measure: The numerator and
	denominator were provided by the Illinois Department of	denominator were provided by the Illinois Department of
	Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention	Public Health (IDPH) Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention
	Program via personal communication, 2/15/2012.	Program via personal communication, 2/15/2012.
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2008 Annual Report?	2009 Annual Report?	2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to
		FFY2011 (2010 data), there was a percent change
What quality improvement activities that involve the		decrease of -5.88 in the rate of Medicaid children with
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help		an blood lead level exceeding 10 mcg/dL.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
enhance your ability to report on this measure,	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
improve your results for this measure, or make	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
progress toward your goal?	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.  IDPH sends results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available to the child's primary care provider for appropriate.
Explain how these objectives were set:		to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.
		A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.
	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 1.4 (2011 data) Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 1.2 (2012 data)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011			
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014: 1.0 (2013 data)			
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set: Elevated blood levels exceeding 10 mcg/dL: Medicaid/CHIP Enrolled Children 6 Years and Younger			
		FFY for CARTS DATA Year Baseline Annual % Reduction Projection for Following Year			
		2011 2010 1.6 0.2 1.40			
		2012 2011 1.40 0.2 1.20			
		2013 2012 1.20 0.2 1.00			
		2014 2013 1.00 0.2 0.80			
		2015 2014 0.80			
		Data source: Illinois Department of Public Health- Illinois Lead Program Surveillance Database; unpublished report			
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:			

# Objectives Related to Increasing Access to Care (Usual Source of Care, Unmet Need) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)  To increase the percentage of HFS continuously enrolled children who receive at least one capillary or venous blood	Goal #3 (Describe)  To increase the percentage of HFS continuously enrolled children who receive at least one capillary or venous blood	Goal #3 (Describe)  To increase the percentage of HFS continuously enrolled children who receive at least one capillary or venous blood
lead screening test on or before their second birthday.  Type of Goal:	lead screening test on or before their second birthday.  Type of Goal:	lead screening test on or before their second birthday.  Type of Goal:
New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :  ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :  HFS will continue this measure. However, to syncronize the year of data being reported in each fiscal year of this report, the pre-populated 2009 entry is being deleted. Beginning with FFY2011, the report is being updated to reflect the 2009 data in the FFY2010 section and 2010 data in the FFY2011 section.	New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :  ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	New/revised. Explain:  ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  ☐ Explanation of Provisional Data: The data reported for FFY2011 are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: 2010	Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 ☐ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:	Data Source:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of age and received at least one capillary or venous blood test on or before their second birthday.  Denominator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of age.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of age and received at least one capillary or venous blood test on or before their second birthday.  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).
	Definition of denominator:	If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Denominator includes CHIP population only.	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the
	Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled
		children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who are 24 months of age.
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range:
		From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	(If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator: 69508	Numerator: 70299
Denominator:	Denominator: 96338	Denominator: 95518
Rate:	Rate: 72.2	Rate: 73.6
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
Additional notes on measure.	Additional notes on measure.	Year of Data, Explain.
		Teal of Bata, Expitin.
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		☐Denominator, Explain.
		☐ Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the	How did your performance in 2010 compare with	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the
Annual Performance Objective documented in your	the Annual Performance Objective documented in	Annual Performance Objective documented in your
2008 Annual Report?	your 2009 Annual Report?	2010 Annual Report? From FFY2010 (2009 data) to
****		FFY2011 (2010 data), there was a percent change
What quality improvement activities that involve the		increase of +1.94 in the percent of 24 month olds who
CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help		received at least one blood lead screening.

enhance your ability to report on this measure,	FFY 2010 What quality improvement activities that involve	FFY 2011
		1171 - 4 1'4- '
		What quality improvement activities that involve the
improve your results for this measure, or make	the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
progress toward your goal?	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? HFS is a member of the Illinois Department of Public Health (IDPH) Lead Poisoning Elimination Advisory Council and sits on the Evaluation sub-committee. The Advisory Council provides guidance and input to IDPH.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:		1
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:		IDPH sends results to HFS' Enterprise Data Warehouse. The child's lead screening information is made available
Explain how these objectives were set:		to the child's primary care provider for appropriate medical management. Additionally, the patient profile identifies children due for a lead screening, but for whom no evidence exists of having received the screening.
	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	A bonus payment strategy was implemented to incentivize providers to complete the series of recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program uses several strategies to encourage comprehensive services: patient panels indicating when the child is due for screening services, data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to claims data, provider education and on-going assistance.  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: 76.24% (2011 data)  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: 78.62% (2012 data)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010		F	FY 2011		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:				
		80.75% (20)	13 data)			
	Explain how these objectives were set:					
						Children who
		receive at least or	ie capilla	ry or veno	us blood	lead screening
		test	monaler E	amollod		
		HFS Contin	iuousiy E	nonea		
		FFY for CA	RTS	DATA '	Year	Baseline
		100th Percen	ntile	Differen	ice	%
		Improve-ment	Annual	Improve-r	nent	Projection
		for Following Yea	ar			
		2011	2010	73.6	100	26.40
		10%	2.64	76.24		
		2012	2011	76.24	100	23.76
		10%	2.38	78.62	400	24.20
		2013	2012	78.62	100	21.38
		10%	2.14	80.75	100	10.25
		2014	2013	80.75	100	19.25
		10% 2015	1.92 2014	82.68 82.68		
		2013	2014	02.00		
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments	s on Mea	sure:		

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)	Goal #1 (Describe)
Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life.	Well child visits in the 3rd, 4th, 5th and 6th years of life.	
Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain: This measure is part of the CHIP core set and will no longer be included as a state-reported measure.	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain: This measure is part of the CHIP core set and will no longer be included as a state-reported measure.	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data:  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ☐HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used:  ☐Other. Explain:
Data Source:  Administrative (claims data).  Hybrid (claims and medical record data).  Survey data. Specify:  Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☑ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded:
Year of Data:	Year of Data:	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) To: (mm/yyyy)
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:
		Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Data Source, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Numerator,. <i>Explain</i> .
		Denominator, Explain.
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
	A A A STATE OF THE	
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report?
What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?
Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2014:
Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:	Explain how these objectives were set:
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:

Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)	Goal #2 (Describe)
Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of age at the end of the calendar year).	Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of age at the end of the calendar year).	Eighty percent (80%) of enrolled children will be appropriately immunized at age two (less than 36 months of age at the end of the calendar year).
Type of Goal:  New/revised. Explain:  Continuing.  Discontinued. Explain:  HFS will continue this measure. However, to syncronize the year of data being reported in each fiscal year of this report, the pre-populated 2009 entry is being deleted. Beginning with FFY2011, the report is being updated to reflect the 2009 data in the FFY2010 section and 2010 data in the FFY2011 section.	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:  HFS will use the HEDIS immunization measure for:  Combo 2: 4 DTaP, 3 IPV, 1 MMR, 3Hib, 2 HepB, 1 VZV (4-3-1-3-2-1);  Combo 3: 4 DTaP, 3 IPV, 1 MMR, 3Hib, 2 HepB, 1 VZV, 4 pneumococcal conjugate (4-3-1-3-2-1-4)	Type of Goal:  ☐ New/revised. Explain: ☐ Continuing. ☐ Discontinued. Explain:
Status of Data Reported:  Provisional.  Final.  Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional. ☐ Final. ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.  Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:	Status of Data Reported:  ☐ Provisional.  Explanation of Provisional Data: The FFY2011 data are provisional since providers have up to one year to bill after the date of the claim and measures are based on adjudicated claims.  ☐ Final.  ☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report. Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	Measurement Specification:  ⊠HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used:  □HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used:  Explain how HEDIS was modified:  □Other. Explain:  2010	Measurement Specification:  □ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: 2010 □ Other. Explain:
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify: Administrative (claims data) and registry data.
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: Numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.	Definition of Population Included in the Measure: Definition of numerator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year and achieve the vaccine series.  Definition of denominator:
	Denominator: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year.	☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above,

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	Definition of denominator:  ☐ Denominator includes CHIP population only. ☐ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: HFS continuously enrolled children (Title XIX, Title XXI) who turn 36 months of age by the end of the calendar year.
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 01/2010 To: (mm/yyyy) 12/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:	Numerator: Denominator: Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Vaccine combo data are provided as Numerator / Denominator = Rate.  Combo 2: 62,598 / 89,823 = 69.7%  Combo 3: 56,395 / 89,823 = 62.8%	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  ☐ Year of Data, Explain.  ☐ Data Source, Explain.  ☐ Numerator,. Explain.  ☐ Denominator, Explain.  ☐ Other, Explain.  ☐ Additional notes on measure: Vaccine combo data are provided as Numerator / Denominator = Rate.  Combo 2: 68,581 / 95,345 = 71.9%  Combo 3: 62,608 / 95,345 = 65.7%
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:	(If reporting with another methodology) Numerator:
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:

TYTY 4000	TYYY 4040	TYPY 4044
FY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:  Explain how these objectives were set:	Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	Explanation of Progress:  How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? Between FFY2010 (2009 data) and FFY2011 (2010 data) the Combo 2 and Combo 3 immunization rates increased among those less than 36 months of age. The immunization rate for Combo 2 and Combo 3 is also higher among those less than 36 months of age (71.9% and 65.7%, respectively) compared to those less than 24 months of age (the CHIP core measure; 63.0% and 58.0% respectively). The measure of those 36 months of age permits a "catch-up" period during which young children are able to receive the appropriate immunizations.  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? Childhood immunizations (by age 2) is a bonus payment strategy within the managed care program (MCO and PCCM). Making child-specific immunization data available in the expanded format (e.g., all available data sources) is viewed as a best practice strategy to promote appropriate immunization status.  Additionally, HFS imports immunization data from all sources (e.g., Illinois Department of Public Health's immunization registry of private providers [I-CARE], and the Illinois Department of Human Services' Cornerstone public health data). The expanded child-specific data are being made available to the child's
	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	primary care provider.  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011: Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012: Combo 2: 73.3% Combo 3: 67.4% (2011 data)  Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013: Combo 2: 74.7% Combo 3: 69.0% (2012 data)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010		FFY 2011		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:	Annual Perforn	nance Objectiv	e for FF	Y 2014:
		Combo 2: 75.9%			
	Explain how these objectives were set:	Combo 3: 70.6%			
		(2013 data)			
		F 1 : 1	7		
		Explain how the			
		Enrolled children (36	Month Olds	) Will be	appropriately
		immunized			
		HFS Continuous	ly Enrolled		
		TIT'S Continuous	ly Emoned		
		FFY for CARTS	DATA	Year	Baseline
		100th Percentile	Differe		%
		Improve-ment An	nual Improve-	ment	Projection
		for Following Year	-		-
		2011 20	10 71.93	100	28.07
		5% 1.40 73.			
		2012 20		100	26.67
		5% 1.33 74.			
		2013 20		100	25.33
		5% 1.27 75.		100	24.07
		2014 20		100	24.07
		5% 1.20 77.			
		2015 20	14 77.14		
		Combo 3 project	ions used same	methodo	alogy
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on		memou	,106J

# Objectives Related to Use of Preventative Care (Immunizations, Well Child Care) (Continued)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)	Goal #3 (Describe)
Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent	Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent	Improve the health status of Illinois' children. Eighty percent
of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will	of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will	of children as measured by the CMS-416 guidance will
participate in well child screenings.	participate in well child screenings.	participate in well child screenings.
Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:	Type of Goal:
☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ New/revised. <i>Explain</i> :
☐ Continuing.	Continuing.	Continuing.
Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :	☐ Discontinued. <i>Explain</i> :
HFS will continue this measure. However, to syncronize the		
year of data being reported in each fiscal year of this report,		
the pre-populated 2009 entry is being deleted. Beginning		
with FFY2011, the report is being updated to reflect the 2009		
data in the FFY2010 section and 2010 data in the FFY2011		
section.		

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:	Status of Data Reported:
<ul> <li>☐ Provisional.</li> <li>☐ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>☐ Provisional.</li> <li>☐ Final.</li> <li>☐ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> <li>Specify year of annual report in which data previously</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Provisional.</li> <li>Explanation of Provisional Data:</li> <li>□ Final.</li> <li>□ Same data as reported in a previous year's annual report.</li> </ul>
reported:	reported:  Measurement Specification:	Specify year of annual report in which data previously reported:  Measurement Specification:
Measurement Specification:  ☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain:	☐ HEDIS. Specify version of HEDIS used: ☐ HEDIS-like. Specify version of HEDIS used: Explain how HEDIS was modified: ☐ Other. Explain: The annual EPSDT report (form CMS-416) defined by CMS (prior to the March/June 2010 guidance document revisions) as providing information to assess the effectiveness of State EPSDT programs in terms of the number of children provided child health screening services, are referred for corrective treatment, and receive dental services.	☐ HEDIS. Specify HEDIS® Version used: ☐ Other. Explain:  The annual EPSDT report (form CMS-416), defined by CMS using the March 2010 guidance document revision, as providing information to assess the effectiveness of State EPSDT programs in terms of the number of children provided child health screening services, are referred for corrective treatment, and receive dental services.
Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:	Data Source:	Data Source:  ☐ Administrative (claims data). ☐ Hybrid (claims and medical record data). ☐ Survey data. Specify: ☐ Other. Specify:
Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  □ Definition of denominator: □ Denominator includes CHIP population only. □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).  □ Definition of numerator:	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Numerator: Includes only Title XIX.  Defined by CMS-416 guidance document (prior to March/June 2010 revisions). "Line 9 - Total Eligibles Receiving at Least One Initial or Periodic Screen - Enterunduplicated countwho received at least one documented initial or periodic screen during the year."  Denominator: "Line 8 - Total Eligibles Who Should Receive at Least One Initial or Periodic Screen."  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX).	Definition of Population Included in the Measure:  Definition of numerator: Per the CMS-416 guidance revised March 2010, "Line 9 - Total Eligibles Receiving at Least One Initial or Periodic Screen - Enter the unduplicated count of individuals, including those enrolled in managed care arrangements, who received at least one documented initial or periodic screen during the year."  Definition of denominator:  □ Denominator includes CHIP population only.  □ Denominator includes CHIP and Medicaid (Title XIX). If denominator is a subset of the definition selected above, please further define the Denominator, please indicate the number of children excluded: Per the CMS-416 guidance revised March 2010, "Line 8 - Total Eligibles Who Should Receive at Least One Initial or Periodic Screen" This calculation includes Line 1b and therefore is based on those enrolled for at least 90 continuous days.
Year of Data:	Year of Data: 2009	Date Range: From: (mm/yyyy) 10/2009 To: (mm/yyyy) 09/2010
HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS/HEDIS-like methodology)	HEDIS Performance Measurement Data: (If reporting with HEDIS)
Numerator:	Numerator:	Numerator:

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
Denominator:	Denominator:	Denominator:
Rate:	Rate:	Rate:
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure:	Deviations from Measure Specifications:  Year of Data, <i>Explain</i> .
		☐ Data Source, Explain.
		☐ Numerator,. Explain.
		Denominator, Explain.
		Other, Explain.
		Additional notes on measure:
Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:	Other Performance Measurement Data:
(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)	(If reporting with another methodology)
Numerator:	Numerator: 768727	Numerator: 803592
Denominator:	Denominator: 1045066	Denominator: 1065956
Rate:	Rate: 73.6	Rate: 75.4
Additional notes on measure:	Additional notes on measure: Includes only Title XIX	Additional notes on measure: Includes Title XIX and Title XXI
Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:	Explanation of Progress:
How did your performance in 2009 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2008 Annual Report?  What quality improvement activities that involve the CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help enhance your ability to report on this measure, improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?  Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	How did your performance in 2010 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2009 Annual Report?	How did your performance in 2011 compare with the Annual Performance Objective documented in your 2010 Annual Report? A comparison is not appropriate since there were revisions to the CMS-416 guidance between the reports for FFY2009 and FFY2010. The CMS-416 FFY2010 report includes a newly created Line 1b that counts those in Medicaid or CHIP who were enrolled for at least 90 continuous days. The FFY2009 report is for Medicaid recipients only and for any duration of enrollment. Therefore, the methodology used to count children in the denominator for this measure changed between the FFY2010 and FFY2011 CHIP Annual Report periods.

FFY 2009	FFY 2010	FFY 2011
	What quality improvement activities that involve the	What quality improvement activities that involve the
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2010:	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help	CHIP program and benefit CHIP enrollees help
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	enhance your ability to report on this measure,	enhance your ability to report on this measure,
Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal?	improve your results for this measure, or make progress toward your goal? By January 1, 2015, the
Explain how these objectives were set:	progress toward your goar:	Medicaid reform law [PA 96-1501] requires at least
Expluin now these objectives were sel.		50% of the individuals covered by Medicaid be enrolled
		in a care coordination program. At least 1.5M of
		Illinois' Medicaid clients – children, parents, seniors and
		disabled persons – will be assigned to an integrated
		healthcare delivery system replacing the current
		fragmented system. As Phase I, the Department of
		Healthcare and Family Services is developing the "Care
		Coordination Innovations Project" to test community
		interest and capacity to provide alternative models of delivering care (as an adjunct to current managed care
		programs).
		programs).
		A bonus payment strategy has been implemented to
		incentivize providers to complete the series of
		recommended visits based on the periodicity schedule
		for children birth to age 5. The PCCM program
		encourages comprehensive services via patient panels
		indicating when the child is due for screening services,
		data monitoring and provider feedback, on-line access to
		claims data.
	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in	Please indicate how CMS might be of assistance in
	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.	improving the completeness or accuracy of your reporting of the data.
	reporting of the data.	reporting of the data.
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2011:	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2012:	77.50% (2011 data)
		<b>Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:</b>
		79.75% (2012 data)

FFY 2009	FFY 2010		F	FY 2011		
	Annual Performance Objective for FFY 2013:		erformanc	e Objectiv	e for FF	Y 2014:
		81.78% (2	013 data)			
	Explain how these objectives were set:					
			ow these o	bjectives w	ere set:	CMS-416 Line
		10:				- ·
		FFY for C		DATA		Baseline
		100th Pero		Differer		%
		Improve-ment		Improve-	ment	Projection
		for Following Y		75	100	25.00
		2011 10%	2010 2.50	75 77.50	100	25.00
		2012	2011	77.50	100	22.50
		10%	2.25	79.75	100	22.30
		2013	2012	79.75	100	20.25
		10%	2.03	81.78	100	20.23
		2014	2013	81.78	100	18.23
		10%	1.82	83.60		
		2015	2014	83.60		
		Rates base	ed on the to	otal, not ago	e-specific	population
Other Comments on Measure:	Other Comments on Measure:	Other Commer	ts on Mea	sure:		

1. What other strategies does your State use to measure and report on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? What have you found? [7500]

HFS contracts with eQ Health Solutions, a federally recognized Quality Improvement Organization for external utilization review and quality assurance, primarily monitoring inpatient care and performing special projects/quality reviews. Findings on various components of the review process are available in their ongoing reporting to HFS. HFS contracts with Health Services Advisory Group for the federally required external quality monitoring of managed care. In compliance with the BBA, HFS has developed a quality strategy for managed care and its contract with managed care providers require ongoing internal monitoring and quality improvement in the area of access to and quality of care. HFS's contracts with managed care organizations require meeting performance standards and improving outcomes. HFS implemented Primary Care Case Management (PCCM)in order to assure access to care through providing a medical home, and performing quality improvement strategies and monitoring performance measures. Additionally, HFS has many initiatives, including provider outreach training and technical assistance, to promote the medical home, improve provider compliance with best practice guidelines, EPSDT content of care, and promote appropriate medical follow-up and referral.

HFS believes these initiatives will prove successful in improving appropriate health care utilization and therefore, will improve health status.

2. What strategies does your CHIP program have for future measurement and reporting on access to, quality, or outcomes of care received by your CHIP population? When will data be available? [7500]

Through the CHIPRA Quality Demonstration project, Illinois will report on the CHIPRA core set of child health quality measures. In addition, Illinois will be developing, testing and proposing additional measures for consideration. Measures related to access, quality and outcomes will continue to be added to the CHIP report in the future as the data is available.

HFS utilizes the child health indicators in HEDIS and compares progress with national HEDIS benchmarks. HFS also uses other measures (e.g., state developed) where no HEDIS indicators exist. Ongoing monitoring of key indicators and provider feedback are among HFS' strategies to improve outcomes. Access to quality health care services is promoted through the PCCM Program and Integrated Care interventions. As new measures are developed on a national level by NCQA and others, HFS will review those measures for determining whether they are relevant to the population and able to be programmed for monitoring through administrative data. Data will generally be available the year after the measurement reporting period, due to claims lag time, and will be considered "final" once the other data sources have been accessed.

HFS believes that it is imperative to import other data sources (e.g., immunization tracking system data and lead screening results) that are not always available in the HFS claims level data in order to have a more complete picture of utilization and outcomes. To that end, HFS has been collaborating with the Illinois Department of Human Services and Illinois Department of Public Health, and the Division of Specialized Care for Children to incorporate additional data into the HFS Enterprise Data Warehouse. Data acquisitions include blood lead screening laboratory results, I-CARE immunization data, Vital Records that include matching birth data with claims information. The extract, transformation and load processes ensure matches with HFS recipients, and are conducted on a continuous and timely basis.

As these data sources are brought fully on-line, HFS continues to pursue other data sources. For purposes of measuring program impacts and outcomes, HFS works with IDPH to include data from the Newborn Screening for Genetic/Metabolic Disorders program. These data will enhance IDPH's ability to link the PCPs providing care to infants identified at birth with genetic disorders so that they could ensure follow-up care. Integrating these data into the HFS Enterprise Data Warehouse (EDW) provides more robust matching capacity to identify the PCP working with the infant or birth mother.

Similarly, the Newborn Hearing Screening program at IDPH will benefit, as will HFS, by integrating hearing screening results into the HFS EDW. The EDW will be used, if needed, to identify the PCP serving infants screened and identified with hearing loss for needed follow-up.

Finally, HFS is interested in securing laboratory results from IDPH for recipients covered by HFS. These data would provide useful clinical information to measure outcomes related to service provision, to wrap-around case management service and to identify needed intervention services for those identified with abnormal laboratory results.

3. Have you conducted any focused quality studies on your CHIP population, e.g., adolescents, attention deficit disorder, substance abuse, special heath care needs or other emerging health care needs? What have you found? [7500]

The CHIP population is included in the voluntary managed care option or if not enrolled with an MCO, in the PCCM program. In the MCO program, there have been focused quality studies on children's health issues, such as appropriate care for asthma, improving the rate of well child visits, lead screening and childhood immunizations, as well as ensuring the content of care is in compliance with well child screening guidelines for children under age three.

In that the mother's mental health impacts social/emotional development of young children, HFS provides reimbursement for prenatal and post-partum depression screening. Additionally, there is a hotline for women to call if they need help with perinatal depression. A statewide Perinatal Mental Health Consultation Service has been established for providers to use when a screening indicates that a pregnant or postpartum woman may be suffering from depression. This service provides consultation for providers with psychiatrists.

HFS is involved with the Screening Assessment and Support Services (SASS) initiative, a cooperative partnership between the Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS), HFS and the Department of Human Services (DHS). The development of the tri-department SASS program created a single, statewide system to serve children experiencing a mental health crisis whose care will require public funding from one of the three agencies. This program features a single point of entry (Crisis And Referral Entry Service, CARES) for all children entering the system and ensures that children receive crisis services in the most appropriate setting.

4. Please attach any additional studies, analyses or other documents addressing outreach, enrollment, access, quality, utilization, costs, satisfaction, or other aspects of your CHIP program's performance. Please include any analyses or descriptions of any efforts designed to reduce the number of uncovered children in the state through a state health insurance connector program or support for innovative private health coverage initiatives health coverage initiatives. [7500]

Approximately 58% of Medicaid births are unintended (PRAMS, 2009) and HFS covers the vast majority (about 90% or more) of the State's teen births. Because the care for low birth weight (LBW), very low birth weight (VLBW) infants, infant mortality, or infants experiencing a non-normal birth DRG, is extremely expensive, HFS has been investigating methods to improve birth outcomes and reduce overall health care costs associated with these adverse birth outcomes.

Research and recommendations made to HFS suggest that improved birth outcomes may be obtained by promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services. As the prenatal period is insufficient to address these women's health care challenges, one method for which the literature identifies improved outcomes as well as a return on investment (ROI) is interconception care, with the use of case managers for identified high-risk populations. Interconception care includes education, counseling, and services provided to women between pregnancies that address risk factors for poor infant and maternal outcomes in subsequent pregnancies, including inadequate pregnancy spacing and unplanned pregnancies. These services support the woman in maintaining lifelong health for herself and her family.

Critical periods of development occur often before a woman even realizes she is pregnant. In considering new approaches for addressing the ongoing problem of LBW, preterm births and infant mortality, HFS has broadened its focus beyond the prenatal period in recognition that a woman's birth outcomes are

affected by her health before she ever gets pregnant, or between births (interconceptionally), and whether the pregnancy was planned, or not.

HFS is considering developing an interconceptional care case management program. This initiative will focus on high-risk pregnant women, including pregnant teens, who are at risk of having poor birth outcomes (e.g., low and very low birth weight births, and infant demise). Improved birth outcomes may be obtained by promoting healthy pregnancies through assuring evidence-based clinical guidelines are being followed; prenatal education is made available to the entire membership; and stratified levels of support, based on risks, are provided to pregnant women through monitoring and support sufficient to access needed services.

The overarching goal is to design a program that:

- Provides care coordination and risk-appropriate medical care that corresponds with evidence-based clinical guidelines;
- Improves coordination among the primary care provider (PCP), OB/GYN/women's health care provider, specialists, hospital of delivery, and the perinatal system, while providing access to critical information within the HFS health care delivery system;
- Educates HFS-enrolled women on the content of prenatal, postpartum, and interconception care;
- Engages women and families to address health care needs through culturally appropriate health education, counseling, monitoring, and assistance to access needed health services;
- Recognizes the importance of life goal planning, which involves the community, social marketing, reaching housing and job related goals, and health-related needs.
- Improves overall health status, including birth outcomes, by lowering the incidence of preterm births, LBW, VLBW and infant death; and
- Reduces health care costs due to adverse pregnancy outcomes and more effectively manages health care costs.

Enter any Narrative text below [7500].

# SECTION III: ASSESSMENT OF STATE PLAN AND PROGRAM OPERATION

#### Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions

#### A. OUTREACH

a. How have you redirected/changed your outreach strategies during the reporting period? [7500]

In the past year Illinois continued its outreach efforts to enroll eligible children into the All Kids program while also increasing electronic outreach methods. The efforts included, but were not limited to, working with other state agency facilities and programs by providing information to people who use other state services that may also qualify for All Kids such as:

- •The Department of Healthcare and Family Services put more effort into promoting its online healthcare portal Web site located at http://www.health.illinois.gov/ Illinois' Healthcare Portal is the state's one-stop source for healthcare needs. Here, custodial parents may sign up their children for the state's affordable health insurance programs, seniors can sign up for one of Illinois' affordable prescription drug programs, women can find information on free breast and cervical cancer screenings, and much more.
- •Department of Professional and Financial Regulation Applications made available for those who are self-employed or run small businesses.
- •Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity Outreach to small business owners through Opportunity Returns regional network.
- •Department of Human Services Applications sent to those enrolled in a DHS program, and those who receive state grants.

Online Marketing Materials

Marketing materials such as brochures, applications, fact sheets, covered services information, posters are available online at http://www.allkids.com/material.html

Persons may also order outreach materials online using the online Outreach Material Order form on the same Web page.

b. What methods have you found most effective in reaching low-income, uninsured children (e.g., T.V., school outreach, word-of-mouth)? How have you measured effectiveness? [7500]

All Kids Application Agents are our most effective way to help families apply and enroll into the program. We also continue to see increased use of our online application.

c. Which of the methods described in Question 2 would you consider a best practice(s)? [7500]

The All Kids Application Agents and our online application are both best practices.

	d.	Is your state targeting outreach to specific
	⊠ Yes □ No	populations (e.g., minorities, immigrants, and children living in rural areas)?
	Have these	efforts been successful, and how have you measured effectiveness? [7500]
	English. Fa translation s communical those for wh and many a	nues to use a variety of strategies to reach families who speak languages other than act Sheets are available in many languages. The All Kids Hotline uses a language service that allows staff to talk to callers who speak any language. All written client tions are available in both English and Spanish. These strategies are critical to reaching from English is not their primary language. AKAAs are also community-based/integrated re very active in reaching out to the populations in their respective communities. The porting the work of CHIPRA Outreach Grantees in Illinois.
	e.	What percentage of children below 200 percent of the Federal poverty level (FPL) who are eligible for Medicaid or CHIP have been enrolled in those programs? [5] 90.8
		data source used). <b>[7500]</b> ily Foundation http://www.statehealthfacts.org/profileind.jsp?cat=4⊂=53&rgn=15
В	All states s	TION OF COVERAGE (CROWD-OUT)  should answer the following questions. Please include percent calculations in your when applicable and requested.
	a. Do you	have substitution prevention policies in place?
	⊠ Yes □ No	
	☐ Imposing ☐ Imposing ☐ Monitorir	te if you have the following policies: g waiting periods between terminating private coverage and enrolling in CHIP g cost sharing in approximation to the cost of private coverage ng health insurance status at the time of application lease explain [7500]
	or below 200°	s a premium assistance program for children in families with income above 133% FPL and % FPL. By offering to help families pay for private or employer sponsored healthcare re discouraging them from changing to the publicly funded direct coverage.
	i.	Describe how substitution of coverage is monitored and measured and how the State evaluates the effectiveness of its policies. <b>[7500]</b>
	Illingis provi	des direct coverage to insured children in families with income in our CHIP income range

Illinois provides direct coverage to insured children in families with income in our CHIP income range using state-only funds. Insurance status at application is coded in the system. As of September 2011, 8.0 of the children enrolled in direct coverage with income above 133% up to 200% entered the program with other insurance. 38.8% of those children retained their insurance, using it as their primary coverage.

Illinois also provides state-funded premium assistance to children in that income range. As of September 2011, 2,368 children were receiving premium assistance.

ii. Identify the trigger mechanism or point at which your substitution prevention policy is instituted or modified if you currently have a substitution policy. [7500] All States must complete the following questions At the time of application, what percent of CHIP applicants are found to have Medicaid [(# applicants found to have Medicaid/total # applicants) \* 100] [5] 0 and what percent of applicants are found to have other group insurance [(# applicants found to have other insurance/total # applicants) \* 100] [5]? 7.9 Provide a combined percent if you cannot calculate separate percentages. [5] iv. What percent of CHIP applicants cannot be enrolled because they have group health plan coverage [5] 8.0 a. Of those found to have had other, private insurance and have been uninsured for only a portion of the state's waiting period, what percent meet your state's exemptions to the waiting period (if your state has a waiting period and exemptions) [(# applicants who are exempt/total # of new applicants who were enrolled)\*100]? [5] Does your State have an affordability exception to its waiting period? □ Yes  $\bowtie$  No If yes, please respond to the following questions. If no, skip to question 7. Has the State established a specific threshold for defining affordability (e.g., when the cost of the child's portion of the family's employer-based health insurance premium is more than X percent of family income)? ☐ Yes □ No If the State has established a specific threshold, please provide this figure and whether this applies to net or gross income. If no, how does the State determine who meets the affordability exception? [7500]

- ii. What expenses are counted for purposes of determining when the family exceeds the affordability threshold? (e.g., Does the State consider only premiums, or premiums and other cost-sharing charges? Does the State base the calculation on the total premium for family coverage under the employer plan or on the difference between the amount of the premium for employee-only coverage and the amount of the premium for family coverage? Other approach?) [7500]
- ii. What percentage of enrollees at initial application qualified for this exception in the last Federal Fiscal Year? (e.g., Number of applicants who were exempted because of affordability exception/total number of applicants who were enrolled). [5]

	concern?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, please provide relevant findings. [7500]
	ur State does not have an affordability exception, does your State collect data on the cost ealth insurance for an individual or family? [7500]
	is does not have a waiting period. Illinois covers insured or recently insured children at expense, eliminating the need for an affordability exception.
	s the State's CHIP application ask whether applicants have access to private health rance?  Yes  No
If	yes, do you track the number of individuals who have access to private insurance?_
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If yes, what percent of individuals that enrolled in CHIP had access to private health insurance at the time of application during the last Federal Fiscal Year [(# of individuals that had access to private health insurance/total # of individuals enrolled in CHIP)*100]? [5]
Medicaid Expanapplicable with I	n should be completed by all States) sion states should complete applicable responses and indicate those questions that are non- N/A.
Section II	IC: Subpart A: Overall CHIP and Medicaid Eligibility Coordination
a. Doe ⊠ Yes □ No	es the State use a joint application for establishing eligibility for Medicaid or CHIP?
<del>_</del>	ease describe the screen and enroll process. [7500]
to C	ase explain the process that occurs when a child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid CHIP and from CHIP to Medicaid. Have you identified any challenges? If so, please lain. [7500]
When the	ne child's eligibility status changes from Medicaid to CHIP, the case maintenance system

Does the State conduct surveys or focus groups that examine whether affordability is a

progresses the child to the CHIP coverage. When the child's eligibility status changes from CHIP to Medicaid, the case maintenance system tells the worker of the need to manually cancel the CHIP coverage and set up Medicaid coverage.

iv.

C.		same delivery systems (such as managed care or fee for service,) or provider same delivery systems (such as managed care or fee for service,) or provider
	Yes	
	No	
If n	o, please	explain. [7500]
	you im	have authority in your CHIP State plan to provide for presumptive eligibility, and have plemented this? Xes No
If ye	es	
	a.	What percent of children are presumptively enrolled in CHIP pending a full eligibility determination? [5] 16
	b.	Of those children who are presumptively enrolled, what percent of those children are determined eligible and enrolled upon completion of the full eligibility determination those children are determined eligible and enrolled? [5] 71

# Section IIIC: Subpart B: Initial Eligibility, Enrollment, and Renewal for CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) Programs Table B1

This section is designed to assist CMS and the States track progress on the "5 out of 8" eligibility and enrollment milestones. It will not be used to determine CHIPRA performance bonus payments.

Program Feature	Question	Medicaid	CHIP
Continuous Eligibility	Does the State provide continuous eligibility for 12 months for children regardless of changes in circumstances other than the situations identified below:     a. child is no longer a resident of the State;	In accordance with section 1902(e)(12) of the Act  Yes  No	⊠ Yes □ No
	b. death of the child;		
	c. child reaches the age limit;		
	d. child/representative requests disenrollment;		
	e. child enrolled in a separate CHIP program files a Medicaid application, is determined eligible for Medicaid and is enrolled in Medicaid without a coverage gap.		

Liberalization of Asset (or Resource Test) Requirements	b. Does the State have an assets test?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	c. If there is an assets test, does the State allow administrative verification of assets?	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
Elimination of In- Person Interview	d. Does the State require an in- person interview to apply?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	e. Has the State eliminated an in-person requirement for renewal of CHIP eligibility?	⊠ Yes	□ No
Use of Same Application and Renewal Forms and Procedures for Medicaid and CHIP	f. Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for <i>establishing</i> eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	g. Does the State use the same application form, supplemental forms, and information verification process for <i>renewing</i> eligibility for Medicaid and CHIP?	⊠ Yes	□ No

Automatic/Administr ative Renewal	h.	For renewals of Medicaid or CHIP eligibility, does the State provide a preprinted form populated with eligibility information available to the State, to the child or the child's parent or other representative, along with a notice that eligibility will be renewed and continued based on such information unless the State is provided other information that affects eligibility?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No
	i.	Does the State do an ex parte renewal? Specifically, does the State renew Medicaid or CHIP eligibility to the maximum extent possible based on information contained in the individual's Medicaid file or other information available to the State, before it seeks any information from the child's parent or representative?	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No
			If exparte is used, is it used for  All applicants  Yes No  A subset of applicants  Yes No	If exparte is used, is it used for  All applicants  ☐ Yes ☒ No  A subset of applicants  ☒ Yes ☐ No
Presumptive Eligibility	j.	Does the State provide presumptive eligibility to children who appear to be eligible for Medicaid and CHIP to enroll pending a full determination of eligibility?		⊠ Yes □ No
Express Lane Eligibility	k.	Are you utilizing the Express Lane option in making eligibility determinations and/or renewals for both Medicaid and CHIP?		⊠ Yes □ No
				If yes, which Express Lane Agencies are you using?  Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), formerly Food Stamps Tax/Revenue Agency Unemployment Compensation Agency Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) Free, Reduced School Lunch Program Subsidized Child Care Program Other, please explain. [7500]
				If yes, what information is the Express Lane Agency providing?  Income Resources Residency

				Citizenship Other, please explain. [7500]
Premium Assistance	l.	Has the State implemented premium assistance as added or modified by CHIPRA?	In accordance with section 2105(c)(10) of the Act, as added by section 301(a)(1) of CHIPRA.	In accordance with section 2105(c)(10) of the Act, as added by section 301(a)(1) of CHIPRA.  ☐ Yes No

#### Section IIIC: Subpart C: Eligibility Renewal and Retention

## CHIP (Title XXI) and Medicaid (Title XIX) Programs

- 1. What additional measures, besides those described in Tables B1 or C1, does your State employ to simplify an eligibility renewal and retain eligible children in CHIP?
- ☐ Conducts follow-up with clients through caseworkers/outreach workers
- Sends renewal reminder notices to all families
  - i. How many notices are sent to the family prior to disenrolling the child from the program? [500]
  - i. At what intervals are reminder notices sent to families (e.g., how many weeks before the end of the current eligibility period is a follow-up letter sent if the renewal has not been received by the State?) [500]

Ten weeks and six weeks

Other, please explain: [500]

2. Which of the above strategies appear to be the most effective? Have you evaluated the effectiveness of any strategies? If so, please describe the evaluation, including data sources and methodology. [7500]

Reminder notices always result in additional renewal forms being returned.

### Section IIIC: Subpart D: Eligibility Data

#### Table 1. Application Status of Title XXI Children in FFY 2011

States are required to report on questions 1 and 2 in FFY 2011. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is voluntary in FFY 2011 and FFY 2012. Reporting on questions 2.a., 2.b., and 2.c. is required in 2013. Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the requested percentages.

	Number	Percent
Total number of title XXI applicants	19596	100
2. Total number of application denials	11830	60.4
Total number of procedural denials	0	
Total number of eligibility denials	5304	27.1
Total number of applicants denied for title XXI and enrolled in title XIX	0	

	(Check here if there are no additional categories   )	6526	33.3
3.	Total number of applicants denied for other reasons Please		
	indicate:		
	Denied title XIX and XXI, but approved for state-funded		
	coverage, including higher premium programs for children in		
	families with income above 200% and premium assistance for		
	insured families with income from 134% to 200%.		

3. Please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data used in this table: 6,526 of the CHIP denials are approved for state-funded medical programs. 5,304 are true denials. The numbers are cases, not children.

#### **Definitions:**

- 1. The "total number of title XXI applicants," including those that applied using a joint application form, is defined as the total number of applicants that had an eligibility decision made for title XXI in FFY 2011. This measure is for applicants that have not been previously enrolled in title XXI or they were previously enrolled in title XXI but had a break in coverage, thus requiring a new application. Please include only those applicants that have had a Title XXI eligibility determination made in FFY 2011 (e.g., an application that was determined eligible in September 2011, but coverage was effective October 1, 2011 is counted in FFY 2011).
- 2. The "the total number of denials" is defined as the total number of applicants that have had an eligibility decision made for title XXI and denied enrollment for title XXI in FFY 2011. This definition only includes denials for title XXI at the time of initial application (not redetermination).
- 3. The "total number of procedural denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI procedural reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., incomplete application, missing documentation, missing enrollment fee, etc.).
- 4. The "total number of eligibility denials" is defined as the total number of applicants denied for title XXI eligibility reasons in FFY 2011 (i.e., income too high, income too low for title XXI /referred for Medicaid eligibility determination/determined Medicaid eligible, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.)
- 1. The total number of applicants that are denied eligibility for title XXI and determined eligible for title XIX
- 5. The "total number of applicants denied for other reasons" is defined as any other type of denial that does not fall into 2a or 2b. Please check the box provided if there are no additional categories.

#### Table 2. Redetermination Status of Children Enrolled in Title XXI

For this table, States may voluntarily report in 2011 and 2012. Reporting is required for 2013.

#### Is the State reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?

	lete) State is reporting	g <u>all</u> measures in the redetermination table.
Yes (but ince	the State did not	which measures the State did not report on, and why report on these measures. 500] Numbers are cases, not children
☐ No	If the State is not r Explain: [7:	eporting any data, please explain why. 500]

Please enter the data requested in the table below in the "Number" column, and the template will automatically tabulate the percentages.

	Number		Percent			
Total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined	54292	100%				
Total number of children screened for	54292	100	100%			

redetermination					
Total number of children retained after the redetermination process	50962	93.87	93.87		
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process	3330	6.13	6.13	100%	
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to comply with procedures					
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to meet eligibility criteria					100%
Disenrolled from title XXI because income too high for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)					
Disenrolled from title XXI because income too low for title XXI (If unable to provide the data, check here □)					
Disenrolled from title XXI because application indicated access to private coverage or obtained private coverage (If unable to provide the data or if you have a title XXI Medicaid expansion and this data is not relevant check here   )					
Disenrolled from title XXI for other eligibility reason(s) Please indicate: (If unable to provide the data check here □)					
Total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for other reason(s) Please indicate: (Check here if there are no additional categories  )					

If relevant, please describe any limitations or restrictions on the data entered into this table. Please describe any State policies or procedures that may have impacted the redetermination outcomes data. Numbers are cases, not children.

Illinois has an administrative renewal process that has a positive affect on the retention rate for both title XIX and XXI.

#### **Definitions:**

The "total number of children who are eligible to be redetermined" is defined as the total number of children due to renew their eligibility in Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2011, and did not age out (did not exceed the program's maximum age requirement) of the program by or before redetermination. This total number may include those children who are eligible to renew prior to their 12 month eligibility redetermination anniversary date. This total number may include children whose eligibility can be renewed through administrative redeterminations, whereby the State sends the family a renewal form that is pre-populated with eligibility information already available through program records and requires

the family to report any changes. This total may also include ex parte redeterminations, the process when a State uses information available to it through other databases, such as wage and labor records, to verify ongoing eligibility.

The "total number of children screened for redetermination" is defined as the total number of children that were screened by the State for redetermination in FFY 2011 (i.e., those children whose families have returned redetermination forms to the State, as well as administrative redeterminations and ex parte redeterminations).

The "total number of children retained after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who were found eligible and remained in the program after the redetermination process in FFY 2011.

The "total number of children disenrolled from title XXI after the redetermination process" is defined as the total number of children who are disenrolled from <u>title XXI</u> following the redetermination process in FFY 2011. This includes those children that States may define as "transferred" to Medicaid for title XIX eligibility screening.

The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to comply with procedures" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for failure to successfully complete the redetermination process in FFY 2011 (i.e., families that failed to submit a complete application, failed to provide complete documentation, failed to pay premium or enrollment fee, etc.).

The "total number of children disenrolled for failure to meet eligibility criteria" is defined as the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI for no longer meeting one or more of their State's CHIP eligibility criteria (i.e., income too low, income too high, obtained private coverage or if applicable, had access to private coverage during your State's specified waiting period, etc.). If possible, please break out the reasons for failure to meet eligibility criteria in i.-iv.

The "total number of children disensolled for other reason(s)" is defined as the total number of children disensolled from title XXI for a reason other than failure to comply with procedures or failure to meet eligibility criteria, and are not already captured in 4.a. or 4.b.

The data entered in 4.a., 4.b., and 4.c. should sum to the total number of children disenrolled from title XXI (line 4).

#### Table 3. Duration Measure of Selected Children, Ages 0-16, Enrolled in Title XXI, Second Quarter FFY 2012

child enrolled in January 2012, he/she would not be enrolled in either title XXI or title XIX in December 2011, etc.)

The purpose of this table is to measure title XXI enrollees' duration, or continuity, of public coverage (title XIX and title XXI). This information is required by CHIPRA, Section 402(a). Reporting is not required until 2013, but States will need to identify newly enrolled children in the second quarter of FFY 2012 (January, February, and March of 2012). If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary.

Instructions: For this prospective duration measure, please identify <u>newly enrolled</u> children in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012, ages 0 months to 16 years at time of enrollment. Children enrolled in January 2012 must have birthdates after July 1995 (e.g., children must be younger than 16 years and 5 months) to ensure that they will not age out of the program at the 18<sup>th</sup> month of coverage. Similarly, children enrolled in February 2012 must have birthdates after August 1995, and children enrolled in March 2012 must have birthdates after September 1995. Each child newly enrolled during this time frame needs a unique identifier or "flag" so that the cohort can be tracked over time. If your eligibility system already has the capability to track a cohort of enrollees over time, an additional "flag" or unique identifier may not be necessary. Please follow the child based on the child's age category at the time of enrollment (e.g., the child's age at enrollment creates an age cohort that does not change over the 18 month time span). Please enter the data requested in the table below and the template will tabulate the percentages.

■ Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP or Medicaid—"Ne	wly enrolled" is defined a	s not enrolled in either title XXI o	r title XIX in the month before	enrollment (i.e., for a

Not Previously Enrolled in CHIP—"Newly enrolled" is defined as not enrolled in title XXI in the month before enrollment (i.e., for a child enrolled in January 2012, he/she would not be enrolled in title XXI in December 2011, etc.)

Duration Measure, Title XXI	All Children A	ges 0-16	Age Less than 12 months		Ages 1-5		Ages 6-12		Ages 13-16	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second		100%		100%		100%		100%		100%
quarter of FFY 2012										
			Enrol	Iment Status 6	months later					
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI										
Total number of children with a break in title XXI										

Specify how your "newly enrolled" population is defined:

	·						, ,
coverage but re-							
enrolled in title XXI							
3.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
during title XXI							
coverage break							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
Total number	<del>                                     </del>						
of children disenrolled							
from title XXI	<del></del>						
4.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
after being							
disenrolled from title							
XXI							
(If unable to provide							
the data check here							
the data, check here							
) 		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI Total number		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI Total number of children with a		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but re-		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in		Enrol	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX)		Enroli	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI		Enroli	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break		Enroli	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide		Enroli	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			
Total number of children continuously enrolled in title XXI  Total number of children with a break in title XXI coverage but reenrolled in title XXI 6.a. Total number of children enrolled in Medicaid (title XIX) during title XXI coverage break (If unable to provide the data, check here		Enroll	ment Status 12	months later			

7.a. Total number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
after being							
disenrolled from title							
XXI							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
		Enroll	ment Status 18	months later			
Total number							
of children							
continuously enrolled							
in title XXI							
Total number							
of children with a							
break in title XXI							
coverage but re-							
enrolled in title XXI							
9.a. Total number of	+						
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
during title XXI							
coverage break							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							
Total number							
of children disenrolled							
from title XXI							
10.aTotal number of							
children enrolled in							
Medicaid (title XIX)							
after being							
disenrolled from title							
XXI							
(If unable to provide							
the data, check here							

# **Definitions:**

The "total number of children newly enrolled in title XXI in the second quarter of FFY 2012" is defined as those children either new to public coverage or new to title XXI, in the month before enrollment. Please define your population of "newly enrolled" in the Instructions section.

The total number of children that were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 6 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through July 2012 + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through August 2012

+ the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who were continuously enrolled through September 2012

The total number who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>6 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage) but were reenrolled in title XXI by the end of the 6 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2012

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2012
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2012
- 3.a. From the population in #3, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

The total number who disenrolled from title XXI, 6 months after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by July 2012

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by August 2012
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled by September 2012
- 4.a. From the population in #4, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.

The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 12 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through January 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through February 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through March 2013

The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during <u>12 months</u> of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the <u>12 months</u>, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by January 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI by February 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and then re-enrolled in title XXI prior to March 2013
- 6.a. From the population in #6, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

The total number of children who disenrolled from title XXI <u>12 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were enrolled in January 2012 and were disenrolled by January 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were enrolled in February 2012 and were disenrolled by February 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were enrolled in March 2012 and were disenrolled by March 2013
- 7.a. From the population in #7, provide the total number of children, who were enrolled in title XIX in the month after their disenrollment from title XXI.

The total number of children who were continuously enrolled in title XXI for 18 months is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and were continuously enrolled through July 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and were continuously enrolled through August 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and were continuously enrolled through September 2013

The total number of children who had a break in title XXI coverage during 18 months of enrollment (regardless of the number of breaks in coverage), but were re-enrolled in title XXI by the end of the 18 months, is defined as the sum of:

the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by July 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by August 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and who disenrolled and re-enrolled in title XXI by September 2013
- 9.a. From the population in #9, provide the total number of children who were enrolled in title XIX during their break in coverage.

The total number of children who were disenrolled from title XXI <u>18 months</u> after their enrollment month is defined as the sum of: the number of children with birthdates after July 1995, who were newly enrolled in January 2012 and disenrolled by July 2013

- + the number of children with birthdates after August 1995, who were newly enrolled in February 2012 and disenrolled by August 2013
- + the number of children with birthdates after September 1995, who were newly enrolled in March 2012 and disenrolled by September 2013

# D. Cost Sharing

	percent aggregate maximum in the year?
a.	Cost sharing is tracked by:
	Enrollees (shoebox method)  If the State uses the shoebox method, please describe informational tools provided to enrollees to track cost sharing. [7500]  Families are sent a letter and a form to complete, along with an envelope to use when submitting receipts for copayments. The copay cap is set at a level low enough so that the copays, along with the 12 months of premiums for a year, will never exceed 5%.
	<ul> <li>Health Plan(s)</li> <li>State</li> <li>Third Party Administrator</li> <li>N/A (No cost sharing required)</li> <li>Other, please explain. [7500]</li> </ul>
b.	When the family reaches the 5% cap, are premiums, copayments and other cost sharing ceased? <b>[7500]</b> ⊠ Yes □ No
C.	Please describe how providers are notified that no cost sharing should be charged to enrollees exceeding the 5% cap. <b>[7500]</b> The monthly medical card contains a message notifying the provider that copays can no longer be charged. The system that providers use to verify eligibility is updated with the same message.
d.	Please provide an estimate of the number of children that exceeded the 5 percent cap in the State's CHIP program during the Federal fiscal year. <b>[500]</b> None
e.	Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of premiums/enrollment fees on participation in CHIP?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
f.	Has your State undertaken any assessment of the effects of cost sharing on utilization of health services in CHIP? ☐ Yes ☐ No
	If so, what have you found? [7500]
g.	If your state has increased or decreased cost sharing in the past federal fiscal year, has the state undertaken any assessment of the impact of these changes on application, enrollment disenrollment, and utilization of children's health services in CHIP. If so, what have you found? [7500]

a. Describe how the State tracks cost sharing to ensure enrollees do not pay more than 5

No changes were made during the past federal fiscal year.

# E. EMPLOYER SPONSORED INSURANCE PROGRAM (INCLUDING PREMIUM ASSISTANCE PROGRAM(S)) UNDER THE CHIP STATE PLAN OR A SECTION 1115 TITLE XXI DEMONSTRATION

1.	Does your State offer an employer sponsored insurance program (including a premium assistance program) for children and/or adults using Title XXI funds?
	<ul><li>☐ Yes, please answer questions below.</li><li>☐ No, skip to Program Integrity subsection.</li></ul>
Chil	dren
	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
	Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3)) Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10)) Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI)
	Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906) Premium Assistance Option (applicable to Medicaid expansion) children (1906A)
Adu	lts
	Yes, Check all that apply and complete each question for each authority.
	Purchase of Family Coverage under the CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(10)) Additional Premium Assistance Option under CHIP State Plan (2105(c)(3)) Section 1115 Demonstration (Title XXI) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906) Premium Assistance option under the Medicaid State Plan (1906A)
2.	Please indicate which adults your State covers with premium assistance. (Check all that apply.)
	Parents and Caretaker Relatives Childless Adults Pregnant Women
3.	Briefly describe how your program operates (e.g., is your program an employer sponsored insurance program or a premium assistance program, how do you coordinate assistance between the state and/or employer, who receives the subsidy if a subsidy is provided, etc.) [7500]
4.	What benefit package does the ESI program use? [7500]
5.	Are there any minimum coverage requirements for the benefit package?
	Yes No

6. Does the program provide wrap-around coverage for benefits?

☐ Yes ☐ No
7. Are there any limits on cost sharing for children in your ESI program?
☐ Yes ☐ No
8. Are there any limits on cost sharing for adults in your ESI program?
☐ Yes ☐ No
<ol><li>Are there protections on cost sharing for children (e.g., the 5 percent out-of-pocket maximum) in your premium assistance program?</li></ol>
$\square$ Yes $\square$ No If yes, how is the cost sharing tracked to ensure it remains within the 5 percent yearly aggregate maximum [7500]?
10. Identify the total number of children and adults enrolled in the ESI program for whom Title XXI funds are used during the reporting period (provide the number of adults enrolled in this program even if they were covered incidentally, i.e., not explicitly covered through a demonstration).
Number of childless adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of adults ever-enrolled during the reporting period
Number of children ever-enrolled during the reporting period
11. Provide the average monthly enrollment of children and parents ever enrolled in the premium assistance program during FFY 2011
Children
Parents
<ol> <li>During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your ESI program has experienced? [7500]</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your ESI program? [7500]</li> </ol>
14. What changes have you made or are planning to make in your ESI program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. <b>[7500]</b>

15.			oact of your ESI բ dren? How was th		ng premium assistand [ <b>7500]</b>	e) on
16.	Identify the total reporting period		ures for providing	coverage under	your ESI program du	ıring the
17.	Provide the ave		ch entity pays to	wards coverage	of the dependent chil	d/parent
	Children			Parent		
	State:			State:		
	Employer:			Employer:		
	Employee:			Employee:		
18.		nge in the averag of a child or pare	•	amount of prem	ium assistance provic	led by the
Chi	ldren	Low	High			
Par	rents	Low	High			
19.	If you offer a pr [500]	emium assistand	ce program, what	, if any, is the m	inimum employer con	tribution?
20.	receive coveraç	ge (e.g., the state		mium assistanc	ing whether an applic e payment must be le aid)?	
=	Yes No					
21.	Please provide	the income leve	ls of the children	or families provi	ded premium assista	nce.
			From		То	
	Income level of	Children:	% of FPL[5]		% of FPL[5]	
	Income level of	Parents:	% of FPL[5]		% of FPL[5]	
22.	Is there a requi	red period of uni	nsurance before	enrolling in pren	nium assistance? [50	0]
=	Yes No					
If v	es, what is the p	eriod of uninsura	ance? <b>[500]</b>			

	23.	Do you have a waiting list for your program?
		Yes No
	24.	Can you cap enrollment for your program?
		Yes No
	25.	What strategies has the State found to be effective in reducing administrative barriers to the provision of premium assistance in ESI? <b>[7500]</b>
Ξn	ter a	ny Narrative text below. [7500]
		OGRAM INTEGRITY (COMPLETE ONLY WITH REGARD TO SEPARATE CHIP PROGRAMS HOSE THAT ARE NOT MEDICAID EXPANSIONS)
1.	Do for	es your state have a <u>written</u> plan that has safeguards and establishes methods and procedures :
		<ul><li>(1) prevention:  Yes  No</li><li>(2) investigation:  Yes  No</li></ul>
		(3) referral of cases of fraud and abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
		Please explain: [7500]
		The State of Illinois, Department of Healthcare and Family Services (HFS) does not have separate procedures in place for preventing or investigating fraud and abuse for CHIP cases. When investigating possible fraud and abuse cases for providers and recipients, HFS reviews both CHIP and regular Medicaid services which were rendered or received.
		The HFS Office of Inspector General (OIG) does utilize a variety of techniques to both prevent and detect possible fraud and abuse associated with all types of public assistance including Medicaid, CHIP, cash assistance and food stamps. These activities include provider post-payment compliance audits, provider quality assurance reviews, quality control measurements client eligibility investigations, fraud prevention investigations, long term care-asset discovery investigations and recipient utilization reviews.
		Do managed health care plans with which your program contracts have <u>written</u> plans? ☑ Yes
		□ No
		Please Explain: [500]
		The Illinois managed care organizations are required to have in place a Fraud and Abuse Compliance Plan.

2.	For the reporting period, please report the							
	387	Number of fair hearing appeals of eligibility denials						
	8	Number of cases found in favor of beneficiary						
3.		period, please indicate the number of cases investigated, and cases referred, nd abuse in the following areas:						
	a. Provider Cred	dentialing						
	0	Number of cases investigated						
	0	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	b. Provider Billin	ng .						
	286	Number of cases investigated						
	17	Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	c. Beneficiary El	ligibility						
	814	Number of cases investigated						
		Number of cases referred to appropriate law enforcement officials						
	Are these cases f	for:						
	CHIP							
	Medicaid and	I CHIP Combined 🖂						
4.	Does your state re	ely on contractors to perform the above functions?						
	Yes, pleas	se answer question below.						
	☐ No							
5.	oversight of those The OIG utilizes to Investigations (FF benefits. FPI target prone criteria. The quality assurance utilization reviews to ensure they ha	s on contractors to perform the above functions, how does your state provide a contractors? Please explain: [7500] the services of a contractual, private detective agency to perform Fraud Prevention PI). These investigations are conducted to prevent ineligible persons from receiving gets assistance applications that either contain suspicious information or meet error ne OIG contracts with physician consultants of various specialties to perform provider a reviews and physician and pharmacy consultants to perform Medicaid recipient and DIG performs regular quality control checks of cases handled by contractors are adequately performed their services. It should be noted that none of the above of investigations or reviews are identified as to whether they are CHIP related.						

ite: IL	Age Group
	Separate CHIP  Both Medicaid Expansion and Separate CHIP
	Please check which populations of CHIP children are included in the following table:
;	a. Annual Dental Participation Table for CHIP Enrolled Children (Include children receiving full CHIP benefits and supplemental benefits).
	this table are based from the definitions provided on the Early and Periodic Screening, tic, and Treatment (EPSDT) Report (Form CMS-416)
;	a. Information on Dental Care for CHIP Children (Include all delivery types, i.e. MCO, PCCM, FFS).
	Yes If yes, then please complete G1 and G2.  No If the State is not reporting data, please explain why.  Explain: [7500]
Is the St	ate reporting this data in the 2011 CARTS?
G. DENTAL	BENEFITS – Reporting is required in 2010 CARTS
Plea	se explain: <b>[500]</b>
1	No
□ Y	'es
6. Do you co oversigh	ontract with managed care health plans and/or a third party contractor to provide this t?

State: IL				Age Group			
FFY: IL	Total	< 1	1-2*	3-5	6-9	10-14	15-18
Total Enrollees Receiving Any Dental Services <sup>1</sup>	743342	1720	51610	175713	221204	193748	91261
Total Enrollees Receiving Preventive Dental Services <sup>2</sup>	699154	1224	46080	166068	212878	185600	81614

Total	280487	51	4861	53515	93093	76364	47615
Enrollees Receiving							
Dental Treatment							
Services <sup>3</sup>							

<sup>\*</sup>Includes 12-month visit

<sup>1</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Any Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D0100 - D9999 (CDT codes D0100 - D9999).

<sup>2</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Preventive Dental Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one preventive dental service by or under the supervision of a dentist as defined by HCPCS codes D1000 - D1999 -(CDT codes D1000 - D1999).

<sup>3</sup>Total Eligibles Receiving Dental Treatment Services - Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for at least 90 continuous days and receiving at least one treatment service by or under the supervision of a dentist, as defined by HCPCS codes D2000 - D9999 (CDT codes D2000 - 09999).

b. For the age grouping that includes children 8 years of age, what is the number of such children who have received a protective sealant on at least one permanent molar tooth<sup>4</sup>? [7]

79370

<sup>4</sup>Receiving a Sealant on a Permanent Molar Tooth -- Enter the unduplicated number of children enrolled in CHIP for 90 continuous days and in the age category of 6-9 who received a sealant on a permanent molar tooth regardless of whether the sealant was provided by a dentist or a non-dentist, as defined by HCPCS code D1351 (CDT code D1351).

b. Does the State provide supplemental dental coverage?   Yes	⊠ No
If yes, how many children are enrolled? [7]	
What percent of the total amount of children have supplemental dental	coverage? [5]

# **SECTION IV: PROGRAM FINANCING FOR STATE PLAN**

1. Please complete the following table to provide budget information. Describe in narrative any details of your planned use of funds below, including the assumptions on which this budget was based (per member/per month rate, estimated enrollment and source of non-Federal funds). (*Note: This reporting period =Federal Fiscal Year 2011. If you have a combination program you need only submit one budget; programs do not need to be reported separately.*)

#### **COST OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN**

Benefit Costs	2011	2012	2013
Insurance payments			
Managed Care	11083768	11383302	11967708
Fee for Service	338796474	396009150	415304792
Total Benefit Costs	349880242	407392452	427272500
(Offsetting beneficiary cost sharing payments)	-4641438	-4700000	-4800000
Net Benefit Costs	\$ 345238804	\$ 402692452	\$ 422472500

#### **Administration Costs**

Personnel	6797867	6700000	6800000
General Administration	5541160	5500000	5600000
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)	0	0	0
Claims Processing	0	0	0
Outreach/Marketing costs	0	0	0
Other (e.g., indirect costs)	2409375	2400000	2500000
Health Services Initiatives	1141524	1140000	1150000
Total Administration Costs	15889926	15740000	16050000
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)	38359867	44743606	46941389

Federal Title XXI Share	235239255	271981094	285039625
State Share	125889475	146451358	153482875
			<u> </u>

TOTAL COSTS OF APPROVED CHIP PLAN	361128730	418432452	438522500

_	1441 4 41				
')	. What were the sources	of non-Federal fur	iding used for State	e match during the	reporting period?

$\boxtimes$	State appropriations
$\boxtimes$	County/local funds
	Employer contributions
	Foundation grants
	Private donations
$\boxtimes$	Tobacco settlement
	Other (specify) [500]

3. Did you experience a short fall in CHIP funds this year? If so, what is your analysis for why there were not enough Federal CHIP funds for your program? [1500]

#### N/A

4. In the table below, enter 1) number of eligibles used to determine per member per month costs for the current year and estimates for the next two years; and, 2) per member per month cost rounded to a whole number. If you have CHIP enrollees in a fee for service program, per member per month cost will be the average cost per month to provide services to these enrollees.

	20	11	2012		2013		
	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	# of eligibles	\$ PMPM	
Managed Care	9663	\$ 147	10305	\$ 146	11001	\$ 145	
Fee for Service	212259	\$ 147	225153	\$ 146	239511	\$ 145	

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]

Legal Permanent Residents (LPR) and Present Under Color of the Law (PRUCOL) less than five year bar children were approved under SPA 09-006. Costs for these children are reflected in the FFY 2011 and FFY 2012 expenditures.

The PMPM amounts are preliminary and will be adjusted later.

# SECTION V: 1115 DEMONSTRATION WAIVERS (FINANCED BY CHIP)

Please reference and summarize attachments that are relevant to specific questions.

If you do not have a Demonstration Waiver financed with CHIP funds skip to Section VI. If you do, please complete the following table showing whom you provide coverage to.

	CHIP Non-HIFA Demonstration Eligibility				HIFA Waiver Demonstration Eligibility				
		* Upper % of FPL are defined as Up to and Including							
Children	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Parents	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Childless Adults	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			
Pregnant Women	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *	From	% of FPL to	% of FPL *			

2. Identify the total number of children and adults ever enrolled (an unduplicated enrollment count) in your

CHIP demonstrat	tion during the reporting period.
	Number of children ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of parents ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of <b>pregnant women</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration
	Number of <b>childless adults</b> ever enrolled during the reporting period in the demonstration (*Only report for 1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter of the FFY)

What have you found about the impact of covering adults on enrollment, retention, and access to care of children? You are required to evaluate the effectiveness of your demonstration project, so report here on any progress made in this evaluation, specifically as it relates to enrollment, retention, and access to care for children. **[1000]** 

Please provide budget information in the following table for the years in which the demonstration is approved. *Note: This reporting period (Federal Fiscal Year 2011 starts 10/1/2010 and ends 9/30/2011).* 

COST PROJECTIONS OF DEMONSTRATION (SECTION 1115 or HIFA)	2011	2012	2012	2014	2015
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #1 (e.g., children)					
Insurance Payments					
Managed care per member/per month rate @ # of eligibles					
Fee for Service Average cost per enrollee in fee for service					
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #1					

#### **Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #2**

(e.g., parents)	 		 
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #2			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #3 (e.g., pregnant women)			
Insurance Payments			
Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Benefit Costs for Demonstration Population #4			
(e.g., childless adults)			
Insurance Payments Managed care			
per member/per month rate for managed care			
Fee for Service			
Average cost per enrollee in fee for service			
Total Benefit Costs for Waiver Population #3			
Total Beliefit Costs for Walver Topulation #5			
Total Benefit Costs			
(Offsetting Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Net Benefit Costs (Total Benefit Costs - Offsetting			
Beneficiary Cost Sharing Payments)			
Donondary Cook Chairing Laymonto,			
Administration Costs	 	•	 1
Personnel			
General Administration			
Contractors/Brokers (e.g., enrollment contractors)			
Claims Processing			
Outreach/Marketing costs			
Other (specify)			
Total Administration Costs			
10% Administrative Cap (net benefit costs ÷ 9)			
Federal Title XXI Share			
State Share			
TOTAL COSTS OF DEMONSTRATION			

When was your budget last updated (please include month, day and year)? [500]

Other notes relevant to the budget: [7500]

# SECTION VI: PROGRAM CHALLENGES AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

For the reporting period, please provide an overview of your state's political and fiscal environment as it relates to health care for low income, uninsured children and families, and how this environment impacted CHIP. [7500]

Illinois' fiscal crisis has worsened and both Medicaid and CHIP are faceing severe cuts.

During the reporting period, what has been the greatest challenge your program has experienced? [7500]

Illinois' budget shortfall has been the overwhelming challenge. Beyond that, there is a consensus among elected officials that changes must be made to improve the program integrity in CHIP and Medicaid. The state has been working to obtain CMS agreement that changes may be made without violating the maintenance of eligibility requirements under the Affordable Care Act. Elected officials and the press have become very frustrated with the slow pace of implementing the legislated changes.

During the reporting period, what accomplishments have been achieved in your program? [7500]

In December 2010, Illinois received its second CHIPRA performance bonus for making significant progress in enrolling children in health coverage through Medicaid and improving access to children's coverage through Medicaid and the state children's health insurance program.

What changes have you made or are planning to make in your CHIP program during the next fiscal year? Please comment on why the changes are planned. [7500]

Illinois intends to expand electronic verification of residency and income as mandated by state law as soon as the data systems can be put into place.

Enter any Narrative text below. [7500]